

ASSIGNMENT 12: SPIN PRECESSION

E. ARNOLD, M-S. LIU, R. PRABHU & C.S. RICHARDS
THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

Written in X_YL^AT_EX

SOLENOIDS

INTRODUCTION

In a helium spin-echo experiment, a beam of spin-polarised ^3He atoms passes through a magnetic field before being scattered off the sample. The scattered beam then goes through another field before striking the detector. In this tutorial, we will simulate an idealised version of these precession magnetic fields.

PRECESSION FIELD

Generating the magnetic field of produced by a realistic solenoid is a somewhat involved task, and is therefore out of the scope of this tutorial. Instead, we will focus on how to set up an idealised magnetic field without having to consider the geometry of any particular solenoid.

PARAMETERS OF THE SOLENOID

The only properties of our solenoid that we will explicitly define will be its dimensions and its position. For simplicity, we will consider a rectangular solenoid whose symmetry axes align with our coordinate axes, and we will place the centre of the solenoid at the origin. In the tasks we will construct a class the contain properties described. The methods within the class will include the functions that generate our precession magnetic fields.

TASK

1. Construct a class (which we will call `solenoid`) with properties described above.
2. Write a function that generates a magnetic field with the following features:
 - It should point along the z-axis
 - It should have a uniform magnitude within the solenoid
 - It should have a magnitude equal to 0 outside of the solenoid

3. Write a function that generates a magnetic field with the following features:
 - It should point along the z-axis
 - It should have a uniform magnitude within the solenoid
 - There should be an exponential decay in the magnitude that starts from the two ends of the solenoid
4. Write a short script that calls the two functions that you have written, and shows that they work as desired

TIPS

1. In addition to the parameters of the solenoid, the `solenoid` class should have as one (or more) of its properties the set of points at which you wish to evaluate the magnetic field. There are many ways in which you may wish to allow a user to pass these points to the class, but if you are stuck consider how you may use the `meshgrid` function to help you
2. Consider giving your functions the following general structure:
 - Initialise a matrix that will contain the values of the magnetic field at every point that the user is interested in.
 - Use a `for` loop to run through each point in the matrix and use one or more `if` statements to check whether each point is inside or outside the solenoid, assigning a value to each point when necessary

SUMMARY

- Helium atoms pass through a magnetic "precession" field before and after striking a sample.
- The nature of the precession fields, particularly their transition time, determines the spin phase the atoms have after leaving the fields
- The analytic magnetic fields for realistic solenoids are difficult to find, and so this tutorial focusses on generating simple, idealised versions.

SPIN PRECESSION

PREREQUISITES

The chapter *Spin Echo* from the *Theory Handbook*.

INTRODUCTION

In previous tutorials, we have considered the scattering of helium-3 atoms from surfaces. These scattered atoms can be detected; thus we have previously discussed how we may interpret the scattering using helium-3 spin-echo spectroscopy ($^3\text{HeSE}$). Alongside these tutorials, we have produced models for the diffusion of adsorbates on a surface - which can too be measured with $^3\text{HeSE}$. However, there is a very clear area of the research we have not directed any attention towards: simulating the inner workings of the $^3\text{HeSE}$ machine. In this tutorial, we will focus on simulating the spin precession of the atoms in the helium beam while travelling through magnetic fields.

SPIN

Motivation

In the UK, many second year courses cover the so-called “spin” of a particle in good detail. However, many do not. In addition to this, we aim for our package to be suitable for students having completed just one year of university education, and so must introduce the relevant concepts of spin physics here; we hope that this eases the difficulty that may be faced when attempting this tutorial, without overburdening you with information.

