

Connectedness of $O(p,q)$

Recall that $O(p,q)$ is the set of $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ sized matrices A such that $A^T J A = J$ where $J = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix}$

This group arises from the non-degenerate bilinear form

$b: \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \times \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$b((x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}), (y_1, \dots, y_{p+q})) = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i - \sum_{j=1}^q x_{p+j} y_{p+j}$$

We wish to analyse the connectedness of $O(p,q)$ (which gives us for free, the connectedness properties of $SO(p,q)$)

Notation :

For $g \in O(p,q)$, let us decompose $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ where A is $p \times p$ and D is $q \times q$. We shall refer to these blocks as A_g , B_g , C_g , D_g whenever necessary.

Proposition 1

For $g \in O(p,q)$, A, D are invertible

Proof

From $g^T J g = J$, we get the following:

$$A^T A - C^T C = I_p, \quad D^T D - B^T B = I_q, \quad A^T B = C^T D$$

Suppose A isn't invertible, then $\exists x \neq 0$ s.t. $Ax = 0$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^p$)

$$\therefore C^T C x = -I_p x = -x$$

$C^T C$ is positive-semi definite & cannot have eval -1 . Contradiction.

Similarly D is also invertible

Proposition 2

If $g \in O(p,q)$, $\det(g) = \det(A) / \det(D)$

Proof

$$\det(g) = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B)$$

$$\text{But } D^T D - D^T C A^{-1} B = D^T D - (B^T A)(A^{-1}B) = D^T D - B^T B = I_q$$

$$\therefore \det(g) = \det(A) \det((D^T)^{-1}) = \det(A) / \det(D)$$

Definition: We define the pseudo-euclidean space $\mathbb{R}(p, q)$ to be the space \mathbb{R}^{p+q} equipped with the inner product given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i - \sum_{j=1}^q x_{p+j} y_{p+j}$$

We define the pseudo unit sphere $S(p, q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}(p, q) \mid \langle x, x \rangle = 1\}$

Note that for $q = 0$, $\mathbb{R}(p, 0)$ is just \mathbb{R}^p with standard inner product and $S(p, 0)$ is S^{p-1} (the p -dim sphere sitting in \mathbb{R}^p)

Proposition 3

$$S(p, q) \cong \mathbb{R}^p \times S^{q-1}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} S(p, q) &\cong \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \mathbb{R}^q, \|y\|^2 = 1 + \|x\|^2\} \\ &= \{(x, \sqrt{1+\|x\|^2} y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|y\| = 1\} \\ &= \{(x, \sqrt{1+\|x\|^2} y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in S^{q-1}\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}^p \times S^{q-1} \end{aligned}$$



Corollary 4

$S(p, q)$ is path connected provided $q \neq 1$

proof

$S(p, q)$ is path connected iff \mathbb{R}^p, S^{q-1} are so too.

\mathbb{R}^p is path connected $\forall p$ but S^0 is not connected



Definition: Let $S(p, q)$ be a pseudo sphere. At any $x \in S(p, q)$ we define the tangent space $T_x(S(p, q)) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$

Definition: A frame at a point $x \in S(p, q)$ an ordered basis for the tangent space at x .

Definition: The frame bundle on $S(p, q)$ is just the 'collection' of all frames at all points defined as below

$$F(S(p, q)) = \{(x, e_1, \dots, e_{p+q-1}) \mid x \in S(p, q), e_i \in T_x(S(p, q)), \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}\}$$

where $\delta_{ij} \in \{1, -1\}$ are chosen so that exactly $p-1$ of them are 1

One may define such concepts in general for manifolds

Proposition 5

$$O(p, q) \cong F(S(p, q))$$

Proof

Let $g \in O(p, q)$

Consider the columns g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{p+q}

We claim that $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{p+q}) \in F(S(p, q))$

Firstly, $g^T J g = J$. Comparing the $(1, 1)^{\text{th}}$ entry on both sides gives $\langle g_1, g_1 \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow g_1 \in S(p, q)$

Now we want to show $\langle g_1, g_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j = 2, 3, \dots$

This follows by comparing the $(1, j)^{\text{th}}$ entries on both sides

Further $\langle g_1, g_j \rangle = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij} \quad \forall 2 \leq i, j \leq p+q$ follows by comparing $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ entries on both sides

