Backed ERC20 Solidity Contracts

Audit Report

January 31, 2023



Summary	
Scope of the Audit	4
Resolution	4
Methods Used	4
Disclaimer	
Severity definitions	
Findings	5
G1. Blacklister can disable mint and burn [low] [resolved]	5
G2. Blacklist does not extend to spender in transferFrom [info] [resolved]	6

Summary

Backed Finance has asked Team Omega to audit the contracts that define the behavior of their ERC20 tokens.

Omega has audited the Backed Finance ERC20 Token in May 2022. The present report covers changes that were made after that date.

We found **no high severity issues** - these are issues that can lead to a loss of funds, and are essential to fix. We classified **no** issues as "medium" - these are issues we believe you should definitely address. We did classify **6** issues "low", and an additional **4** issues were classified as "info" - we believe the code would improve if these issues were addressed as well.

Subsequently, Backed Finance addressed all of the issues we found, and no open issues remain.

Severity	Number of issues	Number of resolved issues
High	0	0
Medium	0	0
Low	6	6
Info	4	4

Scope of the Audit

The audit concerns files developed in the following repository:

• https://github.com/backed-fi/backed-token-contract/

Specifically, it concerns any changes made since our last report from May of this year, i.e. the difference between:

15dce14123fd7358c907710c5592a22443d3e87d

and commit

327a4b8d9156b6a664bfd10ca58142ab83704ad2

These changes add a blacklist functionality to the contracts

Resolution

After receiving a preliminary report, Backed Finance has addressed the issues mentioned in this report in the following commit:

29d28928ea9bb85a3d990c2c5f2d19218093c93e

The changes were validated by Omega, and we have added a description of the resolution to each of the issues.

Subsequently, a number of minor changes were made in commit

78c355af40d6e73308a00f549ff1afb8df404297

Also these changes were audited by Omega, and no new issues were found.

Methods Used

Code Review

We manually inspected the source code to identify potential security flaws.

The contracts were compiled, deployed, and tested in a test environment.

Automatic analysis

We have used static analysis tools to detect common potential vulnerabilities. No high severity issues were identified with the automated processes. Some low severity issues were found, and we have included them below in the appropriate parts of the report.

Disclaimer

The audit makes no statements or warranties about utility of the code, safety of the code, suitability of the business model, regulatory regime for the business model, or any other statements about fitness of the contracts to purpose, or their bug free status. The audit documentation is for discussion purposes only.

Severity definitions

High	Vulnerabilities that can lead to loss of assets or data manipulations.
Medium	Vulnerabilities that are essential to fix, but that do not lead to assets loss or data manipulations
Low	Issues that do not represent direct exploit, such as poor implementations, deviations from best practice, high gas costs, etc
Info	Matters of opinion

Findings

G1. Blacklister can disable mint and burn [low] [resolved]

The blacklister address can blacklist the O address address (0). Because minting (burning) is represented internally in the ERC20 contracts as receiving from (sending to) the zero address, this effectively disables minting and burning to any address.

This seems to give the blacklist more power than intended

Recommendation: Do not allow to add the 0 address to the blacklist

Resolution: The issue was resolved as recommended

G2. Blacklist does not extend to spender in transferFrom [info] [resolved]

A blacklist address cannot receive or send tokens. A blacklisted address can, however, be approved to send tokens for other accounts. Other ERC20 tokens with a blacklist functionality (like the USDC contract) also extend You should consider extending the blacklist functionality also the spender role in the transferFrom implementation.

Recommendation: Forbid a blacklisted address from sending tokens for others using transferFrom Resolution: The issue was resolved as recommended