

Document Similarity Analysis Report


Generated on 2025-03-26 18:42:59

Executive Summary

Overall Similarity Score: 100.0%

Interpretation: The documents are extremely similar or potentially identical in content.

Similarity Visualization



Similar Content

Documents Compared

Property	Document 1	Document 2
Filename	mand_20250326054659.pdf	u_20250326053916.pdf
Word Count	1951	1951

Similar Content Analysis

All similar phrases found (58 total):

Match 1/58 (100.0% similarity)

- Document 1:** DATABASE SYSTEMS MINI PROJECT A Report Submitted to the Department of Electrical and Information Eng...
- Document 2:** DATABASE SYSTEMS MINI PROJECT A Report Submitted to the Department of Electrical and Information Eng...

Match 2/58 (100.0% similarity)

- Document 1:** Initially concluded that the entities, attributes and the relationships where the database of pet ad...
- Document 2:** Initially concluded that the entities, attributes and the relationships where the database of pet ad...

Match 3/58 (100.0% similarity)

- Document 1:** here used the conceptual database model while these process it has converted the conceptual represen...

Document 2: here used the conceptual database model while these process it has converted the conceptual represen...

Match 4/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: In these database it was used the MySql to represent the physical structure of the database on Pet_...

Document 2: In these database it was used the MySql to represent the physical structure of the database on Pet_...

Match 5/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: First identify the user under the categories as adopters, admin, employees as well as the personal d...

Document 2: First identify the user under the categories as adopters, admin, employees as well as the personal d...

Match 6/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: As the main relationship is occurred with the users with the pet which are under adopted or they has...

Document 2: As the main relationship is occurred with the users with the pet which are under adopted or they has...

Match 7/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Considering pet entity it mainly focused on their health issues from that there has stored data as v...

Document 2: Considering pet entity it mainly focused on their health issues from that there has stored data as v...

Match 8/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: When considering about the pet adoption centre it has direct relationship with the users and transac...

Document 2: When considering about the pet adoption centre it has direct relationship with the users and transac...

Match 9/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: As well as it includes the financial also it provides that it has mainly income of it.

Document 2: As well as it includes the financial also it provides that it has mainly income of it.

Match 10/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Considering about the user there is a entity named shelter where it was managed or worked employees ...

Document 2: Considering about the user there is a entity named shelter where it was managed or worked employees ...

Similar Content Analysis (continued)

Match 11/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: It can be a user as an adopter or willing to be an adopter so that there is an opportunity to showca...

Document 2: It can be a user as an adopter or willing to be an adopter so that there is an opportunity to showca...

Match 12/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: As an adopter there is a main service provide here that is can be get veterinary services under the ...

Document 2: As an adopter there is a main service provide here that is can be get veterinary services under the ...

Match 13/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: 1.1.2 Data Requirements Considering about these database it can be identified as basically 8 entitie...

Document 2: 1.1.2 Data Requirements Considering about these database it can be identified as basically 8 entitie...

Match 14/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Others are named as strong entities.

Document 2: Others are named as strong entities.

Match 15/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: All the entities and the attributes of the database is provided below.

Document 2: All the entities and the attributes of the database is provided below.

Match 16/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Strong entities and attributes 1.

Document 2: Strong entities and attributes 1.

Match 17/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: User User_ID Name Contact_No Email Adress Reg_Date 2.

Document 2: User User_ID Name Contact_No Email Adress Reg_Date 2.

Match 18/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: PET Pet_ID User_ID Pet_Name Pet_Type Age Date of birth Breed Availability 3.

Document 2: PET Pet_ID User_ID Pet_Name Pet_Type Age Date of birth Breed Availability 3.

Match 19/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Transaction Trans_ID User_ID Vet_ID Trans_Date Amount Trans_Type 4.

Document 2: Transaction Trans_ID User_ID Vet_ID Trans_Date Amount Trans_Type 4.

Match 20/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Financial Amount Trans_ID User_ID 5.

Document 2: Financial Amount Trans_ID User_ID 5.

Similar Content Analysis (continued)

Match 21/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Event Event_ID Event_Name Event_Date User_ID Event_Location 6.

Document 2: Event Event_ID Event_Name Event_Date User_ID Event_Location 6.

Match 22/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Vetanary Vet_ID Vet_Name User_ID V_Date Pet_ID Medicine 7.

Document 2: Vetanary Vet_ID Vet_Name User_ID V_Date Pet_ID Medicine 7.

Match 23/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Vet_Visit Vetvisit_ID Vet_ID Visit_Date Reason Vet_Name Pet_ID Weak entites and attributes 1.