Formalism

How do we mathematically describe spin? Suppose that the general state of an object can be written as the state $|\psi\rangle$, which can be decomposed into two parts as

$$|\psi\rangle = |\mathbf{r}, t\rangle|S\rangle.$$

In this expression, $|\mathbf{r}, t\rangle$ is a ket representing the wavefunction of the object, and $|S\rangle$ is a ket representing the spin state of the object. What do we do with this new way of writing the state of the particle? Introducing an operator $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ to represent the spin observable, then some basic comments can be made. These can be seen by investigating the behaviour of the spin operator on the state of the system; thus writing the action of the spin as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}|\psi\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{S}}(|\mathbf{r}, t\rangle|S\rangle),$$

our first comment can be made. The spin of a particle does not depend on the position of the particle; this is no surprise - a fair comparison would be to assert that the charge of a particle does not change when the position of the particle changes. Therefore the spin of a particle depends on different degrees of freedom: those intrinsic to the particle itself! How is this related to the expression we have written above? If the spin does not depend on spatial degrees of freedom, then the spin operator $|S\rangle$ does not act on the wavefunction's ket. Thus the action of the operator on the state of the object is

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}|\psi\rangle = |\mathbf{r}, t\rangle\hat{\mathbf{S}}|S\rangle$$

(i.e. the spin operator acts on the spin state only). The second comment we wish to make is that the spin operator is a vector; it can be written as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = \hat{S}_x\mathbf{x} + \hat{S}_y\mathbf{y} + \hat{S}_z\mathbf{z},$$

which signals the spin state has spatial components! Note that this is distinct to the spin not having spatial dependence.

Our discussion so far has deviated very little from the most general abstractions we can make. The next steps we take are to make some assumptions about the spin of a particle; assuming that spin has the same behaviour as the angular momentum of a particle, we suggest that:

1. Denoting the operator returning the square magnitude of the spin as \hat{S}^2 , the operators \hat{S}^2 and \hat{S}_j are compatible, where j is any component.
2. If the spin is measured along z , then the eigenvalues of the operator \hat{S}_z are $m_s\hbar$, where m_s is a number from a discrete set of values.
3. m_s takes one of two series of values: one is based on integer values in the range $-s \leq m_s \leq s$; the other is based on half integer values in the range $-s \leq m_s \leq s$. In both cases, s is a constant that represents the maximum eigenvalue of a measured spin component (divided by \hbar).
4. Using this same constant s , the eigenvalues of the square magnitude spin operator \hat{S}^2 are $s(s+1)\hbar^2$.

Following on from the assumptions listed above, the majority of the remainder of the theory is manipulating the mathematics that arises from these assumptions. For the remainder of the discussion in this section, we consider either a helium-3 atom, or an electron. For both of these particles, the value of s is $1/2$. Therefore the permitted values of m_s for both particles are $+1/2$ and $-1/2$. Note that this has a profound consequence: as the magnitude of the spin along z is always the same in magnitude when observed, and the overall magnitude of the spin is constant, we can assert that the radial component of the spin is also constant in magnitude. Recalling the eigenvalues of the square of the magnitude of the overall spin to be $s(s + 1)\hbar^2$, it is evident that for our particles, the magnitude of the overall spin is $\sqrt{3}\hbar/2$. What can we infer from this? The spin of a particle always points at 55° to the z axis.

A Generic Spin State

Consider again that the spin of a particle, when measured along z , always points at 55° to the z axis. Noting that the magnitude is constant, this leads to two packets of particles: one where the number m_s is $1/2$, and one where the number m_s is $-1/2$. These are assigned the labels $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ respectively. If these states form a complete orthonormal basis set, then it is possible to write the spin state $|\chi(t)\rangle$ at a generic time t in the form:

$$|\chi(t)\rangle = \alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle,$$

where the coefficients α and β are parameters that may evolve with time. For this spin state to be normalised, the inner product with itself must be unity. This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\chi(t)|\chi(t)\rangle &= (\alpha^*\langle\uparrow| + \beta^*\langle\downarrow|)(\alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle); \\ &= |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2; \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Moving from the first to the second line is trivial once it is realised that given the spin states are distinguishable, they are orthogonal. Orthonormality is then assumed from the definition, as the kets represent physical states.

