There are a number of improvements we propose. First, the feasibility metric often appeared far lower compared to the other metrics. The small probabilities we saw are emblematic of the probabilistic sphere. However, it would certainly help to find ways to operationalise feasibility and make it comparable to other viability components. Our ranking-based method showed that it is possible to overcome this issue, however, a less opinionated solution would be more beneficial.

Furthermore, we would like to stress that our approach is only as good as the prediction model it attempts to explain. To gain further insights into *true* process models one would have to make sure that the prediction model is accurately reflecting the real word. Again, domain expert might help to deduce, which model is the best reflection of realistic phenomena.