Further this is clearly continuous (we didn't even do any operation)

Next, if $(x, e_1, \dots, e_{p+q-1}) \in F(S(p, q))$, construct the matrix g whose columns are x, \dots, e_{p+q-1} in that order

We claim $g \in O(p, q)$

Note that $h \in O(p, q)$ iff $\langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$ where exactly p of the ε_i are 1 and others are -1

$\langle x, x \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow$ first column is of unit length

$\langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$ where $p-1$ of the ε_i are 1 & rest are -1
(of course x isn't included here)

Further $\langle x, g_i \rangle = 0$

Thus $g \in O(p, q)$

This map is also continuous.

Thus we have a homeomorphism



Definition : Let $g \in O(p, q)$. Based on the sign of $\det(A)$ and $\det D$, put g into one of the four subsets $O^{++}(p, q)$, $O^{+-}(p, q)$, $O^{-+}(p, q)$, $O^{--}(p, q)$

Proposition 6

All four of the above sets are clopen

Proof

Since the functions $\det_1(g) = \det(A)$, $\det_2(g) = \det(D)$ are continuous, $O^{++}(p, q) = \det_1^{-1}((0, \infty)) \cap \det_2^{-1}((0, \infty))$ is open

but similarly, O^{--} , O^{+-} , O^{-+} , O^{++} are all open.

$$(O^{++})^c = O^{--} \cup O^{+-} \cup O^{-+} \text{ is open}$$

Thus O^{++} is closed $\Rightarrow O^{++}$ is clopen

Similarly all other subsets are clopen

Theorem 7

$O^{++}(p, q)$ is connected $\forall p, q \geq 1$

proof

The proof is by induction on $p+q$

For $p+q = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} O^{++}(1, 1) &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mid a, d > 0, ab = cd, a^2 - c^2 = d^2 - b^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ c & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a > 0, a^2 - c^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{bmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $O^{++}(1, 1)$ is connected

Assume the result holds for $p+q = 2, 3, \dots, n$

Let $p+q = n+1$

It is easy to show $O(p, q) \cong O(q, p)$ by shuffling rows & their corresponding columns around.

Thus, WLOG we assume $p \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$

Recall the homeomorphism $O(p, q) \cong F(S(p, q))$ of prop 5.

Now $S(p, q)$ is connected by corollary 4.

We want a path from some fixed arbitrary $g \in O^{++}(p, q)$ to $I \in O^{++}(p, q)$

Consider the frame corresponding to g at g_1 . Move this to a frame at e_1 by translating.

This is done by exploiting the path in $S(p, q)$ joining g_1 & e_1 .

Correspondingly, back in $O(p, q)$, we get a path joining the matrix g to a matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$. Using that this is an element of $O(p, q)$, $*$ is immediately found to be 0

Note that the path remains in $O^{++}(p, q)$ since the maps \det_1 & \det_2 are continuous & as the entries change continuously to produce the end matrix, \det_1 & \det_2 start out positive and by continuity, cannot cross 0 to go over to negative.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \in O^{++}(p, q) \Rightarrow B \in O^{++}(p-1, q)$$

But B can be joined to I in $\mathcal{O}^{++}(p,q)$ by our induction hypothesis thus completing the proof

Corollary 8

- (i) $S\mathcal{O}(p,q) = \mathcal{O}^{++}(p,q) \cup \mathcal{O}^{--}(p,q)$
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}^{++}(p,q)$ is the connected component of I
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}^{++}(p,q), \dots, \mathcal{O}^{--}(p,q)$ are the connected components of $\mathcal{O}(p,q)$

Proof

(i) follows from proposition 2

(ii) \mathcal{O}^{++} is clopen, connected & has I

(iii) Consider $d(\pm 1, \pm 1) = \text{diag}(\pm 1, 1, \dots, 1, \pm 1)$ to be four different matrices of order $(p+q) \times (p+q)$

Note that each of them is in a different subset $\mathcal{O}^{**}(p,q)$

Claim : $g \mapsto d(\pm 1, \pm 1)g$ is continuous

This is clear since it just scales first & last rows by $\pm 1, \pm 1$

Thus \mathcal{O}^{++} is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^{+-}, \mathcal{O}^{-+}, \mathcal{O}^{--}$ since these matrices are invertible.