SPIN PRECESSION

Direction of Spin

Recall that the generic spin state is

$$|\chi(t)\rangle = \alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle.$$

In real cartesian space, does the spin point along a direction? Following through with the mathematics suggests that the answer to this question is yes. Assume that the unit vector representing the direction of the spin is \mathbf{n} . Defining θ as the angle from the vertical and ϕ as the azimuthal angle, then it is clear that the spin points along the direction

$$\mathbf{n} = \sin \theta \cos \phi \mathbf{x} + \sin \theta \sin \phi \mathbf{y} + \cos \theta \mathbf{z}.$$

If α and β can be expressed in terms of these constants, then the direction the spin points in exists. We will now attempt to solve for the coefficients α and β . The solutions are:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= e^{-i\phi/2} \cos(\theta/2); \\ \beta &= e^{i\phi/2} \sin(\theta/2).\end{aligned}$$

Finding these requires the use of more algebra than we wish to use in this document. Therefore we list the results without proof.

Time Evolution Operator

While all of the discussion so far does not account for the origin of spin, it does allow us to start to consider the *time evolution operator* that is central to the understanding of spin precession. The time evolution of any quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ is described by the Schrodinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle.$$

The solution to this is:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |\psi(0)\rangle,$$

where $e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$ is known as the time evolution operator. How is this relevant to spin precession? If we know the Hamiltonian, then we can construct the time evolution operator. The Hamiltonian for a spin interacting with a magnetic field is:

$$\hat{H} = -\gamma \hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

where γ is a constant. For a field in the z direction, this gives the coefficients α and β to evolve with time as:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t) &= e^{-i(\phi+\omega_0 t)/2} \cos(\theta/2); \\ \beta(t) &= e^{-i(\phi+\omega_0 t)/2} \sin(\theta/2).\end{aligned}$$

This is best derived with Pauli spin matrices.

TASK

1. Before considering the HeSE experimental setup as a whole, it would be prudent to first write a function to model the precession of atomic spin through a single solenoid. It will be useful to consider not only the central axis but any set of points within the solenoid, as well as a range of atomic speeds. Such a function, called `precess()` is given in the appendices as a listing.
 - (a) Read the function `precess()` and compare it to the process described in the theory handbook. Note in particular the way in which the lateral points P are used in this function. It is not in fact necessary to consider the location of these points - all that is relevant is the magnetic field B along the z -axis at each point modelled. Thus, the choice of coordinate system is irrelevant since the locations and number of points P are all encoded in the field B .
 - (b) For all the fields generated in the previous assignment, simulate and plot the variation of the x , y and z spin-components of helium-3 atoms against distance through the solenoid. You may use the following parameters:
 - an initial spin of $S_i = [\theta \ 1 \ 0]'$,
 - an average speed of $v_0 = 768 \text{ m s}^{-1}$,
 - a range of speeds $\Delta v = 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$,
 - a number of considered speeds $n_v = 10$,
 - the field B defined only along the central axis,
 - a set of points z as used in the construction of B .
 - (c) Experiment with fields B defined along non-central axes in the solenoid.
2. Now we have built up some familiarity with the `precess()` function, we can move on to considering the arrangement of solenoids used in HeSE experiments. The three main stages of this process are as follows:
 - (a) The atoms pass through the first solenoid and precess, acquiring different phases.
 - (b) The atoms then collide with the surface and change direction. A 'spin rotator field' is used in this stage to compensate for this change in direction by rotating the atomic spins to lie in the new, rotated coordinate system.
 - (c) The atoms pass through the second solenoid which has its field in the opposite direction and acquire an additional phase change that rotates the spin back to its original direction.

If the collision involved any momentum/energy transfer with the surface, the spins would be altered and wouldn't rotate back to their original direction, producing a measurable change. We

wish to model the elastic and specular case where no such transfer occurs. The code for this is given in the appendices. Try to understand how it functions before you attempt the next task.

