

Faculty of Engineering & Technology Electrical & Computer Engineering Department INFORMATION AND CODING THEORY

ENEE5304 Report For Course assignment

Prepared by:

Ahmed Zubaidia 1200105

Omar Masalmah 1200060

Instructor: Dr. Wael Hashlamoun

Section: 1

Date: 8/1/2024

Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|---|---|
| Understanding Huffman Coding | 1 |
| Application and Relevance. | 1 |
| Method (Theoretical Background) | |
| Huffman Coding vs. ASCII Encoding. | 2 |
| Calculating Entropy and Efficiency | 2 |
| Implementing Huffman Coding | 2 |
| Results and Analysis | 3 |
| Implementation and Character Frequency | |
| Huffman Tree Construction and Code Assignment | 3 |
| Compression Results | 3 |
| Analysis of Huffman Codes | 3 |
| Comparative Analysis with ASCII | 3 |
| Picture of the output | 4 |
| Conclusions | 6 |
| Efficacy of Huffman Coding: | |
| Near Optimal Efficiency | 6 |
| Practical Implications | 6 |
| References | 7 |
| Annendix: | 8 |

Table of Figures:

| Figure 1: Output of Entropy & AVG &number of bits | 4 |
|--|---|
| Figure 2: symbol, probability, codewords length part 1 | 4 |
| Figure 3: symbol, probability, codewords length part 2 | 4 |

Introduction

Purpose of the Report

This report investigates the implementation and efficiency of Huffman Coding as a method of data compression. With digital data growing exponentially, efficient storage and transmission have become imperative. Huffman Coding offers a solution by encoding data in variable-length codes, reducing the overall size of the data.

Understanding Huffman Coding

Huffman Coding is an optimal prefix code that is commonly used for lossless data compression. The technique uses a binary tree to represent the most efficient binary code of different lengt hs for each symbol based on its frequency in the data set [1].

Application and Relevance

The relevance of the technique extends to text compression, telecommunications and multimedia. This report applies Huffman Coding to compress A single text - to Build a Fire by Jack London - to demonstrate the algorithm's effectiveness and practical utility [2].

By looking at the character frequency of the text and applying Huffman coding we show that compression efficiency is achievable relative to classical fixed-length Coding schemes such as ASCII. Results are expected to highlight the significance of Huffman Coding in practical applications where data compression is required.

Method (Theoretical Background)

Huffman Coding Algorithm Overview:

Huffman Coding is lossless data compression that uses a binary tree to assign variable-length codes to input characters with lengths based on their frequencies. The algorithm has several key steps:

- 1. Character Frequency Analysis: The first step would be analyzing the text to determine how frequently a character seems.
- 2. Tree Construction: Characters are queued in a binary tree with each leaf node representing a character and its frequency. The tree is constructed by removing the two nodes of least probability repeatedly and replacing them with a new node as the parent with a frequency the same as the sum of their frequencies.
- 3. Code Assignment: After the tree is built, each character gets a binary code showing the path to its corresponding leaf from the base of the tree. High frequency characters have shorter codes, and lower frequency characters have a longer code [1].

Huffman Coding vs. ASCII Encoding

In ASCII encoding, every character has a specific amount of bits (usually eight bits a character). In contrast, Huffman Coding assigns variable-length codes based on character frequency, with more frequent characters using fewer bits. This technique will decrease the total number of bits required to represent the text, in texts where certain characters show up more often compared to others [3].

Calculating Entropy and Efficiency

The effectiveness of Huffman Coding is often measured against entropy (minimum possible average code length per symbol) of data. Entropy is computed by the probabilities of every character in the text. Huffman Coding approximates this optimal length for maximum efficiency [4].

Implementing Huffman Coding

The underlying theory behind Huffman Coding is consistent but implementation varies. The choice of programming language and certain algorithmic optimizations may influence the actual compression achieved. Implementation using [chosen programming language] with its efficient data structures and libraries is used in this report.

Results and Analysis

Implementation and Character Frequency

Compressing the text to Build A Fire by Jack London using Huffman Coding algorithm. The text is 37,705 characters. Frequency analysis was performed to determine the frequency of each character which is important for building the Huffman tree. The most common characters were spaces,' e',' t',' a', and others, which indicated their commonality in English and the text.

Huffman Tree Construction and Code Assignment

A Huffman tree based on character frequencies was constructed. Within this tree, each leaf node represented a character from the text, and the path from the root to the leaf represented the binary code of that character. Characters with higher frequencies such as space ("),' e', and 't' received shorter codes while less frequent characters received longer codes.

Compression Results

Application of Huffman Coding to the text produced the following results:

- Total Characters: 37,705
- Entropy 4.25665 bits / character.
- Avg Bits per Character with Huffman Coding: 4.30245
- Total Bits for ASCII Encoding (NASCII): 301,64 0
- Total Bits for Huffman Encoding (Nhuffman): 162,224
- Compression Percentage: 53.78 %

These results demonstrate the efficacy of Huffman Coding. The compression ratio shows that the encoded data is significantly smaller than with ASCII encoding. The average bits per character with Huffman Coding is close to the theoretical bounds, indicating an efficient compression close to the theoretical bounds.

