PEVA Cheat Sheet 1

Lecture 1 General

Amdahl's law: $T(n) = (1 - \alpha) \cdot t + \alpha \cdot \frac{t}{n}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(n) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha}$

Kendall notation for queues:

 $arrivals \mid service \mid servers \mid buffersize \mid population \mid scheduling$

arrival Distribution of interarrival time Distribution of service time service

Number of servers. servers

buffer size Maximum number of customers in queueing station (in-

cluding servers).

population Number of customers in and outside the queueing sta-

scheduling Employed scheduling strategy.

Lecture 2 DTMCs

Limiting distribution: $\underline{v}(P-I) = \underline{0}$ and $\sum_i v_i = 1$

$$(P-I) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.4 & -0.4 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{matrix} -0.1v_0 + 0.4v_1 = 0 \\ 0.1v_0 - 0.4v_1 = 0 \end{matrix}$$

A state is recurrent if we return to it with probrecurrent

ability 1.

transient A state is transient (or nonrecurrent) if there

is a positive probability of not returning to this

positive recurrent A state is positive recurrent (or recurrent nonnull) if its mean recurrence time is finite.

A state is null recurrent if its mean recurrence null recurrent

time is infinite.

absorbing A state i is absorbing if and only if pi, i = 1. The period d_i of state i is the greatest common period

divisor of all the values n for which $p_{i,i}(n) > 0$. A DTMC is called irreducible if every state can

be reached from every other state in a finite number of steps. In an irreducible DTMC, all

states have the same period.

Future evolution (next state) only depends on Markov property the current state, not on the past history!

DTMCs are time-homogeneous: the matrix ${f P}$ time-homogeneous

does not change over time.

Lecture 3 CTMCs

irreducible

For each state i, introduce rate q_i for an exponentially distributed residence time; mean residence time is $1/q_i$.

Compute steady-state of CTMC by: 1. GBEs or "fluxin, fluxout". 2. Determine steady-state of embedded DTMC and renormalize \underline{v} with $p_i = (\frac{v_i}{q_i}) \ div \ (\sum_j \frac{v_j}{q_i})$, 3. Generator Matrix Q with $q_{i,j} = q_i \cdot p_{i,j}, i \neq j \text{ and } -q_i, i = j. \text{ With } \mathbf{Q}, \text{ solve } p \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \underline{0}.$

Lecture 4 M|M|1 queues

FCFS	First come, first served.
RR	Round robin.
PS	Processor sharing.
SJN	Shortest job next.
LCFS	Last come, first served.
IS	Infinite server.
PRIO	priority scheduling.
PASTA	Poisson Arrivals See Time Averages.

What is the expected number of jobs in the system in steady state? 1. compute steady-state probabilities using GBEs or something else, 2. use steady-state probabilities to compute expectation.

 $E[N] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} i \cdot p_i.$

If server is infinite (like with a M|M|1 queue), the expected number of customer:

In system, $E[N] = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$.

In queue, $E[N_q] = \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho}$

In server, $E[N_s] = \rho$.

Little's Law helps to go from system-oriented measures tot user-oriented measures.

Little's law for:

Full station	$E[N] = \lambda \cdot E[R]$, with $E[R]$ as the expected re-
	sponse time, average time each customer spends
	in system.
Queue only	$E[N_q] = \lambda \cdot E[W]$, with $E[W]$ as average waiting
	time.
Server only	$\rho = \lambda \cdot E[S]$, with $E[S]$ as average service time.

For finite stations with one server:

 $E[N] = X \cdot E[R]$, with $X = \mu$ if overloaded Little's law Loss prob p_{m} probability that an arriving job has to leave because the buffer is full (PASTA)

 $X = \lambda \cdot (1 - p_m) = \mu \cdot (1 - p_0)$, number of jobs Throughput served per time unit

Utilization $X \cdot E[S] = 1 - p_0$

For infinite stations with m server: For each individual server $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{m \cdot \mu}$ Utilization Expected busy servers Number of busy servers $m \cdot \rho = \frac{\lambda}{2}$

Lecture 5 Simulation

Monte Carlo method: X_i and Y_i random variables, uniform on [0,1]= random points in a unit square. Define J_i if $Y_i \leq X_i^2$ then 1 else 0. Estimate $\widetilde{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_i \approx \int_0^1 x^2 dx$.

