

2.2 Propositional Equivalence (≡)

Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency

Definition: A compound proposition that is always true no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occur in it is called a **tautology**. A compound proposition that is always false is called a **contradiction**. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a **contingency**.

Example: Show that $p \vee \neg q$ is a tautology and $p \wedge \neg q$ is a contradiction.

Solution:

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
T	F	T	F
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F

Example: Show that $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

Solution:

p	q	$p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	F	T

Example: Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

Solution:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T

Example: Show that 1) $(p \vee q) \vee (\neg p)$ is a tautology.

2) $(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Solution:

p	q	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee q) \vee (\neg p)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p)$
T	T	F	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	F	F	T	F

Example: Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ is a tautology

Solution:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Definition: When two compound statements have the same truth values in all possible cases are called **logically equivalent**.

The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

De Morgan's laws:

1) Show that $\neg (p \vee q)$ and $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

(or) Show that $\neg (p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

2) Show that $\neg (p \wedge q)$ and $\neg p \vee \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

(or) Show that $\neg (p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

Solution:

1)

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg (p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

2)

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg (p \wedge q)$	$\neg p \vee \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Example: Show that $\neg p \vee q$ and $p \rightarrow q$ are logically equivalent.

(or) Show that $\neg p \vee q \equiv p \rightarrow q$

Solution:

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Example: Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent.

(or) Show that $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Solution:

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Example: Show that $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$ and $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

(or) Show that $\neg p \leftrightarrow q \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

Solution:

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \leftrightarrow q$	$p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	F

Logical Equivalences	
Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge T \equiv p$ $p \vee F \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \vee T \equiv T$ $p \wedge F \equiv F$	Domination laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative laws
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv T$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$	Negation laws

Exercises

1. Show that $\neg(\neg p)$ and p are logically equivalent.
2. Use a truth table to verify the first De Morgan law

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q.$$

3. Show that $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ conditional statements is a tautology by using truth table.
4. Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent.
5. Show that $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ are logically equivalent.