Conclusion :

$\mathcal{O}(p,q)$ has 4 connected components $\mathcal{O}^{++}, \mathcal{O}^{+-}, \mathcal{O}^{-+}, \mathcal{O}^{--}$
 $S\mathcal{O}(p,q) = \mathcal{O}^{++} \cup \mathcal{O}^{--}$ has 2 connected components
 $I \in \mathcal{O}^{++}(p,q)$

Recall that $U(p,q)$ is defined like $\mathcal{O}(p,q)$ except with $U^* J U = J$
 $SU(p,q) \subseteq U(p,q)$ is those matrices with determinant 1.

Now we would like to discuss the connectivity of $U(p,q)$ and hence $SU(p,q)$

To do this, we will first need to find the Lie Algebra because we have a powerful theorem which is essentially a strengthening of the polar decomposition in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Definition: A subgroup $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ is pseudo-algebraic if there is a finite set of polynomials in $2n^2$ variables with real coefficients $\{P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}, y_1, \dots, y_{n^2})\}_{j=1}^t$, so that $A = (x_{ke} + iy_{ke}) \in G$ iff $P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{nn}, y_1, \dots, y_{nn}) = 0 \forall j = 1, \dots, t$

Basically, the conditions on elements of A should be polynomial in nature

Since every pseudo-algebraic group is the zero set of a set of polynomials, it is closed and hence a lie group

Proposition 9

Let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial with real coefficients. For any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $P(e^{ka_1}, \dots, e^{ka_n}) = 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P(e^{ta_1}, \dots, e^{ta_n}) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof

Suppose we have a monomial $\alpha_{n_1} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_n^{n_n}$. When evaluated, it is $\alpha e^{t(\sum_i a_i n_i)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore P(e^{ta_1}, \dots, e^{ta_n}) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k e^{tb_k} \\ &= \alpha_N e^{tb_N} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \alpha_k e^{tb_k} \end{aligned}$$

This vanishes for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is known

We may assume all α_i are non zero else they drop out.

Also assume $b_1 < \dots < b_N$

Suppose $N > 0$ for contradiction

$$\therefore e^{-tb_N} P(e^{ta_1}, \dots, e^{ta_n}) = \alpha_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \alpha_k e^{t(b_k - b_N)}$$

Now all $b_k - b_N$ are negative

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($t \in \mathbb{N}$), $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \alpha_k e^{t(b_k - b_N)}$ goes to 0

while the LHS is a constant 0 by assumption

Thus $\alpha_N = 0$ gives a contradiction

Theorem 10

Let G be pseudo-algebraic st. $A \in G \Rightarrow A^* \in G$. Then, $G \cong (U(n) \cap G) \times (H(n) \cap \text{Lie}(G))$ where $U(n)$, $H(n)$ are the $n \times n$ unitary & hermitian matrices & $\text{Lie}(G)$ is the lie algebra

Proof

Let $A \in G \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Using the polar decomposition (which is a unique decomposition),
 $A = US$ where $U \in U(n)$, S is hermitian & pos definite.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ be its eigenvalues

write $S = P^* \text{diag}(\lambda_i) P$ using the spectral theorem

Then $S = e^H$ for some unique hermitian matrix H
 (in fact $H = P^* \text{diag}(\log \lambda_i) P$).

Thus $A = Ue^H$ for $U \in U(n)$, $H \in H(n)$.

If we show that $H \in \text{Lie}(G)$, then $e^H \in G$ so that
 $U = A(e^H)^{-1} \in G$ thus proving the bijection

Now $A \in G \Rightarrow A^* \in G$ ie. $(e^H)^* U^* \in G$ ie. $e^{H^*} U^* \in G$

Thus $e^H U^* U e^H = e^{2H} \in G$.

Since $2H$ is hermitian, diagonalize it as

$2H = V \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) V^*$ and λ_i are all real

Note that G is pseudo-algebraic & hence so is VGV^{-1} .

Thus we may work with just $2H = \text{diag}(\lambda_i)$

To say $e^{2H} \in G$ means that $e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}$ satisfy a set of algebraic equations.

