

In this paper, we focus on the long-term tracking. The main difference between long-term tracking and short-term tracking is that the former has to deal with the cases in which the target disappears and reappears frequently. We think that a global search mechanism is necessary [1] for long-term tracking, because in the local search setting, once the target runs out of the frame, the track cannot set the window correctly, while the global search mechanism can rework when the target goes back immediately.

Directly change the local search tracker to the global search tracker is sub-optimal, because of the small object. As we can see in the object detection field, the smaller, the lower performance. Note that small object is not an issue in local search tracker, because they resize object to a fixed size to avoid this problem.

Now, the question is, how to improve the ability to track the small object in the global search long-term tracking setting. To begin with, we need to analyse that how the size of the object influence the tracking performance and why. As we can see in experiment, the full image tracking performance is strongly relevant to the object size.

Now let us analyse why the full image tracker can not work well on small object. The reason can be categorised into the following reasons:

- Network depth. The deeper, the bad for small object because of the respective field will involve more background information when the network goes deeper until the noise feature overwhelms the object feature. Similar experiment results can be found in [1] and [2].
- The unfair IoU metric. If we miss one pixel of gt box, the large box degree small while the small box degree big.
- Unfair loss. The large area has more loss. The small area has less loss. Focal loss tries to fix this problem.
- Dataset imbalance of difference size. If the training set object is all large, how we expect to have good performance on small object?

To handle the above problems, we do the following improve:

- We do deep supervision on shallow and deep layers.
- We not use IoU but use the point. If the top point falls into the box, it's ok.
- We only use a fixed number of points for different size objects.
- We do data augment, have every size.

## **1 Related Work**

### **1.1 Global Trackers**

Siam R-CNN, GlobalTrack,

### **1.2 Loss function**

The focal loss change the weight between the easy and hard example. The hard example mine loss try to increase the hard example weight.

### **1.3 Handle small objects in object detection**

FPN use pyramid features, and detect small objects on

### **1.4 Object/Tracking as Points**

In these methods, they regard the center of object as point as use L1 loss to Fitting a Gaussian distribution. However, we think every point in the box is ok, and do not use l1 to fit the gaussian.

### **1.5 Sampling strategy**

Sampling means how we select examples to train the loss. OHEM try to mine hard examples. [3] think that we need to sample uniformly according to distance.

## **2 Experiments**

### **2.1 Ablation Studies**

#### **2.1.1 Imblance training set objetet size**

First, we see if the size is imblance in the training set. Second, we see if the imbalce of training data size really influence the test ability.

### **2.2 Layer number**

### **2.3 Sample point number**

## References

- [1] Zikai Zhang, Bineng Zhong, Shengping Zhang, Zhenjun Tang, Xin Liu, and Zhaoxiang Zhang, “Distractor-aware fast tracking via dynamic convolutions and mot philosophy,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.12041*, 2021.
- [2] Zhipeng Zhang and Houwen Peng, “Deeper and wider siamese networks for real-time visual tracking,” in *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2019.
- [3] Chao-Yuan Wu, R Manmatha, Alexander J Smola, and Philipp Krahenbuhl, “Sampling matters in deep embedding learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 2840–2848.