Analysis of Huffman Codes

The Huffman codes were generated for each differing length character. For example, common characters such as space (") got a short code of 111'and less common characters got longer codes. This variable code length is the heart of Huffman's algorithm efficiency adaptation to the actual text's character distribution.

Comparative Analysis with ASCII

Comparing the Huffman and ASCII encoding methods shows that Huffman Coding provides significant improvements in compression. ASCII, with its fixed-length encoding, doesn't adapt to character frequency, resulting in more extensive data representation. Huffman Coding is adaptable to compress data more effectively, especially for texts with skewed frequency distribution of characters.

Picture of the output

```
Entropy: 4.25665 bits/character
Average: 4.30245 bits/character
Number Of Bits For ASCII: 301640
Number Of Bits For Huffman: 162224
Percentage Of Compression: 53.78%
```

Figure 1: Output of Entropy & AVG &number of bits

| Symbol | Probability | Codewords | Length of codeword |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| ! | 7.956504442381647e-05 | 10101100111111 | 14 |
| п | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 00001001011110 | 14 |
| 1 | 0.0005304336294921098 | 0000100100 | 10 |
| (space) | 0.1869248110330195 | 111 | 3 |
| , | 0.011563453122927994 | 001001 | 6 |
| _ | 0.0023604296512398887 | 00001000 | 8 |
| | 0.010979976130486672 | 000011 | 6 |
| : | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 00001001011111 | 14 |
| ; | 0.0006895637183397427 | 0000101011 | 10 |
| ? | 2.652168147460549e-05 | 101011000001010 | 15 |
| Α | 0.0013260840737302744 | 000010011 | 9 |
| В | 0.0006895637183397427 | 0010110000 | 10 |
| С | 0.0003182601776952659 | 00001010100 | 11 |
| D | 0.00013260840737302744 | 000010010110 | 12 |
| Е | 0.00013260840737302744 | 1010110011110 | 13 |
| F | 0.0002386951332714494 | 101011001010 | 12 |
| G | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 10101100000100 | 14 |
| Н | 0.0031030367325288423 | 00101101 | 8 |
| I | 0.0013791274366794855 | 000010100 | 9 |
| J | 2.652168147460549e-05 | 101011000001011 | 15 |
| К | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 10101100000111 | 14 |
| L | 7.956504442381647e-05 | 0000100101110 | 13 |
| M | 0.00013260840737302744 | 000010010100 | 12 |
| N | 0.0002652168147460549 | 101011001110 | 12 |
| 0 | 0.0002386951332714494 | 101011001011 | 12 |
| Р | 0.00013260840737302744 | 000010010101 | 12 |
| R | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 10101100111110 | 14 |
| S | 0.0007691287627635592 | 0010110001 | 10 |
| T | 0.002864341599257393 | 00001011 | 8 |
| U | 5.304336294921098e-05 | 10101100000110 | 14 |

Figure 2: symbol, probability, codewords length part 1

| | F 70/77/20/021000- 0F | 10101100000110 | 1/ |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----|
| U | 5.304336294921098e-05 | | 14 |
| W | 0.00045086858506829334 | | 11 |
| Υ | 0.00018565177032223843 | | 12 |
| а | 0.058719002784776556 | | 4 |
| b | 0.012146930115369315 | | 6 |
| С | 0.02034212969102241 | | 6 |
| d | 0.04004773902665429 | 11010 | 5 |
| е | 0.10295716748441851 | 010 | 3 |
| f | 0.02081951995756531 | 110111 | 6 |
| g | 0.016390399151306193 | 101010 | 6 |
| h | 0.05731335366662246 | 1000 | 4 |
| i | 0.0512133669274632 | 0011 | 4 |
| j | 0.0005039119480175043 | 10101100110 | 11 |
| k | 0.008009547805330858 | 0010111 | 7 |
| ι | 0.02981036997745657 | 10100 | 5 |
| m | 0.017849091632409494 | 110000 | 6 |
| n | 0.05482031560800955 | 0111 | 4 |
| 0 | 0.05203553905317597 | 0110 | 4 |
| р | 0.011033019493435884 | 001000 | 6 |
| q | 0.00045086858506829334 | 10101100001 | 11 |
| r | 0.03922556690094152 | 11001 | 5 |
| s | 0.0468372894841533 | 0001 | 4 |
| t | 0.07502983689165893 | 1011 | 4 |
| U | 0.02116430181673518 | 00000 | 5 |
| ٧ | 0.004747380983954383 | 10101101 | 8 |
| W | 0.020448216416920833 | 110110 | 6 |
| Х | 0.0009017371701365867 | 1010110001 | 10 |
| у | 0.009256066834637316 | 1010111 | 7 |
| Z | 0.0016178225699509349 | 001011001 | 9 |
| _ | 0.00037130354064447685 | 00001010101 | 11 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure 3: symbol, probability, codewords length part 2

Conclusions

Efficacy of Huffman Coding:

Application of Huffman Coding to "To Build a Fire" by Jack London shows significantly reduced data size compared with traditional ASCII encoding. The algorithm used the frequency of characters to assign variable-length codes, thus producing a 53.78% compression ratio. This large efficiency gain demonstrates the benefit of Huffman Coding when data compression is required.

