

HW3

Omais Shafi Ahmed

A

For men only, run a regression of the wage rate regressed on years of education and age.

i. Interpret the coefficients on years of education and on age.

```
. regress wage educatn age if sex == 1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	290
Model	1023.99108	2	511.99554	F(2, 287)	=	57.47
Residual	2556.94015	287	8.90919911	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	3580.93122	289	12.3907655	R-squared	=	0.2860
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2810
				Root MSE	=	2.9848

wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educatn	.4510391	.0644782	7.00	0.000	.3241289 .5779492
age	.1204381	.0136298	8.84	0.000	.0936111 .1472652
_cons	-3.109822	1.028949	-3.02	0.003	-5.135066 -1.084578

This implies that a unit change in educational years results in 0.451 change in average hourly earnings and a unit change in age results in .12 change in average hourly earnings.

ii. What is the expected wage rate for a 25---year old man with a high school diploma?
What is the expected wage rate for a 25---year old man with one more year of education?
What is the percent difference of the second man relative to the first?

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 12 + _b[ age ] * 25 + _b[ _cons ]  
5.3136004
```

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 13 + _b[ age ] * 25 + _b[ _cons ]  
5.7646395
```

The increase is about 8.4%.

B

b. For men only, run a regression of the logarithm of the wage rate on years of education and age.

i. Interpret the coefficients on years of education and on age.

```
. regress log_wage educatn age if sex == 1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	290
Model	18.450228	2	9.22511402	F(2, 287)	=	66.76
Residual	39.6567266	287	.138176748	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.3175
				Adj R-squared	=	0.3128
Total	58.1069546	289	.201062127	Root MSE	=	.37172

log_wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
educatn	.0595785	.0080299	7.42	0.000	.0437735	.0753835
age	.0163166	.0016974	9.61	0.000	.0129756	.0196575
_cons	.4941712	.1281422	3.86	0.000	.2419535	.7463889

This implies that a unit change in educational years results in 5.9% change in average hourly earnings and a unit change in age results in 1.6% change in average hourly earnings.

ii. Comparing the two men from part a, and using this regression, what is the percent difference in expected wages of the second man relative to the first? (Approximately, using the rules of thumb.)

The two men according to this model, using rule of thumb would differ by 5.9%. This is different from 8.4% calculated above, but likely more accurate as the r-squared here is better.

C

For men only, run a regression of the logarithm of the wage rate on years of education, age, and age squared.

```
. regress log_wage age age_squared educatn
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	457
Model	29.6014289	3	9.86714297	F(3, 453)	=	55.49
Residual	80.5481177	453	.177810414	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.2687
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2639
Total	110.149547	456	.241556023	Root MSE	=	.42168

log_wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
age	.0508079	.0109947	4.62	0.000	.0292008 .0724149
age_squared	-.0004409	.0001396	-3.16	0.002	-.0007152 -.0001666
educatn	.0563233	.0081119	6.94	0.000	.0403817 .0722649
_cons	-.1915081	.2080805	-0.92	0.358	-.600431 .2174148

i. What is the expected difference in wages, in percent, for a 26---year old man versus a 25- --year old man? For a 65---year old man versus a 64---year old man?

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 12 + _b[ age ]*24 + _b[ age_squared ]*596 + _b[ _cons ]
1.4409864
```

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 12 + _b[ age ] * 25 + _b[ age_squared ] * 625 + _b[ _cons ]
1.4790083
```

Taking the antilog, the values are 4.22 and 4.38 respectively. There is a 3.7% increase between a 25 year old man and a 26 year old man, assuming 12 years of education.

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 12 + _b[ age ]*64 + _b[ age_squared ]*4096 + _b[ _cons ]
1.9301687
```

```
. display _b[ educatn ] * 12 + _b[ age ]*65 + _b[ age_squared ]*4225 + _b[ _cons ]
1.9241011
```

Taking the antilog, the values are 6.89 and 6.84 respectively. There is a 0.7% decrease between a 64 year old man and a 65 year old man, assuming 12 years of education.

ii. For what age does the regression predict that wage rates are expected to peak?

```
. display -_b[age] / (2*_b[age_squared])
57.619018
```

It appears as if wages peak at 57.6 years, assuming our model is correct.

D

For men with a 4-year college degree, graph their expected wage rate age profile. The wage rate age profile has the logarithm of the wage rate on the vertical axis, and age on the horizontal axis.

