2. (a). Dota Description: The data are on the homicide rate in Detroit for the years 1961-1973. FTP - Full-time police per 100,000 population UEMP - % unemployed in the population MAN - humber of manufacturing workers in thousands. LIC - rumber of hardgun licenses per 100,000 population. GR - number of handgun registrations per 100,000 population. CLEAR & homicides deaved XMAN - number of non-manufactoring workers in thoughands GOV - number of government norkers in thousands. HE - Average howly earnings WE - Average weekly earnings HOM - number of homitaides per 100,000 of population. From the problem. We know that we use three of the variables can predict HOM: Using the basic linear regression function model: $y(x, w) = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + ... + w_0 x_0$. $x = (x_1, ... + x_0)^T$ S-t. Y(X, W) = Wo + W1X1 + W2X2 + W3X3. X1 = (FTP(1), FTP(2) - FTP(13)) T $x_2 = (well), well) ... wells))^T$ If we want to find the best third-variable; and we need to find Xz. we can try all the other variables and find the minimize Sumof-squares error = 0 $E_{O(W)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right]^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right]^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} t_n - W \phi(x_n) \right)^2 = \left($ then for different third variable we will get different w. And we can use RMSE, by finding the minimize RMSE. We can find the right third variable: EMSE = NEI- (HOMIT) - YITAWY = \(\Si_1 (YOKI) w) - HOMIT))2 I wrote I piece of code one is just use the original data the other is normalized. data by its water in column st every value will & to. 1). Both of them show that LIC. is the best third variable to choose. > result in Without normalization: y= -58.1244 + 0.1847 FTP + 0.1068 WE + 0.0165 LIC. Lineark.m with normalization: y= -0.5812 + 0.7388 FTP+ 0.3205WE+ 0.1482LZC. > result in Alinear R.m

216). i: using b to replace figure feature 1 s'?'
for real-value just replace missing values with the label-conditioned mean.
for letter-value just replace missing values with mode value.

St. replace all '?' in feature 2, 3.15 with mean others with mode value.