3. We now have a complete code that simulates the precession of atomic spins across a range of speeds and transverse positions for a given magnetic field.
 - (a) For a variety of fields, plot the variation in spin components as a function of distance through the solenoid along the central axis for different speeds (*i.e.* separately plot each of the three matrices `Spins(1,i0, :, :)`, `Spins(2,i0, :, :)` and `Spins(3,i0, :, :)` against the vector `Disps` where `i0` is the index in `B` corresponding to the central axis). Try this both with and without a spin rotator field. What is different about the range of output spins for each speed in each case? How does this vary if you assume the spin field provides uniform rotation for all speeds rather than a slight variance as it does in practice? Why is this variance not significant in HeSE experiments? Why might that not be the case if the entire wavelength intensity matrix is to be measured?
 - (b) Repeat the above, but instead of plotting the curves for different speeds, try plotting them for the same speed along different axes.
4. Suppose that in a given experiment we choose to measure only outgoing atoms whose spins lie along the y -axis ($[0\ 1\ 0]$). In the previous assignment we generated two key magnetic fields of interest for the solenoids: a field with a fast transition and a field with a slow transition.
By plotting bar charts of the y -component of the spin against both speed and the distance of axes from the centre, observe how the speed of the solenoid field transition affects the spread of spin components. Which is most suitable for the HeSE apparatus?

SUMMARY

In this tutorial, you should have learned how the spin of a helium-3 particle precesses in the magnetic fields of a helium-3 spin-echo spectrometer.

APPENDIX

PRECESS.M

```
1 function [Sf] = precess(Si, Z, V, B)
2 % precondition: Si = initial spin of all atoms at each of the na
   different speeds and different points P
3 %           Z = the set of points along the axis of the
   solenoid
4 %           B = matrix of the magnetic field at each point (
   P,Z) in the solenoid
5 %           V0 = average speed of incident atoms
6 %           DV = fractional spread in speeds of incident
   atoms
7 %           na = number of atoms considered
8 %
9 % postcondition: Sf = set of final spins for each speed and
   lateral point P
10 %
11
12 %-----
13 % set up dynamic variables of system
14 %-----
15
16 % number of Z-points within solenoid
17 nZ = length(Z);
18 nP = size(B,2);
19 nV = length(V);
20
21 % produce a linearly-varying set of speeds for each atom in
   the given range
22 tmp(:,1,1) = V;
23 % repeat this over the extent of the beam, i.e. constant
   speed since particles are uncharged
24 V = repmat(tmp(:,1,1), [1 nP nZ]);
25
26 % calculate the time taken for each atom to travel each
   considered distance => function t(d)
27 t = zeros(size(V));
28 t(:,1,:) = reshape(repmat(Z', [nV 1]), [nV 1 nZ])./(V(:,1,:));
29 % repeat this over the extent of the beam, i.e. constant
   speed since particles are uncharged
30 t = repmat(t(:,1,:), [1 nP 1]);
31
32 % differentiate t(Z) once wrt. Z to obtain the time interval
   dt taken to travel between each considered distance
   along the length
33 dt=diff(t,1,3);
34 dt(:, :,end+1)=dt(:, :,end);
```

```

35
36 % normalise spin to get initial orientation (unit vector):
37 % initial spins should all have the same magnitude...
38 Simag = sqrt(sum(Si(:,1).^2, 1));
39
40 % ...but possibly different directions for each speed
41 Sidir=Si./((sqrt(sum(Si.^2))+eps);
42
43 %-----
44 %-----
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 %-----
52 % calculate Larmor frequency
53 %-----
54
55 % gyromagnetic ratio for helium 3
56 gamma=2.0378e8; %rad/sec;
57
58 % calculate Larmor frequency for atoms of all speeds b/c
    independent of speed
59 w=gamma*sqrt(sum(B.^2,1));
60
61 % magnitude of B
62 Bmag=sqrt(sum((B.^2),1));
63
64 % normalise B since we only needed the magnitude for the
    Larmor frequency (eps rounds to the nearest floating-
    point number)
65 Bdir=B./(eps+repmat(Bmag, [3 1 1]));
66
67 %-----
68 %-----
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76 %-----
77 % precess spin vectors of atoms along the length of the
    field
78 %-----
79
80 % rotation matrix for precessing spin at point in the
    solenoid
81 R=zeros(3,3,nP,nZ);
82
83 % final spin direction for each atom
84 spinvec1=zeros(3,nP,nZ,nV);
85
86 % matrix of *change* in precession angle in travelling
    between each considered distance, for each atom
87 dphimat=repmat(w, [nV 1 1]).*dt;
88
89 % for each velocity iV...