The estimate \tilde{a} is a realization of the random variable A. Random variable \widetilde{A} is called an estimator of a. \widetilde{A} should be unbiased, so $E[\widetilde{A}] = a$ and \widetilde{A} should be consistent, so $\lim_{n \to \infty} var[\widetilde{A}] = 0$ Different ways to classify simulation methods: 1. Stochastic vs. deterministic: usage of random numbers, 2. Discrete-event vs. continuous-event, 3. Steady-state vs. transient and 4. Time-based vs. event-based.

Time-based simulation: Also called synchronous simulation, Time proceeds in steps of size Δt , In each iteration all events are processed that happen in the interval $[t, t + \Delta t]$, System state is changed accordingly, Assumption: ordering of events in an interval is not important, events are independent and Δt has to be small.

Event-based simulation: Also called asynchronous simulation, Time 'iumps' from event to event. In each iteration: determine the next event, set simulation time to its occurrence time, process the event and generate new events.

User-oriented measure: Estimate of average response time from n jobs = $\widetilde{r} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i^{(d)} - t_i^{(a)})$, with $t_i^{(a)}$ arrival time of ith job and $t_i^{(d)}$ departure time of ith job.

Mean values: We want to determine an approximation of E[X] of a random variable X (for example, response time). Simulation is used to generate n samples, each of which is a realization of a random variable X_i . All X_i have the same distribution as X. The X_i are (should be) independent of each other. Random variable X is an estimator of E[X]: $\widetilde{X} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and hopefully \widetilde{X} is unbiased $(E[\widetilde{X}] = E[X])$ and consistent $(\lim_{n \to \infty} var[\widetilde{X}] = 0)$.

Confidence intervals: The X_i are (hopefully) independent and identically distributed, with mean E[X] and some (unknown) variance $\sigma^2 = var[X]$. Central limit theorem says: \widetilde{X} is approximately normal distributed with mean E[N] and variance σ^2/n since it is the sum of independent vairables X_i . σ^2 is not

known either, but can be estimated by: $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot (\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{N_i^2} - \tilde{X}^2)$ which is the mean of the squares minus square of the mean. And $var[\widetilde{X}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \approx \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}^2}{2}$

Std deviations Probability that a normally-distributed random variable deviates more than 1.645 standard deviations from the mean, is 10%.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \widetilde{X} & \in [E[X] - 1.645 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, E[X] + 1.645 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}] \\ \text{Random interval } [\widetilde{X} - 1.645 \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}}, \widetilde{X} + 1.645 \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}}] \text{ which with (approx.)} \end{array}$ 90% probability contains the true mean E[X]. This is called the 90% confidence interval.

Use σ instead of 1.645 68% 95% Use $2 \cdot \sigma$ instead of 1.645 99% Use $3 \cdot \sigma$ instead of 1.645

Lecture 6 M|G|1 queues

Deterministic $X_{Det} \sim Det(d), \ Pr\{X=d\}=1, \ E[X_{Det}]=d, \ E[X_{Det}^2]=d^2, \ var[X_{Det}]=0 \ \mathrm{and} \ C_{X_{Det}}^2=0$ Uniform $X_{Unif} \sim Unif(a,b), \ E[X_{Unif}]=\frac{a+b}{2}, \ E[X_{Unif}^2]=0$ $\frac{b^3 - a^3}{3(b - a)}$, $var[X_{Unif}] = \frac{(b - a)^2}{12}$ and $C_{X_{Unif}}^2 = \frac{(b - a)^2}{3(a + b)^2}$ $X_{Exp} \sim Exp(\lambda)$, $E[X_{Exp}] = 1/\lambda$, $E[X_{Exp}^2] = 2/\lambda^2$, Exponential $var[X_{Exp}]=1/\lambda^2$ and $C_{X_{Exp}}^2=1$ Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam

lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer

adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit

mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.