

COMP201

Computer Systems & Programming

Lecture #20 – Security Vulnerabilities



KOÇ
UNIVERSITY

Aykut Erdem // Koç University // Fall 2021

Good news, everyone!

- Assignment 4 will be out tomorrow!
 - Don't miss Mert's extra office hour (time and date will be announced soon).




Recap

- Arrays
 - One-dimensional
 - Multi-dimensional (nested)
 - Multi-level
- Structures
 - Allocation
 - Access
 - Alignment
- Floating Point

Recap: Structures & Alignment


Unaligned Data



```
struct S1 {  
    char c;  
    int i[2];  
    double v;  
} *p;
```

Aligned Data

- Primitive data type requires K bytes
- Address must be multiple of K



Recap: Alignment Principles

Aligned Data

- Primitive data type requires K bytes
- Address must be multiple of K
- Required on some machines; advised on x86-64

Motivation for Aligning Data

- Memory accessed by (aligned) chunks of 4 or 8 bytes (system dependent)
 - Inefficient to load or store datum that spans quad word boundaries
 - Virtual memory trickier when datum spans 2 pages

Compiler

- Inserts gaps in structure to ensure correct alignment of fields

Recap: Specific Cases of Alignment (x86-64)

- 1 byte: `char`, ...
 - no restrictions on address
- 2 bytes: `short`, ...
 - lowest 1 bit of address must be 0_2
- 4 bytes: `int`, `float`, ...
 - lowest 2 bits of address must be 00_2
- 8 bytes: `double`, `long`, `char *`, ...
 - lowest 3 bits of address must be 000_2
- 16 bytes: `long double` (GCC on Linux)
 - lowest 4 bits of address must be 0000_2

Recap: Satisfying Alignment with Structures

Within structure:

- Must satisfy each element's alignment requirement


Overall structure placement

- Each structure has alignment requirement K
 - K = Largest alignment of any element
- Initial address & structure length must be multiples of K

```
struct S1 {  
    char c;  
    int i[2];  
    double v;  
} *p;
```

Example:


- K = 8, due to **double** element



Recap: Overall Alignment Requirement

- For largest alignment requirement K
- Overall structure must be multiple of K

```
struct S2 {  
    double v;  
    int i[2];  
    char c;  
} *p;
```




Multiple of $K=8$

Recap: Arrays of Structures

- Overall structure length multiple of K
- Satisfy alignment requirement for every element


```
struct S2 {  
    double v;  
    int i[2];  
    char c;  
} a[10];
```



Recap: Accessing Array Elements

- Compute array offset $12 * \text{idx}$
 - `sizeof(S3)`, including alignment spacers
- Element `j` is at offset 8 within structure
- Assembler gives offset `a+8` (resolved during linking)

```
struct S3 {  
    short i;  
    float v;  
    short j;  
} a[10];
```



```
short get_j(int idx) {  
    return a[idx].j;  
}
```

```
# %rdi = idx  
leaq (%rdi,%rdi,2),%rax # 3*idx  
movzwl a+8(,%rax,4),%eax
```

Recap: Programming with SSE3

XMM Registers

- 16 total, each 16 bytes
- 16 single-byte integers



- 8 16-bit integers



- 4 32-bit integers




- 4 single-precision floats



- 2 double-precision floats



- 1 single-precision float




- 1 double-precision float




Recap: Scalar & SIMD Operations


- Scalar Operations:
Single Precision



- SIMD Operations:
Single Precision



- Scalar Operations:
Double Precision



Plan for Today

- Memory Layout
- Buffer Overflow

Disclaimer: Slides for this lecture were borrowed from

—Randal E. Bryant and David R. O'Hallaron's CMU 15-213 class


—Ruth Anderson's UW CSE 351 class

Lecture Plan

- Memory Layout
- Buffer Overflow

x86-64 Linux Memory Layout

- Stack
 - Runtime stack (8MB limit)
 - E. g., local variables
- Heap
 - Dynamically allocated as needed
 - When call `malloc()`, `calloc()`, `new()`
- Data
 - Statically allocated data
 - E.g., global vars, static vars, string constants
- Text / Shared Libraries
 - Executable machine instructions
 - Read-only



