

# The Computation of Common Lyapunov Functions via Nonsmooth Newton Method

Zheng Chen

School of Science,  
Ningbo University of Technology,  
Ningbo, China  
chenzheng@nbut.edu.cn

**Abstract**—This paper studies common quadratic Lyapunov functions for a finite number of linear time invariant systems. We present Newton method which can find common quadratic Lyapunov functions for a number of stable linear systems, and a numerical example is given to illustrate our result.

**Keywords**— Common quadratic Lyapunov function; Nonsmooth Newton method; Matrix functions; switched systems

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the problems of system stability and stabilization of switched systems have received an increased attention in control theory or control design [1-4]. Roughly speaking, a switched system is a system that combines continuous dynamical system with a logic based switching rule that determines mode switches in the system's operation at different points in time [5]. It is well-known that the stability of each of the constituent systems is not sufficient to ensure that the overall systems are stable for arbitrary switching signals [6]. For this reason, the problem of how to find a common Lyapunov function arises because it can assure the stability of a switched system. The most common types of common Lyapunov functions used in practice are quadratic, linear and piecewise-linear [7]. When the switched linear dynamical system is considered, the common Lyapunov function is always given by a quadratic form. The algorithms of finding common quadratic Lyapunov functions have been investigated in detail [8-10].

In this paper, we shall give a novel approach to give common quadratic Lyapunov functions for a family of stable linear systems. In detail, the rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section II, the definition of common quadratic Lyapunov functions is introduced, and several notation that is useful in giving the key result of this paper. In section III, a new method to find common quadratic Lyapunov functions is proposed. Section IV concludes this paper.

## II. COMMON QUADRATIC LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

Before proceeding, we introduce some notation and definition [11].

Let  $M_{mn}$  be the space of all  $m \times n$  matrices.

$O$  is the set which consists all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices.

For  $X$  and  $Y \in S^m$ , we have

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = X \bullet Y = \text{Trace}(XY) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m X_{ij} Y_{ij}$$

“ $T$ ” represents the transpose of a matrix or a vectors.

$\|X\|$  is the norm of matrix  $X$ :  $\|X\| = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}$ .

$\|a\|$  denotes the 2-norm of a vector  $a$ .

We can write  $X \succ 0$  if  $X$  is a positive definite matrix and  $X \succeq 0$  if  $X$  is a positive semidefinite matrix.

For  $X \succeq 0$ , we will denote theirs symmetric square root by  $\sqrt{X}$  or  $X^{1/2}$ .

**Definition 1** If a matrix  $X \in S^n$ , then  $\text{vec}(X)$  is a vector whose entries come from the matrix  $X$  by stacking up all columns of  $X$ , from the 1st column to the nth column, on the top of each other. The operator “mat” is the inverse operator of “vec”; The operator  $\text{svec}(X)$  only fetches the lower half of the matrix  $X$ , including all the diagonal entries, and stacks them into a column vector in a similar way just like  $\text{vec}(X)$ .

**Definition 2** For any matrix  $W \in S(n_1, \dots, n_m)$ , let  $\text{Vec}(W)$  be a vector as follows

$$\text{Vec}(W) := (\text{vec}(W_1)^T, \dots, \text{vec}(W_m)^T)^T,$$

where  $W_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  is the  $i$ th block of the matrix  $W$ . The operator  $\text{Mat}$  is the inverse operator of  $\text{Vec}$ ; The operator  $\text{Svec}$  is defined by as following

$$\text{Svec}(W) := (\text{svec}(W_1)^T, \dots, \text{svec}(W_m)^T)^T$$

and the operator  $\text{Smat}$  is the inverse of  $\text{Svec}$ .

The matrix-valued function

$$F : S(n_1, \dots, n_m) \rightarrow S(n_1, \dots, n_m)$$

under  $\text{Svec}$  turns out to be a vector valued function  $f : R^{\bar{v}} \rightarrow R^{\bar{v}}$  is given by

$$f(x) := \text{Svec}[F(X)],$$

where  $X = \text{Smat}(x)$ .

A switched linear system is a dynamical system of the form

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x$$

where  $A(t)$  can switched between several given finite collection of matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  in  $R^{n \times n}$ .

Thus, a switched linear dynamical system works by switching between a finite number of linear time invariant dynamical systems  $\Sigma_{A_i} : \dot{x} = A_i x, i = 1, \dots, k$ , which refers to as its modes.

**Definition 3** The function  $V(x) = x^T P x$  is a common quadratic Lyapunov function for the linear systems  $\Sigma_{A_1}, \dots, \Sigma_{A_k}$  if  $P = P^T \succ 0$  and  $A_i^T P + P A_i \prec 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

### III. MAIN RESULTS

In this part, we give the method for finding common Lyapunov functions in detail.

Let  $S(n_1, \dots, n_m)_+$  be the convex cone of all symmetric block-diagonal matrices which is positive semidefinite. Define the semidefinite projection matrix function  $[\cdot]_+ : S(n_1, \dots, n_m) \rightarrow S(n_1, \dots, n_m)_+$  as a matrix valued function that satisfies

- (1) the matrix  $[X]_+ \in S(n_1, \dots, n_m)_+$ ;
- (2)  $\|X - [X]_+\| \leq \|X - Z\|$ , all  $Z \in S(n_1, \dots, n_m)_+$ .