Since G is a group, $e^{k(2H)} \in G \Rightarrow e^{k\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{k\lambda_n}$ satisfy the same set of equations. This is for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

By prop 9, it generalises to $k \in \mathbb{R}$

Thus $e^{2tH} \in G \nabla t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow e^{tH} \in G \nabla t \in \mathbb{R}$

Hence $H \in \text{Lie}(G)$

Now we have to show that the map

$(U, H) \mapsto Ue^H$ is a homeomorphism

The polar decomposition is a homeomorphism is a well known fact

We only need to show that $H(n) \cong \text{HPD}(n)$. This fact has been proved in Mneimné and Testard's "Introduction à la théorie des groupes de Lie classiques" as theorem 3.3.4

Now we compute the Lie Algebras of $O(p,q)$, $SO(p,q)$,
 $U(p,q)$, $SU(p,q)$

Proposition 11

The lie algebra of $O(p+q)$ is the set

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{bmatrix} : A_{p \times p}, D_{q \times q} \text{ are skew-symm, } B_{p \times q} \text{ arbitrary} \right\}$$

Proof

Suppose X is such that $A(t) = e^{tX} \in O(p,q)$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$A(t)^T J A(t) = J$$

Now $e^{tx^t} J e^{tx}$ can be differentiated with respect to t to get $e^{tx^t} (x^t J + J x^t) e^{tx}$ (\because product rule and $(e^{tx})' = x e^{tx}$)

Evaluating at $t=0$, we have $x^t J + J x^t = 0$

Conversely, let $x^t J + J x^t = 0$

Then if $f(t) := e^{tx^t} J e^{tx}$, we have $f'(t) = 0$

Thus f is constant. $f(0) = J$ & we have $f(t) = J \neq t$

Thus, all we need to do is characterize X such that $x^t J + J x^t = 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T & C^T \\ B^T & D^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

This gives $A^T + A = 0$, $D^T + D = 0$, $B^T - C = 0 = -C^T + B$

This establishes our claim



Proposition 12

$\text{Lie}(SO(p,q)) = \text{Lie}(O(p,q))$

Proof

It is clear that LHS \subseteq RHS

Conversely, let $X \in \text{Lie}(O(p,q))$

i.e. $X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{bmatrix}$ with A_p, D_q skew symmetric, B arbitrary

Clearly $\text{tr}(X) = 0$ since A, D are skew-symmetric

Thus $\det(e^{tX}) = e^{t \text{tr}(X)} = e^0 = 1$

Since $e^{tX} \in O(p,q)$ & $\det(e^{tX}) = 1$, $X \in \text{Lie}(SO(p,q))$



Proposition 13

$\text{Lie}(U(p,q)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} \mid A_{p \times p}, D_{q \times q} \text{ skew hermitian, } B_{p \times q} \text{ is arbitrary} \right\}$

Proof

The proof is exactly along the lines of the proof of prop 11.

Proposition 14

$$\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{su}(p,q)) = \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{u}(p,q))$$

Proof

This follows just like in the proof of prop 12. we clearly have that trace $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} = 0$ if A, D are skew hermitian & hence e^{tx} has determinant 1 for such an $x \in \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{u}(p,q))$

Proposition 15

$$\mathcal{O}(p,q) \cong \mathcal{O}(p) \times \mathcal{O}(q) \times \mathbb{R}^{pq}$$

Proof

We invoke theorem 10 with $G = \mathcal{O}(p,q)$.

Firstly we find $\mathcal{O}(p,q) \cap \mathcal{U}(p+q)$

$$\text{but } g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}(p,q)$$

$$\text{Then } A^T A - C^T C = I_p, \quad D^T D - B^T B = I_q, \quad A^T B = C^T D$$

$$\text{If } g \in \mathcal{U}(p+q), \text{ then } g^T g = I = gg^T$$

$$\text{This gives } AA^T + BB^T = I_p, \quad CC^T + DD^T = I_q, \quad AC^T + BD^T = 0, \\ A^T A + C^T C = I_p, \quad B^T B + D^T D = I_q, \quad A^T B + C^T D = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } C^T C = B^T B = 0 \Rightarrow C, B \text{ are } 0 \text{ matrices}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{O}(p,q) \cap \mathcal{U}(p+q) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in \mathcal{O}(p), D \in \mathcal{O}(q) \right\} \\ \cong \mathcal{O}(p) \times \mathcal{O}(q)$$