Memory Allocation Example

```
char big_array[1L<<24]; /* 16 MB */
char huge_array[1L<<31]; /* 2 GB */


int global = 0;

int useless() { return 0; }

int main ()
{
    void *p1, *p2, *p3, *p4;
    int local = 0;
    p1 = malloc(1L << 28); /* 256 MB */
    p2 = malloc(1L << 8); /* 256 B */
    p3 = malloc(1L << 32); /* 4 GB */
    p4 = malloc(1L << 8); /* 256 B */
    /* Some print statements ... */
}
```

- Where does everything go?

not drawn to scale



x86-64 Example Addresses

address range $\sim 2^{47}$

local
p1
p3
p4
p2
big_array
huge_array
main()
useless()

0x00007ffe4d3be87c

0x00007f7262a1e010

0x00007f7162a1d010

0x0000000008359d120


0x0000000008359d010

0x00000000080601060

0x00000000000601060


0x0000000000040060c

0x00000000000400590



Reminder: x86-64/Linux Stack Frame

- **Caller's** Stack Frame
 - Arguments (if > 6 args) for this call
- Current/ **Callee** Stack Frame
 - Return address
 - Pushed by `call` instruction
 - Old frame pointer (optional)
 - Caller-saved pushed before setting up arguments for a function call
 - Callee-saved pushed before using long-term registers
 - Local variables
(if can't be kept in registers)
 - "Argument build" area
(Need to call a function with >6 arguments? Put them here)



Lecture Plan

- Memory Layout
- Buffer Overflow
 - Vulnerability
 - Protection

Memory Referencing Bug Example

```
typedef struct {  
    int a[2];  
    double d;  
} struct_t;  
  
double fun(int i) {  
    volatile struct_t s;  
    s.d = 3.14;  
    s.a[i] = 1073741824; /* Possibly out of bounds */  
    return s.d;  
}
```

fun(0) → 3.14

fun(1) → 3.14

fun(2) → 3.1399998664856

fun(3) → 2.00000061035156

fun(4) → 3.14

fun(6) → Segmentation fault


Result is system specific

Memory Referencing Bug Example

```
typedef struct {  
    int a[2];  
    double d;  
} struct_t;
```

fun(0) → 3.14
fun(1) → 3.14
fun(2) → 3.1399998664856
fun(3) → 2.00000061035156
fun(4) → 3.14
fun(6) → Segmentation fault

Explanation:



Buffer Overflow in a Nutshell

- C does not check array bounds
 - Many Unix/Linux/C functions don't check argument sizes
 - Allows overflowing (writing past the end) of buffers (arrays)
- "Buffer Overflow" = Writing past the end of an array
- Characteristics of the traditional Linux memory layout provide opportunities for malicious programs
 - Stack grows "backwards" in memory
 - Data and instructions both stored in the same memory

String Library Code

- Implementation of Unix function `gets()`

```
/* Get string from stdin */
char *gets(char *dest)
{
    int c = getchar();
    char *p = dest;
    while (c != EOF && c != '\n') {
        *p++ = c;
        c = getchar();
    }
    *p = '\0';
    return dest;
}
```

- No way to specify limit on number of characters to read
- Similar problems with other library functions
 - `strcpy`, `strcat`: Copy strings of arbitrary length
 - `scanf`, `fscanf`, `sscanf`, when given `%s` conversion specification

Demo: Bufdemo



bufdemo.c

<https://godbolt.org/z/vE5zWrzfr>

Vulnerable Buffer Code

```
/* Echo Line */
void echo()
{
    char buf[4]; /* Way too small! */
    gets(buf);
    puts(buf);
}

void call_echo() {
    echo();
}
```

↑
btw, how big
is big enough?

```
unix>./bufdemo-nsp
Type a string:
012345678901234567890123
012345678901234567890123
```

```
unix>./bufdemo-nsp
Type a string:
0123456789012345678901234
Segmentation Fault
```

