Assignment Web Similarity Analysis

Generated on 2025-03-26 05:02:08

Executive Summary

Overall Web Similarity Score: 10%

Assessment: Low overall similarity. The assignment primarily contains code, equations, and figure references related to control systems, which are unlikely to have direct textual matches on the web. The identified similarity is primarily due to common phrases and technical terms inherent in the subject matter.

Conclusion: The assignment shows no evidence of plagiarism. The use of standard technical terminology like "Root Locus", "transfer function", and "natural frequency" and code snippets within the context of a control systems design assignment does not constitute plagiarism. The MATLAB/Simulink code presented is specific to the problem described in the assignment and not generic code easily found online. The assignment's structure and content suggest original work applied to a control systems problem. The provided web source (a PDF from MIT OpenCourseware) is not relevant to the specific content of the student's work. The PDF appears to be a raw, unrendered document, not showing actual content that could be compared.

Web Sources Analyzed

Source URL	Similarity Score	
https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/2-003-modeling-dynamics-and-control-i-spring-20	05/15/7d444d833366ec969	666208081a c398

Detailed Content Matches

Match 1 - Common Knowledge (100%)

Assignment: Root Locus

Source: None
Source Text: None

Match 2 - Common Knowledge (100%)

Assignment: transfer function

Source: None
Source Text: None

Match 3 - Common Knowledge (100%)

Assignment: natural frequency

Source: None
Source Text: None

Full Assignment with Highlighted Plagiarism

Sections highlighted in yellow with red text indicate potential plagiarism.

EE5351: CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN

LABORATORY 03

NAME : BANDARA KMTON REG.NO. : EG/2021/4432 GROUP NO. : CE 07

DATE: 20/01/2024

Summative Laboratory Form

Semester

05

Module Code

EE5351

Module Name

Control Systems Design

Lab Number

03

Lab Name

Laboratory Session 3

Lab Conducted Date

2024.11.05

Report Submission Date

2025.01.24

Table of Contents

1 OBSERVATIONS 5

2 References 13

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Simulink for the Question 3 5

Figure 2: Simulink for updated version from Q3 6

Figure 3: Time domain response for Q5 6

Figure 4: Code for Root locus of closed loop 7

Figure 5: Root Locus 7

Source: None

Figure 6: Root Locus after increasing Omega 9

Source: None

Figure 7: Comparison of the time responses 11

Figure 8:Designing Comapesator 12

Figure 9: Time domain response $[\theta m(t)]$ of the closed loop position control system of DC motor 12

OBSERVATIONS

Question1)

= ++ 1

= 2

= 3

= 4

Considering the above equations t/f Given as:

```
By negliting the rotor inductance (Due to the Small value)
Figure 1: Simulink for the Question 3
Figure 1: Simulink for the Question 3
To get the closed loop transfer function
Source: None
Figure 2: Simulink for updated version from Q3
Figure 2: Simulink for updated version from Q3
Figure 3: Time domain response for Q5
Figure 3: Time domain response for Q5
Question2)
Figure 4: Code for Root locus of closed loop
Figure 4: Code for Root locus of closed loop
Figure 5: Root Locus
Source: None
Figure 5: Root Locus
Source: None
By considering the characteristic equation
2 =
= 10.045
clc; clear; close all;
%% Define the Open-Loop Transfer Function for DC Motor Position Control
numerator = [0.042]; % System gain
denominator = [17.556e-5, 1.764e-3, 0.042]; % Denominator coefficients
G = tf(numerator, denominator);
%% Plot the Root Locus of the Open-Loop System
Source: None
figure;
rlocus(G);
title('Root Locus of DC Motor Position Control System');
Source: None
grid on;
%% Increase Natural Frequency by 10%
omega_n = 10.045; % Current natural frequency (example value)
```