```

```

90     for iV=1:nV
91         % change in angle phi in each step along the length for
           atom in1
92         dphi = (dphimat(iV,:,:));
93
94         % calculate the rotation matrix for atoms of speed V(iV)
           that acts on the spin at each point for every step
           along the solenoid
95
96         R(1,1,:,:)=(Bdir(1,:,:).^2).*(1-cos(dphi))+cos(dphi);
97         R(1,2,:,:)=(Bdir(1,:,:).*Bdir(2,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))-
           Bdir(3,:,:).*sin(dphi);
98         R(1,3,:,:)=(Bdir(1,:,:).*Bdir(3,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))+
           Bdir(2,:,:).*sin(dphi);
99
100
101         R(2,1,:,:)=(Bdir(1,:,:).*Bdir(2,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))+
           Bdir(3,:,:).*sin(dphi);
102         R(2,2,:,:)=(Bdir(2,:,:).^2).*(1-cos(dphi))+cos(dphi);
103         R(2,3,:,:)=(Bdir(2,:,:).*Bdir(3,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))-
           Bdir(1,:,:).*sin(dphi);
104
105
106         R(3,1,:,:)=(Bdir(1,:,:).*Bdir(3,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))-
           Bdir(2,:,:).*sin(dphi);
107         R(3,2,:,:)=(Bdir(2,:,:).*Bdir(3,:,:)).*(1-cos(dphi))+
           Bdir(1,:,:).*sin(dphi);
108         R(3,3,:,:)=(Bdir(3,:,:).^2).*(1-cos(dphi))+cos(dphi);
109
110         % initial spin for velocity iV at Z-point Z(iZ)=0
111         spinvec1(:,1,iV)=Sidir(:,1,iV);
112
113         % for points iP at each further step Z(iZ) along the
           length, apply the corresponding rotation operator
114         for iP = 1:nP
115             for iZ=2:nZ
116                 spinvec1(:,iP,iZ)=R(:,1,iP,iZ)*spinvec1(:,iP,
                   iZ-1,iV);
117             end
118         end
119     end
120
121     % output spin, making sure dimensions are correct (so no
           squeezing of 1-dim axes)
122     Sf = Simag .* reshape(spinvec1, [3 nP nZ nV]);
123 end

```

MAIN.M

The first step is to initialise the variable parameters of the incident atoms (3-He atoms are assumed so the gyromagnetic ratio is not initialised here)

```

1  %-----
2  % initial atom parameters
3  %-----
4
5  % average speed of He-3 atom through solenoid (along x-axis) in
   m/s
6  V0=768;

```

```

7
8 % maximum fractional difference of atom speeds from average
9 DV=0.1;
10
11 % number of velocities simulated at each point
12 nV=20;
13
14 % initialise vector of velocities
15 V = linspace(V0-(V0*DV),V0+(V0*DV), nV);
16
17 % initial spin polarisation (parallel to the y-axis)
18 spin=[0 1 0]'; Smag = sum(spin.^2);
19
20 % scattering angle at surface
21 sctAngle = pi/4;
22
23 %-----
24 %-----

```

We then turn to initialising the parameters of the apparatus, in particular the solenoids. We additionally define a meshgrid of lateral points inside the solenoid, with the understanding that incident atom trajectories will not colinear, rather they will be spread approximately uniformly over a circular area within the solenoid. In principle, this code allows for a meshgrid of any shape so long as the magnetic field for the solenoid is defined at each point on it.

```

25 %-----
26 % solenoid parameters
27 %-----
28
29 % length of solenoid /m
30 L = 0.005;
31
32 % width/height of rectangular solenoid /m
33 Lx = 0.05;
34 Ly = 0.05;
35
36 %-----
37 %-----
38
39
40
41 %-----
42 % solenoid dimensions of interest
43 %-----
44
45 % SETUP:
46
47 % length of the region of interest (symmetrical about solenoid)
48 Zmax = 5/4*L;
49
50 % number of Z-points to simulate
51 nZ = 750;
52
53
54 % maximum allowed beam radius
55 a = min(Lx, Ly)/2;
56
57 % number of radii to simulate

