Table of Content

Certificate	Pg No. I
Acknowledgment	Pg No. II
Abstract	Pg No. III
List of figures	Pg No. IV
Abbreviations	Pg No. V
Chapter 1: Outline	
1.1 Introduction	Pg No. 1
1.2 Objective	Pg No. 1
Chapter 2: Literature Review	
2.1 Cylindrical DRA	Pg No. 2
2.2 Machine Learning	Pg No. 3
2.2.1 ANN	Pg No. 4
2.2.2 Decision Tree	Pg No. 5
2.2.3 Random Forest	Pg No. 5
2.2.4 KNN	Pg No. 6
2.2.5 XGBoost	Pg No. 6
Chapter 3: Implementation	
3.1 HFSS Model	Pg No. 7
3.2 Dataset	Pg No. 8
3.3 Training	Pg No. 9
Chapter 4: Analysis	
4.1 Prediction	Pg No. 13
4.2 Accuracy	Pg No. 13
4.3 Comparison	Pg No. 15
Conclusion	Pg No. 17
Future Scope	Pg No. 18
References	Pg No. 19

Certificate

This is to certify that the work contained in the thesis titled Antenna Design with

Machine Learning, submitted by Om Singh, Prateek and Pritam Rauniyar in the

partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of Bachelor of Technology in

Electronics and Communication Engineering to the Electronics and Communication

Engineering Department, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad,

is a bonafide work of the students carried out under my supervision.

Date: 2nd Dec 2021

Place: Prayagraj, India

Dr. Anand Sharma **Assistant Professor**

ECE Department

MNNIT, Allahabad

Ι

Acknowledgment

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to our project supervisor Dr. Anand Sharma Sir, for his guidance and mentorship. Our team faced several roadblocks during the project development; sir helped us get through that and be clear with the concepts and perspective. Our team is glad to have a supervisor who provided us with such quality concept clarity and was always available for our curious questions.

We would like to thank Dr. P. Ranjan (Assistant Professor: IITM Electrical /Electronics Dept), who made the project roadmap clear in the initial phase and helped us visualize subsequent major tasks. Following his timeline, we were able to get done with most of our study and research work in a timely and regular fashion. The weekly and bi-weekly scheduled calls proved crucial in being on the right track, making our research fruitful and clear.

Our sincere thanks also go to Harshit Gupta Sir, whose guidance helped us perform the implementation, producing better outcomes and results of each analysis. He also taught us to be to the point while presenting our study in different evaluation rounds and how to make presentations concise. He also guided us with the results to give a descriptive picture of our research and implementation.

Abstract

In this report, our team is attempting to implement various machine learning techniques into Antenna Design Optimization. As a reference antenna, our team has used a Cylindrical DRA (Di-electric Resonator Antenna) with appropriate design parameters. This Antenna Model is designed in the HFSS (High-Frequency Structure Simulator) environment, using which we generated the data-set and verified results.

Studying these ML algorithms, we are trying to prove the efficiency and reliability of these techniques over conventional optimization methods. Each method is analyzed adequately by first training the learning model with the generated data-set. Training is followed by predictions made by each model for given input, comparing the prediction with actual results helped in the accuracy analysis. Further a detailed comparative analysis of these predicted values with the HFSS results was carried out to verify the accuracy.

With our study we tried to conclude the best possible algorithms and also presented the future prospects of work which can be carried on further in the same direction. All these optimization techniques were also compared to opt out the most suitable algorithm for specific use-cases.

List of figures

Fig 2.1: Antenna configuration of cylindrical DRA	Pg No. 2
Fig 2.2: The typical Artificial Neural Network	Pg No. 4
Fig 2.3: ANN Architecture based on MLP	Pg No. 4
Fig 2.4: Decision Tree structure	Pg No.5
Fig 2.5:Categorising a new data point by KNN	Pg No.6
Fig 3.1: Designed HFSS model of CDRA	Pg No.7
Fig 3.2: Optimization iterations cost values.	Pg No. 8
Fig 3.3: S11 sweep for each iteration	Pg No. 8
Fig 3.4: Loss vs Epoch graph for ANN	Pg No. 11
Fig 3.5: ANN Model validation loss vs Epoch graph	Pg No. 11
Fig 4.1 Predicted vs Actual values for ANN	Pg No. 14
Fig 4.2 Predicted vs Actual values for Decision Tree	Pg No. 14
Fig 4.3 Predicted vs Actual values for KNN	Pg No. 15
Fig 4.4 Predicted vs Actual values for Random Forest	Pg No. 15
Fig 4.5 Predicted vs Actual values for XGBoost	Pg No. 15

List of abbreviations

- 1. CDRA Cylindrical Dielectric Resonator Antenna
- 2. ANN Artificial Neural Network
- 3. KNN K Nearest Neighbors
- 4. ML Machine Learning
- 5. DL Deep Learning
- 6. HFSS High Frequency Structure Simulator
- 7. EM Electro-Magnetic
- 8. MLP Multilayer-perceptron
- 9. LM Levenberg-Marquardt
- 10. FOM Figure of Merit
- 11. IoT Internet of Things