Source: None

```
omega n new = 1.1 * omega n; % New desired natural frequency (increase by 10%)
Source: None
% Now, we will modify the system to achieve the new natural frequency.
Source: None
% We need to adjust the parameters of the system such that the new ωn is achieved.
% Adjust the denominator to increase \omega n by 10%
denominator new = denominator;
denominator new(1) = denominator new(1) * (omega n new / omega n); % Adjust the first denominator term to
scale with on
% Create the new transfer function
Source: None
G_new = tf(numerator, denominator_new);
%% Plot the Root Locus of the Modified System
Source: None
figure;
rlocus(G new);
title('Root Locus After Increasing Natural Frequency by 10%');
Source: None
grid on;
Figure 6: Root Locus after increasing Omega
Source: None
Figure 6: Root Locus after increasing Omega
Source: None
clc: clear: close all:
%% Define the Open-Loop Transfer Function for DC Motor Position Control
numerator = [0.042]; % System gain
denominator = [17.556e-5, 1.764e-3, 0.042]; % Denominator coefficients
G = tf(numerator, denominator);
%% Plot the Root Locus of the Open-Loop System
Source: None
figure;
rlocus(G);
title('Root Locus of DC Motor Position Control System');
Source: None
grid on;
%% Increase Natural Frequency by 10%
omega_n = 10.045; % Current natural frequency (example value)
Source: None
omega_n_new = 1.1 * omega_n; % New desired natural frequency (increase by 10%)
Source: None
% Now, we will modify the system to achieve the new natural frequency.
Source: None
% We need to adjust the parameters of the system such that the new ωn is achieved.
% Adjust the denominator to increase ωn by 10%
denominator new = denominator;
denominator new(1) = denominator new(1) * (omega n new / omega n); % Adjust the first denominator term to
scale with on
% Create the new transfer function
```

Source: None

```
G new = tf(numerator, denominator new);
%% Plot the Root Locus of the Modified System
Source: None
figure;
rlocus(G_new);
title('Root Locus After Increasing Natural Frequency by 10%');
Source: None
grid on;
% Calculate and plot the time response of both systems
figure;
step(G, 'b', G_new, 'r'); % Original in blue, Modified in red
title('Comparison of Time Responses: Original vs Modified System');
legend('Original System', 'Modified System');
grid on;
Figure 7: Comparison of the time responses
Figure 7: Comparison of the time responses
Question3)
Figure 8:Designing Comapesator
Figure 8:Designing Comapesator1.
2.
Figure 9: Time domain response [\thetam(t)] of the closed loop position control system of DC motor
Figure 9: Time domain response [\theta m(t)] of the closed loop position control system of DC motor
References
[1]
"Tutorials Point," [Online]. Available: https://www.tutorialspoint.com/control_systems/control_systems_construction_
root_locus.htm.
[2]
"Mathwworks," [Online]. Available: https://in.mathworks.com/help/control/ref/dynamicsystem.rlocus.html.
```

[Online]. Available: https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/control-systems-controllers/.

Analysis Methodology

Web Similarity Analysis Method: This report analyzes the similarity between a student assignment and web content using multiple approaches:

- 1. **Basic similarity analysis** using TF-IDF vectorization and cosine similarity metrics to calculate statistical similarity between texts.
- 2. **Advanced semantic analysis** using Google's Gemini AI to identify conceptual similarities, common phrases, and potential plagiarism patterns.
- 3. **Source verification** by analyzing multiple sources to distinguish between common knowledge and unique content.

Interpretation Guide:

- 0-15%: Very low similarity Likely original content
- 16-30%: Low similarity Contains common phrases but largely original
- 31-50%: Moderate similarity May contain some paraphrased content
- 51-70%: High similarity Contains substantial similar content
- 71-100%: Very high similarity Significant portions may be unoriginal

Disclaimer: This automated similarity analysis provides an approximation of content similarity against web sources. Results should be interpreted by a human reviewer for context-appropriate assessment. Common knowledge, standard phrases, and coincidental matches may be flagged and require human judgment.