

CLOUD DEVOPS IAAC RUBRIC

This rubric evaluates your performance on the Cloud DevOps IAAC Centralization project. Each category reflects a key competency for successfully analyzing multi-cloud environments (Azure, AWS, GCP), assessing IAAC practices, and proposing a scalable centralization strategy.

Deliverables: Assesses how accurately, completely, and professionally the project outputs were executed, including cloud service analysis, insights, and the strategy for IAAC centralization.

Problem Solving: Measures the ability to interpret the challenge, identify issues in the current cloud setup, and propose practical, well-reasoned improvements.

Analytical Thinking: Ability to interpret cloud data, patterns, and architectural implications to generate meaningful insights.

Collaboration: Evaluates clarity of communication, documentation quality, and the ability to present findings in a structured and team-friendly manner.

Category	Excellent (90–100%)	Good (70–89%)	Satisfactory (50–69%)	Needs Improvement (<50%)
Deliverables	All deliverables complete, detailed, clearly structured. Cloud services fully identified with purposes and costs; IAAC status assessed; strategy practical and scalable; assumptions documented; optional diagram included.	Deliverables mostly complete and clear with minor gaps in analysis or IAAC centralization strategy.	Partially complete; missing some cloud services, cost estimates, or IAAC details. Approach may be basic or high-level.	Major gaps in deliverables. Analysis vague, incomplete, or misaligned with objectives. Documentation unclear or weak.
Problem Solving	Clearly identifies key issues, risks, inconsistencies, and inefficiencies. Proposes strong, practical, well-structured solutions grounded in DevOps and multi-cloud principles.	Identifies most issues and proposes reasonable solutions with some justification.	Solutions are generic, partially aligned, or lack depth.	Major or critical gaps in identifying issues; solutions weak, unrealistic, or missing.

Category	Excellent (90–100%)	Good (70–89%)	Satisfactory (50–69%)	Needs Improvement (<50%)
Analytical Thinking	Strong analytical capability; interprets cloud usage clearly; meaningful insights; logical reasoning supported by data or observations.	Good analytical thinking with generally clear insights, though not fully developed.	Basic analysis; insights shallow, repetitive, or incomplete.	Analysis unclear, disconnected from context, or not present.
Collaboration	Documentation clear, structured, and professional. Ideas flow logically; assumptions explained; communication shows readiness to collaborate.	Communication clear with minor structural or clarity issues.	Understandable but inconsistently clear; structure or explanation needs improvement.	Documentation unclear, poorly organized, or difficult to follow. Communication hinders understanding.