```

```

58 nr = 5;
59
60
61 % number of angles to simulate -- in range [0,2*pi)
62 ntheta = 8;
63
64
65 % CALCULATION:
66
67 % initialise vector of Z-points
68 Z = linspace(0,Zmax,nZ)';
69
70 % calculate spacing between Z-points (we wish to keep this
    consistent throughout the simulation
71 dZ = Z(2)-Z(1);
72
73
74 % set of radii around central beam in range [0,a)
75 r = linspace(0,a,nr+1); r(end) = [];
76
77
78 % set of angles around central beam in range [0,2*pi)
79 theta = linspace(0,2*pi,ntheta+1); theta(end) = [];
80
81
82
83 % meshgrid of all lateral points on the beamline/cylinder of
    atoms (the meshgrid here isn't actually important, just each
    meshgrid index corresponds to the field value in B at the
    same index (see below)
84 [R, Theta] = meshgrid(r, theta);
85
86 nP = numel(R); % number of points in meshgrid
87
88
89
90 % extract Cartesian grid for use with Solenoid class
91 X = reshape(R.*cos(Theta), [nP 1]); Y = reshape(R.*sin(Theta), [
    nP 1]);
92
93 %-----
94 %-----

```

Having defined the solenoid parameters, we now either import a field from another file or create one. Below we define both a simple uniform field along the z-axis as well as a field directed along the central z-axis with its magnitude given by Gaussian distribution across the distance from the centre. This should demonstrate the required format of the field variable B. Note that in this code there are several calls to functions in the Solenoid class which are commented-out for use in the questions in this exercise.

```

95 %-----
96 % solenoid B-field
97 %-----
98
99 s = Solenoid(L, Lx, Ly, X', Y', Z'-Zmax/2);
100
101 smoothLen = (Z(end) - L)/2;

```

```

102
103 [Bx,By] = s.box_xy();
104
105 % FAST Z-TRANSITION:
106 %Bz = s.box_z();
107
108 % SLOW Z-TRANSITION:
109 % the smoothing length is chosen to maximise smoothing over the
    considered region Z
110 Bz = s.smoothstep_z((Z(end) - L)/2);
111
112 % APPLY RADIAL DECAY OF FIELD STRENGTH:
113 % the standard deviation is chosen to be a third of the radius
    of the solenoid so that the field strength is close to zero
    at the edges
114 %radial_decay_const = 3 * 1/(2*(min(Lx,Ly)/2)^2);
115 %for ij = 1:nP
116 %     for k = 1:nZ
117 %         Bz(ij,k) = Bz(ij,k) * exp(-(X(ij)^2)*radial_decay_const
    - (Y(ij)^2)*radial_decay_const);
118 %     end
119 %end
120
121 % initialise set of vectors for B-field at each point along the
    length
122 B=zeros([3 nP nZ]);
123 B(1,:,:)= Bx; B(2,:,:)= By; B(3,:,:)= Bz;
124
125 B = 0.15 .* B;
126
127 %-----
128 %-----

```

The final step of initialisation is to initialise the parameters of the spin rotator field, as well as the distances between components of the apparatus. In the given code there are three options for the spin rotator field.

```

129 %-----
130 % spin rotator field parameters
131 %-----
132
133 % this variable is: - 0 if there is no spin rotator field
134 %                  - 1 if the spin rotation is ideal (i.e.
    uniform rotation for all speeds)
135 %                  - 2 if the spin rotator field is (fast-
    transitioning) uniform (i.e. rotation depends on speed)
136 spinRotator = 2;
137
138 % distance from end of each solenoid to the spin rotator field
139 rotBDist= 0.05;
140
141 % length of the spin rotator field
142 rotBLen = 0.05;
143
144 %-----
145 %-----

