Created by: Gil Klainert FP NYC

Date: 2025-06-07

Investigation Risk Assessment Report

Investigation Summary

Investigation ID:	TEST-ALL-FOR-DEVICE-f394742f39214c908476c01623bf4bcd
Device ID:	f394742f39214c908476c01623bf4bcd
Time Range:	120d
Overall Risk Score:	0.20
Status:	Unknown
Generated:	2025-06-07 20:00:13

Module Analysis Summary

Module	Risk Score	Records	Status
Device Analysis	0.20	14	Low Risk
Location Analysis	0.00	0	Low Risk
Network Analysis	0.00	0	Low Risk
Logs Analysis	0.00	0	Low Risk

Detailed Module Analysis

Device Analysis (Risk Score: 0.20)

Risk Level: LOW RISK

Records Analyzed: 14

Analysis:
All the device signals originate from the same IP, city, region, and country (Bengaluru, Karnataka, IN). There are no geo conflicts or unusual switching patterns. The usage appears to be stable and consistent over time.

Location Analysis (Risk Score: 0.00)

Risk Level: LOW RISK

Records Analyzed: 0

Analysis:

No anomalies or suspicious device activities were identified because there is no available device location data to compare with the official address country. The OII data also shows no reported locations.

Network Analysis (Risk Score: 0.00)

Risk Level: LOW RISK

Records Analyzed: 0

Analysis:

Without any network signal data, there is no basis to identify potential geographic inconsistencies or device ID anomalies. Consequently, the overall risk assessment is low.

Logs Analysis (Risk Score: 0.00)

Risk Level: LOW RISK

Records Analyzed: 0

Analysis:

No authentication logs found for this user.

Key Risk Factors:

• No logins found

Overall Risk Assessment

Final Risk Score: 0.20 (LOW RISK)

Final Assessment:

After carefully assessing the user's device, location, and network attributes, the risk score for the user has been determined based on consistent activity patterns and a lack of any major red flags. All device signals appear to originate from the same geographic region, with stable IP usage over time and no unexpected changes in city or country. This consistency implies that there are no immediate signs of suspicious behavior linked to device usage.

Furthermore, the location domain did not reveal any anomalies or mismatches between the user's known residence and login patterns. While the lack of logged network data introduces a limitation in our ability to uncover geographic conflicts or repeated suspicious device fingerprints, the absence of reported anomalies or contradictory records suggests a relatively low probability of fraudulent behavior. On balance, the risk score for the user reflects minimal concerns at this time.

Key indicators such as consistent login behaviors, stable device signals, and no contradictory evidence of location usage collectively shape our confidence in a lower-risk assessment. Nonetheless, it would be prudent to maintain routine monitoring of any future device, location, or network changes. By tracking for sudden alterations in user behavior or geographic inconsistencies, a more robust investigation could quickly detect emerging risks if they arise.