It is well known that [11]

$$[X]_+ = p \cdot \text{diag}[\max(0, \lambda_1), \dots, \max(0, \lambda_n)] \cdot p^T,$$

where the matrix  $p \in O$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in R$  satisfy  $X = p M p^T$  and  $M = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$ .

Using the above notation, we can rewrite the matrix inequations  $A_i^T P + P A_i \prec 0$  as follows

$$[A^T P + P A]_+ = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & o & o \\ o & \ddots & o \\ o & o & A_k \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & o & o \\ o & \ddots & o \\ o & o & X_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } o$$

is zero matrix.

We can see that (1) are matrix-valued function, and the equations (1) can be solved by Newton method. Next, we introduce the concept of generalized derivative of a matrix-valued function  $F$ . The “generalized derivative” of the function  $F$  at  $X$  is a set given as follows

$$\partial F_X = \text{co}\{\partial_B F_X\}, \text{ where } \partial_B F_X = \left\{ \lim_{\substack{Z \rightarrow X \\ Z \in D_F}} F_Z' \right\},$$

where “co” represent the convex hull in the normal sense of convex analysis theory.

It is very easy to see that there is an isometry relationship between  $\partial f(x)$  and  $\partial F_X$ . We can get

$$\partial F_X = \text{Smat} \circ \partial f(x) \circ \text{Svec}.$$

Now we will show how to compute (1). Note that  $[X]_+ = [X + \sqrt{X^2}] / 2$ , where  $\sqrt{X^2} = [X]_+ + [-X]_+$ . If we can give the generalized derivative of  $\sqrt{X^2}$ , the generalized derivative of  $[X]_+$  can also be given. The generalized derivative of  $\sqrt{X^2}$  can be obtained as follows:

(1) for any  $H \in S^n$ , compute  $\text{vec}(H)$

(2) compute

$$d = (I \otimes \sqrt{X^2} + \sqrt{X^2} \otimes I)^{-1} Y \text{vec}(H),$$

where  $Y = (I \otimes X + X \otimes I)$ .

(3) From  $\text{vec} F_X'(H) = d$ , we can get  $F_X'$ .

For matrix-valued equation  $F(X) = 0$ , the key step of generalized Newton method is the equation as follows

$$X_{k+1} = X_k - V_k^{-1} g(X_k). \quad (2)$$

Given a certain initial value  $X_0$ , we can repeat the process via (2) until the difference  $|X_{k+1} - X_k|$  is small enough.

Finally, an example is presented to illustrate the above main results.

#### Example

Let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0 & -2.0 & -1.0 \\ 0.0 & -2.0 & -1.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & -2.0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2.0 & -1.0 & -2.0 \\ 0.0 & -1.0 & -2.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & -1.0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we can use the above method and get the a common Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = x^T Q x,$$

where  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This paper present a novel method to find out a common quadratic Lyapunov function for a number of linear systems. We find common Lyapunov functions via solving some equations. Furthermore, this approach provides a numerical solution to Lyapunov function inequations in generally.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] X. Liu and C. Dang, "Stability analysis of positive switched linear systems with delays," *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 56, pp. 1684-1690, 2011.
- [2] E. Hernandez - Vargas, P. Colaneri, R. Middleton, and F. Blanchini, "Discrete - time control for switched positive systems with application to mitigating viral escape," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 21, pp. 1093-1111, 2011.
- [3] Y. Wang, W. Wang, and D. Wang, "LMI approach to design fault detection filter for discrete-time switched systems with state delays," *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*, vol. 6, pp. 387-398, 2010.
- [4] G. S. Deaecto, J. Daafouz, and J. C. Geromel, "\calH\_2 and \calH\_\infty Performance Optimization of Singularly Perturbed Switched Systems," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 50, pp. 1597-1615, 2012.
- [5] D. Liberzon and A. S. Morse, "Basic problems in stability and design of switched systems," *Control Systems, IEEE*, vol. 19, pp. 59-70, 1999.
- [6] J. Mancilla-Aguilar and R. Garcia, "A converse Lyapunov theorem for nonlinear switched systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 41, pp. 67-71, 2000.
- [7] Z. Sun, *Switched linear systems: Control and design*: Springer, 2006.
- [8] Z. Chen and Y. Gao, "On common linear copositive Lyapunov functions for pairs of stable positive linear systems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 3, pp. 467-474, 2009.
- [9] Z. Chen and Y. Gao, "The computation of a common quadratic Lyapunov function for a linear control system," *Journal of Information and Computing Science*, vol. 2, pp. 299-304, 2007.
- [10] G. Eisenbarth, J. M. Davis, and I. Gravagne, "On common quadratic Lyapunov functions for dynamic normal switched systems," in *System Theory (SSST), 2013 45th Southeastern Symposium on*, 2013, pp. 108-113..
- [11] D. Sun and J. Sun, "Semismooth matrix-valued functions," *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 27, pp. 150-169, 2002.