```

Having defined the necessary parameters, we may now turn to simulating the apparatus. We begin by simulating the precession in

the first solenoid. We store the spins as a function of distance travelled through the apparatus in the matrix `Spins` and store those distances in the vector `Disps`. Note also that we choose to record a short period of propagation before reaching the solenoid to later produce graphics that are easier to understand.

```

146 %-----
147 % first solenoid
148 %-----
149
150 % propagate short distance up to solenoid
151 Spins = repmat(spin, [1 nP 5 nV]);
152 Disps = [-0.01:0.002:-0.002]';
153
154 % initial spins are the same for all axes and speeds
155 Si = repmat(spin, [1 nP nV]);
156
157 % precess spin through first solenoid
158 Sf1 = precess(Si, Z, V, B);
159
160 % update stored spins/distances
161 Spins = cat(3, Spins, Sf1);
162 Disps = cat(1, Disps, Z);
163
164 % continue propagating up to SR field
165 numStepsTorotB = rotBDist/dZ - 1;
166 Disps = cat(1, Disps, linspace(Disps(end)+dZ, Disps(end)+
    rotBDist, numStepsTorotB)');
167 Spins = cat(3, Spins, repmat(Spins(:, :, end, :), [1 1
    numStepsTorotB 1]));
168
169 %-----
170 %-----

```

Having propagated through the first solenoid, we then apply the spin rotator (SR) field. In the case of `spinRotator==1` we assume an 'ideal' SR field that rotates atoms of all speeds uniformly through the total scattering angle (to compensate for the change in direction at scatter).

```

171 %-----
172 % spin rotator field
173 %-----
174 % Rotates spin about the x-axis through sctAngle rad without
    affecting trajectory (roughly the same for all speeds
175
176 Nsr = rotBLen/dZ - 1;
177 Zsr = linspace(Disps(end)+dZ, Disps(end)+rotBLen, Nsr)';
178
179 if spinRotator == 1
180     % for an ideal uniform rotation for all speeds...
181
182     % define small rotation for each step along axis
183     RotMsr = [1 0 0; 0 cos(sctAngle/Nsr) -sin(sctAngle/Nsr); 0
        sin(sctAngle/Nsr) cos(sctAngle/Nsr)];
184
185     % initialise temporary spin variable
186     Sfsr = zeros([3 nP Nsr nV]);
187

```

```

188 % first rotation
189 for iP = 1:nP
190     Sfsr(:,iP,1,:) = RotMsr * squeeze(Spins(:,iP,end,:));
191 end
192
193 % iteratively rotate through small angle until sctAngle rad
    in total
194 for iV=2:Nsr
195     for iP = 1:nP
196         Sfsr(:,iP,iV,:) = RotMsr * squeeze(Sfsr(:,iP,iV-1,:))
197     end
198 end
199
200 % update stored spins
201 Spins = cat(3, Spins, Sfsr);

```

If instead `spinRotator==2`, we apply a uniform field along the x -axis which causes precession in the y - z plane as desired. However, as shown in the theoretical background this will cause atoms of different speeds to precess by different total amounts. The average precession angle is given by:

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{\gamma B \ell}{\langle v \rangle},$$

as confirmed in the theoretical background. Thus, it is possible to choose a field strength that gives an average precession angle equal to the scattering angle. Whilst there will be a spread in the precession angle, for different atoms, this is rather small and becomes negligible when the intensity of a particular spin component is measured in a spin-echo experiment.

```

202 elseif spinRotator == 2
203     % for a (fast-transitioning) uniform SR field with variance
        in rotation for diff speeds...
204
205     % CREATE SR FIELD:
206     % initialise set of vectors for B-field at each point along
        the length
207     Bsr = zeros([3 nP length(Zsr)]);
208
209     % for each point along the length...
210     Bsr(1, :, :) = ones([1 nP, length(Zsr)]);
211
212     % gyromagnetic ratio for helium 3
213     gamma=2.0378e8; %rad/sec;
214
215     % scale B-field for phi=sctAngle/Nsr -> <phi>=l*gamma*B/<v>
216     Bsr = sctAngle*V0/(rotBLen*gamma) .* Bsr;
217
218     % PRECESS SPIN THROUGH FIELD
219     Sfsr = precess(Spins(:, :, end, :), Zsr, V, Bsr);
220
221     % update stored spins
222     Spins = cat(3, Spins, Sfsr);