Document 2: Vet_Visit Vetvisit_ID Vet_ID Visit_Date Reason Vet_Name Pet_ID Weak entites and attributes 1.

Match 24/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Medical_History History_ID Pet_ID Pre_Date Details 2.

Document 2: Medical_History History_ID Pet_ID Pre_Date Details 2.

Match 25/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Comments 2 Chapter 2 Conceptual Design Figure 1: Entity Relationship Diagram of the Pet Adoption Dat...

Document 2: Comments 2 Chapter 2 Conceptual Design Figure 1: Entity Relationship Diagram of the Pet Adoption Dat...

Match 26/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Retrieve al tuples from USER table Figure 63: Retrieve al tuples from USER table Figure 64: Retrieve...

Document 2: Retrieve al tuples from USER table Figure 63: Retrieve al tuples from USER table Figure 64: Retrieve...

Match 27/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Sum of the transaction Figure 71: Sum of the transaction Figure 72: DEVISION (Find the user who has...

Document 2: Sum of the transaction Figure 71: Sum of the transaction Figure 72: DEVISION (Find the user who has...

Match 28/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: Tuning 1 (sum of the transactions) Figure 85: TUNING 1 (sum of the transactions) 2.

Document 2: Tuning 1 (sum of the transactions) Figure 85: TUNING 1 (sum of the transactions) 2.

Match 29/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 2 (user whose has adopted and participated events) Figure 86: Tuning 2 (User Whose Has Adopte...

Document 2: TUNING 2 (user whose has adopted and participated events) Figure 86: Tuning 2 (User Whose Has Adopte...

Match 30/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 3 (union of transactions underadoption and donations) Figure 88: TUNING 3 (Union Of Transacti...

Document 2: TUNING 3 (union of transactions underadoption and donations) Figure 88: TUNING 3 (Union Of Transacti...

Similar Content Analysis (continued)

Match 31/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 4 (creation pf union of views) Figure 90: TUNING 4 (creation pf union of views) 5.

Document 2: TUNING 4 (creation pf union of views) Figure 90: TUNING 4 (creation pf union of views) 5.

Match 32/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 5 (cont how many userswho have adopt more than one pet) Figure 91: TUNING 5 (cont how many us...

Document 2: TUNING 5 (cont how many userswho have adopt more than one pet) Figure 91: TUNING 5 (cont how many us...

Match 33/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Document 2: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Match 34/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Document 2: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Match 35/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Document 2: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Match 36/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Document 2: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Match 37/58 (100.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Document 2: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Match 38/58 (85.71% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Document 2: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Match 39/58 (85.71% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Document 2: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Match 40/58 (85.25% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Document 2: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Similar Content Analysis (continued)

Match 41/58 (85.25% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Document 2: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Match 42/58 (83.87% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Document 2: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Match 43/58 (83.87% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Document 2: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Match 44/58 (82.54% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Document 2: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Match 45/58 (82.54% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Document 2: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Match 46/58 (81.97% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Document 2: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Match 47/58 (81.97% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Document 2: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Match 48/58 (81.25% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Document 2: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Match 49/58 (81.25% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Document 2: TUNING 7 Figure 93: Tuning 7 8.

Match 50/58 (80.65% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Document 2: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Similar Content Analysis (continued)

Match 51/58 (80.65% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Document 2: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Match 52/58 (80.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Document 2: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Match 53/58 (80.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Document 2: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Match 54/58 (80.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Document 2: TUNING 6 Figure 92: Tunning 6 7.

Match 55/58 (80.0% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 10 Figure 96: Tuning 10

Document 2: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Match 56/58 (79.37% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Document 2: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Match 57/58 (79.37% similarity)

Document 1: TUNING 9 Figure 95: tuning 9 10 .

Document 2: TUNING8 Figure 94: Tuning 8 9.

Match 58/58 (60.11% similarity)

Document 1: Comments 2 Chapter 2 Conceptual Design Figure 1: Entity Relationship Diagram of the Pet Adoption Dat...

Document 2: DATABASE SYSTEMS MINI PROJECT A Report Submitted to the Department of Electrical and Information Eng...

Report Details

Analysis Method: This report uses TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) vectorization and cosine similarity metrics to analyze document similarity. Additionally, sentence-level comparison is performed using sequence matching algorithms.

Interpretation Guide:

- 0-20%: Very low similarity
- 21-40%: Low similarity
- 41-60%: Moderate similarity
- 61-80%: High similarity
- 81-100%: Very high similarity

Disclaimer: This automated similarity analysis provides an approximation of content similarity. The results should be interpreted by a human reviewer for context-appropriate assessment.