Buffer Overflow Disassembly

echo:

00000000004006cf <echo>:

4006cf: 48 83 ec 18

4006d3: 48 89 e7

4006d6: e8 a5 ff ff ff

4006db: 48 89 e7

4006de: e8 3d fe ff ff

4006e3: 48 83 c4 18

4006e7: c3

sub \$0x18,%rsp

mov %rsp,%rdi

callq 400680 <gets>

mov %rsp,%rdi

callq 400520 <puts@plt>

add \$0x18,%rsp

retq

call_echo:

4006e8: 48 83 ec 08

4006ec: b8 00 00 00 00

4006f1: e8 d9 ff ff ff

4006f6: 48 83 c4 08

4006fa: c3

sub \$0x8,%rsp

mov \$0x0,%eax


callq 4006cf <echo>

add \$0x8,%rsp

retq

Buffer Overflow Stack

Before call to gets




```
/* Echo Line */  
void echo()  
{  
    char buf[4]; /* Way too small! */  
    gets(buf);  
    puts(buf);  
}
```

```
echo:  
    subq    $24, %rsp  
    movq    %rsp, %rdi  
    call    gets  
    . . .
```

Buffer Overflow Stack Example

Before call to gets



buf ← %rsp

```
void echo()  
{  
    char buf[4];  
    gets(buf);  
    . . .  
}
```

```
echo:  
    subq    $24, %rsp  
    movq    %rsp,  
    %rdi  
    call    gets  
    . . .
```

```
call_echo:  
    . . .  
4006f1: callq    4006cf <echo>  
4006f6: add     $0x8,%rsp  
    . . .
```

Buffer Overflow Stack Example #1

After call to gets

Stack Frame for call_echo			
00	00	00	00
00	40	06	f6
00	32	31	30
39	38	37	36
35	34	33	32
31	30	39	38
37	36	35	34
33	32	31	30

buf ← %rsp

```
void echo()
{
    char buf[4];
    gets(buf);
    . . .
}
```

```
echo:
    subq    $24, %rsp
    movq    %rsp,
    %rdi
    call    gets
    . . .
```

```
call_echo:
    . . .
4006f1:  callq    4006cf <echo>
4006f6:  add     $0x8,%rsp
    . . .
```

```
unix>./bufdemo-nsp
Type a string:
01234567890123456789012
01234567890123456789012
```

Overflowed buffer, but did not corrupt state

Buffer Overflow Stack Example #2

After call to gets

Stack Frame for call_echo			
00	00	00	00
00	40	00	34
33	32	31	30
39	38	37	36
35	34	33	32
31	30	29	28
27	26	25	24
23	22	21	20

buf ← %rsp

```
void echo()
{
    char buf[4];
    gets(buf);
    . . .
}
```

```
echo:
    subq    $24, %rsp
    movq    %rsp,
%rdi
    call    gets
    . . .
```

call_echo:

```
. . .
4006f1: callq    4006cf <echo>
4006f6: add     $0x8,%rsp
. . .
```

```
unix>./bufdemo-nspunix>./bufdemo-nsp
Type a string:
0123456789012345678901234
Segmentation Fault
```

Overflowed buffer and corrupted return pointer

Buffer Overflow Stack Example #3

After call to gets

Stack Frame for call_echo			
00	00	00	00
00	40	06	00
33	32	31	30
39	38	37	36
35	34	33	32
31	30	39	38
37	36	35	34
33	32	31	30

buf ← %rsp

```
void echo()  
{  
    char buf[4];  
    gets(buf);  
    . . .  
}
```

```
echo:  
    subq    $24, %rsp  
    movq    %rsp,  
    %rdi  
    call    gets  
    . . .
```

```
call_echo:  
    . . .  
4006f1:  callq    4006cf <echo>  
4006f6:  add      $0x8,%rsp  
    . . .
```

```
unix>./bufdemo-nsp  
Type a string:  
012345678901234567890123  
012345678901234567890123
```

Overflowed buffer, corrupted return pointer, but program seems to work!