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{O}(p,q)) = \mathcal{G} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} \mid A, D \text{ skew symm} \right\}$$

$$\text{Now if } X \in \mathcal{G} \text{ is also hermitian (i.e. symmetric), then} \\ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^T & B \\ B^T & D^T \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A, D \text{ symmetric}$$

$$\text{But } A, D \text{ are skew symm} \Rightarrow A = D = 0$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H}(p+q) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid B \in M_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \\ \cong \mathbb{R}^{pq}$$

$$\text{Thus by theorem 10, } \mathcal{O}(p,q) \cong \mathcal{O}(p) \times \mathcal{O}(q) \times \mathbb{R}^{pq}$$

This also neatly shows that $\mathcal{O}(p,q)$ has 4 connected components

Corollary 16

$SU(p, q) \cong S(\mathcal{O}(p) \times \mathcal{O}(q)) \times \mathbb{C}^{pq}$ where
 $S(\mathcal{O}(p) \times \mathcal{O}(q)) = \{ (A, B) \mid A \in \mathcal{O}(p), B \in \mathcal{O}(q), \det(A) \det(B) = 1 \}$

Proposition 17

$$U(p, q) \cong U(p) \times U(q) \times \mathbb{C}^{pq}$$

proof

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{G} = \text{Lie}(U(p, q)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} \mid A, D \text{ skew-hermitian} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{G} \cap H(p+q) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^{pq}$$

Also, as in Prop 15, one can easily see that

$$U(p+q) \cap U(p+q) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in U(p), D \in U(q) \right\} \cong U(p) \times U(q)$$

Theorem 10 finishes the job

This shows that $U(p, q)$ is connected !

Corollary 18

$$SU(p, q) \cong S(U(p) \times U(q)) \times \mathbb{C}^{pq} \text{ where}$$

$$S(U(p) \times U(q)) = \{ (A, B) \mid A \in U(p), B \in U(q), \det(A) \det(B) = 1 \}$$

Proposition 19

$SU(p, q)$ is connected

proof

By virtue of corollary 18, we only need to show that the set $SU(p) \times U(q)$ is connected

$$\text{Let } (A, B) \in SU(p) \times U(q)$$

$$\text{Let } \det(A) = z, \det(B) = z^{-1}$$

Since $A \in U(p)$ and $U(p)$ is path connected, obtain a path

$$\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U(p) \text{ joining } A \text{ to } I_p$$

$$\text{Let } z(t) := \det(\gamma(t)) \quad (z(0) = z, z(1) = 1)$$

Observe that $z(t)$ is a continuous path in \mathbb{C}^\times

We want a path $\delta: [0, 1] \rightarrow U(q)$ st. $\delta(0) = B, \delta(1) = I_q$ and $\det(\delta(t)) = z(t)^{-1} \neq t$

Write $B = \zeta \tilde{B}$ where $\tilde{B} \in \text{SU}(q)$

Since $\det(B) = z^{-1}$, choose ζ st. $\zeta^q = z^{-1}$

let $\omega: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be st. $(\omega(t))^{-1} = z(t)^{-1}$

(since $[0, 1]$ is contractible, one can pick a continuous branch of the q^{th} root of a cont function in \mathbb{C}^\times)

Now $B = \omega(0) \tilde{B}$ with $\tilde{B} \in \text{SU}(q)$

By path connectedness of $\text{SU}(q)$, obtain a path γ joining \tilde{B} to I_q and define

$$\delta(t) = \omega(t) \gamma(t)$$

$$\text{Indeed } \delta(0) = \omega(0) \gamma(0) = \omega(0) \tilde{B} = B$$

$$\delta(1) = \omega(1) \gamma(1) = 1 I_q = I_q$$

$$\det(\delta(t)) = \omega(t)^q \det(\gamma(t)) = z(t)^{-1} \cdot 1 = z(t)^{-1}$$

Thus the path $(\gamma, \delta): [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(p) \times \mathcal{U}(q)$ joins (A, B) to (I_p, I_q) where $(A, B) \in S(\mathcal{U}(p) \times \mathcal{U}(q))$

Thus $S(\mathcal{U}(p) \times \mathcal{U}(q))$ is connected.