```

Finally, if `spinPrecession` takes any other value then no SR field is applied at all:

```

223 else
224     % for no SR field at all...
225
226     % update stored spins
227     Spins = cat(3, Spins, repmat(Spins(:,:,end,:), [1 1 Nsr 1]))
228     ;
229 end
230 % update stored distances
231 Disps = cat(1, Disps, Zsr);
232
233 %-----
234 %-----

```

It is now necessary to resolve the spins of atoms into a new rotated basis since the direction of travel changes on scatter at the surface. This can be trivially achieved with a rotation matrix. (unfortunately, the the lack of support for higher-dimensional matrix products in Matlab demands the use of a cumbersome for-loop):

```

235 %-----
236 % resolve Sf into new direction ready for second solenoid
237 %-----
238
239 % rotation matrix between two bases
240 RotM = [1 0 0; 0 cos(sctAngle) sin(sctAngle); 0 -sin(sctAngle)
241         cos(sctAngle)];
242
243 % rotate frame for final spins
244 Sfrot = zeros(size(Spins(:,:,end,:)));
245 for iP = 1:nP
246     Sfrot(:,iP,:) = RotM * squeeze(Spins(:,iP,end,:));
247 end
248
249 % continue propagating up to next solenoid
250 Disps = cat(1, Disps, linspace(Disps(end)+dZ, Disps(end)+
251     rotBDist, numStepsTorotB)');
252 Spins = cat(3, Spins, repmat(Spins(:,:,end,:), [1 1
253     numStepsTorotB 1]));
254
255 %-----
256 %-----

```

Having rotated into the new basis, we can simply apply the precession code for the second solenoid. Note that the magnetic field is in the opposite direction!

```

254 %-----
255 % second solenoid
256 %-----
257
258 % precess current spins through second solenoid (opposite field
259     direction)
260 Sf2rot = precess(Sfrot, Z, V, -B);
261
262 %-----
263 %-----

```

For measurement, since the polarising filters are aligned with respect to the initial direction of travel, we must rotate the peccessed

spins back to the original basis with an inverse rotation matrix:

```

263 %-----
264 % resolve Sf2 back
265 %-----
266
267 % rotate frame back to original basis
268 Sf2 = zeros(size(Sf2rot));
269 for iP=1:nP
270     for iV=1:nV
271         Sf2(:,iP,:,iV) = RotM \ squeeze(Sf2rot(:,iP,:,iV));
272     end
273 end
274
275 % update stored spins/distances
276 Spins = cat(3, Spins, Sf2);
277 Disps = cat(1, Disps, Disps(end)+dZ+Z);
278
279 % propagate short distance after solenoid
280 Spins = cat(3, Spins, repmat(Spins(:, :, end, :), [1 1 5 1]));
281 Disps = cat(1, Disps, [Disps(end)+0.002:0.002:Disps(end)+0.01]');
282     ;
283 %-----
284 %-----

```

It may then be desirable to plot curves of spin components against distance through the apparatus for different speeds/trajectories. An example is given below for plots of curves for different speeds, all along the central axis:

```

1 %-----
2 % plot graphs of spin for diff speeds on central axis
3 %-----
4
5 figure();
6
7 % lateral point we wish to plot components for (central axis)
8 iP = 1;
9
10 % plot x-component of spin against distance through solenoid
11 subplot(3,1,1);
12 plot(Disps, squeeze(Spins(1,iP,:,:)));
13 title('$x$ component'); xlim([Disps(1) Disps(end)]); ylim([-Smag
    Smag]);
14
15 % plot y-component of spin against distance through solenoid
16 subplot(3,1,2);
17 plot(Disps, squeeze(Spins(2,iP,:,:)));
18 title('$y$ component'); xlim([Disps(1) Disps(end)]); ylim([-Smag
    Smag]);
19
20 % plot z-component of spin against distance through solenoid
21 subplot(3,1,3);
22 plot(Disps, squeeze(Spins(3,iP,:,:)));
23 title('$z$ component'); xlim([Disps(1) Disps(end)]); ylim([-Smag
    Smag]);
24
25 %-----
26 %-----

```