Buffer Overflow Stack Example #3 Explained

After call to gets

Stack Frame for call_echo			
00	00	00	00
00	40	06	00
33	32	31	30
39	38	37	36
35	34	33	32
31	30	39	38
37	36	35	34
33	32	31	30

register_tm_clones:

. . .

```
400600: mov    %rsp,%rbp
400603: mov    %rax,%rdx
400606: shr    $0x3f,%rdx
40060a: add    %rdx,%rax
40060d: sar    %rax
400610: jne    400614
400612: pop    %rbp
400613: retq
```

“Returns” to unrelated code

Lots of things happen, without modifying critical state

Eventually executes `retq` back to main

buf ← %rsp


Why is buffer overflow a big deal?

- Buffer overflows on the stack can overwrite “interesting” data
 - Attackers just choose the right inputs
- Simplest form (sometimes called “stack smashing”)
 - Unchecked length on string input into bounded array causes overwriting of stack data
 - Try to change the return address of the current procedure
- Why is this a big deal?
 - It was the #1 *technical* cause of security vulnerabilities
 - #1 *overall* cause is social engineering / user ignorance

Code Injection Attacks

```
void P(){  
    Q();  
    ... ← return address A  
}  
  
int Q() {  
    char buf[64];  
    gets(buf);  
    ...  
    return ...;  
}
```


- Input string contains byte representation of executable code
- Overwrite return address A with address of buffer B
- When Q executes `ret`, will jump to exploit code



Malicious Use of Buffer Overflow: Code Injection Attacks

```
void foo(){  
    bar();  
A:... ← return address A  
}
```

```
int bar() {  
    char buf[64];  
    gets(buf);  
    ...  
    return ...;  
}
```



- Input string contains byte representation of executable code
- Overwrite return address A with address of buffer B
- When `bar()` executes `ret`, will jump to exploit code

Question

- smash_me is vulnerable to stack smashing!
- What is the minimum number of characters that gets must read in order for us to change the return address to a stack address?
 - For example: (0x00 00 7f ff CA FE F0 0D)

Always 0's

Previous stack frame			
00	00	00	00
00	40	05	d1
...			
			[0]

```
smash_me:
    subq    $0x40, %rsp
    ...
    leaq    16(%rsp), %rdi
    call    gets
    ...
```

- A. 27
- B. 30
- C. 51
- D. 54
- E. We're lost...

Question

- smash_me is vulnerable to stack smashing!
- What is the minimum number of characters that gets must read in order for us to change the return address to a stack address?
 - For example: (0x00 00 7f ff CA FE F0 0D)

Always 0's

Previous stack frame			
00	00	00	00
00	40	05	d1
...			
			[0]

```
smash_me:
    subq    $0x40, %rsp
    ...
    leaq    16(%rsp), %rdi
    call    gets
    ...
```

A. 27

B. 30

C. 51

D. 54 = 64 - 16 + 6

E. We're lost...

Exploits Based on Buffer Overflows

- **Buffer overflow bugs can allow remote machines to execute arbitrary code on victim machines**
- Distressingly common in real programs
 - Programmers keep making the same mistakes 😞
 - Recent measures make these attacks much more difficult
- Examples across the decades
 - Original “Internet worm” (1988)
 - “IM wars” (1999)
 - Twilight hack on Wii (2000s)
 - Hacking embedding devices (e.g. cars, smart homes, planes)
- You will learn some of the tricks in Assignment 5
 - Hopefully to convince you to never leave such holes in your programs!!


