

Q(3)

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & X^{(1)} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & X^{(2)} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & X^{(3)} & \text{---} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{---} & X^{(m)} & \text{---} \end{bmatrix}$$

$m \times (n+1)$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ Y^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$(n+1)$ indicates we have an extra feature containing only '1's.

Parameters

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} \\ \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Θ

~~This removes the~~
This ensures that $\hat{Y} = X\Theta$ works (instead of $Y = W^T X + b$)

In BGD

$$\Theta_j \leftarrow \Theta_j - \frac{\partial J(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_j}, \text{ where } J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (X^{(i)} \Theta - Y^{(i)})^2$$

Repeat
 $\Rightarrow \Theta_j \leftarrow \Theta_j - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m (X^{(i)} \Theta - Y^{(i)}) X_j^{(i)}, j=1, 2, \dots, n+1$
 until $J(\Theta)$ stops ~~improving~~ reducing.

SGD

Repeat
 $\Theta_j \leftarrow \Theta_j - \alpha (X^{(i)} \Theta - Y^{(i)})$, $j=1, 2, \dots, n+1$
 until $J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (X^{(i)} \Theta - Y^{(i)})^2$ stops reducing

Repeat this \leftarrow
 for each training
 example
 i.e. $i=1, 2, \dots, m$.

For complex (large) datasets, SGD is better as it provides ~~better~~ faster convergence.

~~Math~~ ~~A mathematical proof is~~

~~Intuition~~

Intuition

In BGD, we have to calculate $\sum_{i=1}^m X^{(i)} \Theta - Y^{(i)}$ by iterating over all training examples before updating Θ_j .

Whereas in SGD, an ~~update~~ ^{optimization} is made for each training example. This ~~makes sure~~ ~~It is highly bi~~
 It is highly likely that ~~the~~ optimizing Θ for each training example is "close" to the Θ when we optimize entire cost fn.