Near Optimal Efficiency

The average bits per character for Huffman Coding approached the calculated entropy of 4.25665 bits/character, suggesting near optimal compression for the data set. This efficiency demonstrates that Huffman Coding can adjust the code length for each character according to its frequency distribution within the text.

Practical Implications

The results validate Huffman Coding as practical in many domains where efficient data storage and transmission are required. Its flexibility lets it compress non-textual data in addition to image and audio files. Huffman Coding is fundamental to data compression algorithms and still relevant in modern data processing and communication systems.

References

```
[ "1," [Online]. Available: https://brilliant.org/wiki/huffman-encoding/.
]
[ "2," [Online]. Available: https://byjus.com/gate/huffman-coding-
2 notes/#:~:text=for%20uncommon%20symbols.-
] ,Applications%20of%20Huffman%20Coding,space%20required%20for%20digital%20image s..
[ "3," [Online]. Available:
3 https://web.stonehill.edu/compsci/lc/textcompression.htm#:~:text=Unlike%20the%20ASCII
] %20code%20which,of%20bits%20for%20each%20character..
[ "4," [Online]. Available: https://brilliant.org/wiki/entropy-information-theory/.
4
```

Appendix:

```
import heapq
import docx2txt
               frequencyDict[char] = 0
    return frequencyDict
def calculateTotalCharacters(frequencyDict):
    totalCharacters = 0
    for key, frequency in frequencyDict.items():
        totalCharacters += frequency
    return totalCharacters
def calculateProbabilities(frequencyDict, totalCharacters):
   frequencyDict = dict(sorted(frequencyDict.items(), key=lambda item:
item[1], reverse=True))
    print("-----")
   for key, frequency in frequencyDict.items():
       probabilitiesOfEachChar[key] = frequency / totalCharacters
       print(f"{key:<10} {frequencyDict[key]:<12}</pre>
{probabilitiesOfEachChar[key]}")
def calculateEntropy(probabilitiesOfEachChar):
```

```
entropy = 0
        entropy += -probability * math.log(probability, 2)
   return entropy
   for char, frequency in frequency dict.items():
       heap.append([frequency, [char, ""]])
   heapq.heapify(heap)
       low = heapq.heappop(heap)
       high = heapq.heappop(heap)
       for pair in high[1:]:
           pair[1] = '1' + pair[1]
       heapq.heappush(heap, [low[0] + high[0]] + low[1:] + high[1:])
   codewordsForTheCharacters = sorted(heapq.heappop(heap)[1:], key=lambda p:
   return codewordsForTheCharacters
        self.frequency = frequency
   def lt (self, other):
def buildHuffmanTree2(frequency dict):
```

```
for c, frequency in frequency dict.items():
        node = Node(char=c, frequency=frequency)
       heapq.heappush(heap, node)
   heapq.heapify(heap)
        low = heapq.heappop(heap)
       high = heapq.heappop(heap)
       heapq.heappush(heap, merged node)
   codewordsForTheCharacters = traverse tree(heap[0])
    return codewordsForTheCharacters
def traverse tree(node, code="", result=None):
       result.append((node.char, code))
       traverse tree(node.left, code + "0", result)
   return sorted(result, key=lambda p: (len(p[1]), p))
   averageNumber = 0
       averageNumber += probabilitiesOfEachChar[char] * len(codeword)
   return averageNumber
def findPercentageOfCompression(NASCII, Nhuffman):
   text = readText()
   frequencyDict = buildFrequencyDict(text)
   totalCharacters = calculateTotalCharacters(frequencyDict)
```

```
probabilitiesOfEachChar = calculateProbabilities(frequencyDict,
totalCharacters)
   entropy = calculateEntropy(probabilitiesOfEachChar)
   print("Entropy: ",f"{entropy: .5f} bits/character")
   codewords = buildHuffmanTree2(frequencyDict)
   averageNumberOfBits = findAverageNumberOfBits(codewords,
   print("Average: ",f"{averageNumberOfBits: .5f} bits/character")
   NASCII = totalCharacters * 8
   Nhuffman = 0
       Nhuffman += frequencyDict[char] * len(codeword)
   percentageOfCompression = findPercentageOfCompression(NASCII, Nhuffman)
   print("Percentage Of Compression: ",f"{percentageOfCompression: .2f}%")
   codewords.sort() # Sort alphabetically based on the character
       print(f"{char:<10} {probabilitiesOfEachChar[char]:<27} {codeword:<20}</pre>
{len(codeword):<15}")
```