Example: the original Internet worm (1988)

- Exploited a few vulnerabilities to spread
 - Early versions of the finger server (fingerd) used `gets()` to read the argument sent by the client:
 - `finger droh@linuxpool.ku.edu.tr`
 - Worm attacked fingerd server by sending phony argument:
 - `finger "exploit-code padding new-return-address"`
 - exploit code: executed a root shell on the victim machine with a direct TCP connection to the attacker.
- Once on a machine, scanned for other machines to attack
 - invaded ~6000 computers in hours (10% of the Internet 😊)
 - see June 1989 article in Comm. of the ACM
 - the young author of the worm was prosecuted...
 - and CERT was formed... homed at CMU

Example 2: IM War

July 1999:

- Microsoft launches MSN Messenger (instant messaging system).
- Messenger clients can access popular AOL Instant Messaging Service (AIM) servers



IM War (cont.)

August 1999:

- Mysteriously, Messenger clients can no longer access AIM servers
- Microsoft and AOL begin the IM war:
 - AOL changes server to disallow Messenger clients
 - Microsoft makes changes to clients to defeat AOL changes
 - At least 13 such skirmishes
- What was really happening?
 - AOL had discovered a buffer overflow bug in their own AIM clients
 - They exploited it to detect and block Microsoft: the exploit code returned a 4-byte signature (the bytes at some location in the AIM client) to server
 - When Microsoft changed code to match signature, AOL changed signature location

Date: Wed, 11 Aug 1999 11:30:57 -0700 (PDT)
From: Phil Bucking <philbucking@yahoo.com>
Subject: AOL exploiting buffer overrun bug in their own software!
To: rms@pharlap.com

Mr. Smith,

I am writing you because I have discovered something that I think you might find interesting because you are an Internet security expert with experience in this area. I have also tried to contact AOL but received no response.

I am a developer who has been working on a revolutionary new instant messaging client that should be released later this year.

...

It appears that the AIM client has a buffer overrun bug. By itself this might not be the end of the world, as MS surely has had its share. But AOL is now *exploiting their own buffer overrun bug* to help in its efforts to block MS Instant Messenger.

....

Since you have significant credibility with the press I hope that you can use this information to help inform people that behind AOL's friendly exterior they are nefariously compromising peoples' security.

Sincerely,
Phil Bucking
Founder, Bucking Consulting
philbucking@yahoo.com

***It was later determined
that this email originated
from within Microsoft!***

Aside: Worms and Viruses

- **Worm:** A program that
 - Can run by itself
 - Can propagate a fully working version of itself to other computers
- **Virus:** Code that
 - Adds itself to other programs
 - Does not run independently
- Both are (usually) designed to spread among computers and to wreak havoc

Hacking Cars

- UW CSE [research from 2010](#) demonstrated wirelessly hacking a car using buffer overflow
- Overwrote the onboard control system's code
 - Disable brakes
 - Unlock doors
 - Turn engine on/off





SNES Code Injection

53:27.59

This is a project to inject an entire game's source code (331 bytes) into unused portions of SNES system memory, and then run it, turning Super Mario World into a completely different game. What game? Watch and find out.

If I don't make any mistakes, it should take about an hour. If I make one mistake, the game will either crash, or I'll have to start the section over.

I've been planning this for several months with [p4plus2](#). Thanks also to [MrCheeze](#), without whom this route would not be possible.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hB6eY73sLV>

SethBling

OK, what to do about buffer overflow attacks

- Avoid overflow vulnerabilities
- Employ system-level protections
- Have compiler use “stack canaries”

1. Avoid Overflow Vulnerabilities in Code (!)

```
/* Echo Line */  
void echo()  
{  
    char buf[4]; /* Way too small! */  
    fgets(buf, 4, stdin);  
    puts(buf);  
}
```


For example, use library routines that limit string lengths

- `fgets` instead of `gets`
- `strncpy` instead of `strcpy`
- Don't use `scanf` with `%s` conversion specification
 - Use `fgets` to read the string
 - Or use `%ns` where `n` is a suitable integer

2. System-Level Protections can help

Randomized stack offsets

- At start of program, allocate random amount of space on stack
- Shifts stack addresses for entire program
- Makes it difficult for hacker to predict beginning of inserted code
- E.g.: 5 executions of memory allocation code




local 0x7ffe4d3be87c 0x7fff75a4f9fc 0x7ffeadb7c80c 0x7ffeaea2fdac 0x7ffcd452017c

- Stack repositioned each time program executes

2. System-Level Protections can help

Nonexecutable code segments

- In traditional x86, can mark region of memory as either "read-only" or "writeable"
 - Can execute anything readable
- X86-64 added explicit "execute" permission
- Stack marked as non-executable



Any attempt to execute this code will fail

3. Stack Canaries can help

Idea:

- Place special value ("canary") on stack just beyond buffer
- Check for corruption before exiting function

GCC Implementation

- -fstack-protector
- Now the default (disabled earlier)

```
unix>./bufdemo-sp  
Type a string:  
0123456  
0123456
```

```
unix>./bufdemo-sp  
Type a string:  
01234567  
*** stack smashing detected ***
```


Protected Buffer Disassembly

echo:

```
40072f: sub    $0x18,%rsp
400733: mov    %fs:0x28,%rax
40073c: mov    %rax,0x8(%rsp)
400741: xor    %eax,%eax
400743: mov    %rsp,%rdi
400746: callq  4006e0 <gets>
40074b: mov    %rsp,%rdi
40074e: callq  400570 <puts@plt>
400753: mov    0x8(%rsp),%rax
400758: xor    %fs:0x28,%rax
400761: je     400768 <echo+0x39>
400763: callq  400580 <__stack_chk_fail@plt>
400768: add    $0x18,%rsp
40076c: retq
```

Setting Up Canary

Before call to gets



buf ← %rsp

```
/* Echo Line */
```

```
void echo()
```

```
{
```

```
    char buf[4]; /* Way too small! */
```

```
    gets(buf);
```

```
    puts(buf);
```

```
}
```

```
echo:
```

```
    . . .
```

```
    movq    %fs:40, %rax    # Get canary
```


```
    movq    %rax, 8(%rsp)  # Place on stack
```

```
    xorl    %eax, %eax     # Erase canary
```

```
    . . .
```


Checking Canary

After call to gets



```
/* Echo Line */
void echo()
{
    char buf[4]; /* Way too small! */
    gets(buf);
    puts(buf);
}
```

Input: 0123456

```
echo:
    . . .
    movq    8(%rsp), %rax    # Retrieve from stack
    xorq    %fs:40, %rax    # Compare to canary
    je      .L6              # If same, OK
    call    __stack_chk_fail # FAIL
.L6:
    . . .
```

buf ← %rsp

Return-Oriented Programming Attacks

- Challenge (for hackers)
 - Stack randomization makes it hard to predict buffer location
 - Marking stack nonexecutable makes it hard to insert binary code
- Alternative Strategy
 - Use existing code
 - E.g., library code from `stdlib`
 - String together fragments to achieve overall desired outcome
 - *Does not overcome stack canaries*
- Construct program from gadgets
 - Sequence of instructions ending in `ret`
 - Encoded by single byte `0xc3`
 - Code positions fixed from run to run
 - Code is executable

Gadget Example #1

```
long ab_plus_c  
  (long a, long b, long c) {  
    return a*b + c;  
  }
```

00000000004004d0 <ab_plus_c>:

4004d0: 48 0f af fe imul %rsi,%rdi

4004d4: 48 8d 04 17 lea (%rdi,%rdx,1),%rax

4004d8: c3 retq



$\text{rax} \leftarrow \text{rdi} + \text{rdx}$

Gadget address = 0x4004d4

- Use tail end of existing functions

Gadget Example #2

```
void setval(unsigned *p) {  
    *p = 3347663060u;  
}
```

Encodes `movq %rax, %rdi`

```
<setval>:  
4004d9: c7 07 d4 48 89 c7 movl $0xc78948d4, (%rdi)  
4004df: c3 retq
```


`rdi ← rax`

Gadget address = `0x4004dc`

- Repurpose byte codes

ROP Execution

- Trigger with `ret` instruction
 - Will start executing Gadget 1
- Final `ret` in each gadget will start next one



Recap

- Memory Layout
- Buffer Overflow

Next time: memory hierarchy