

## CAS CS 538. Solutions to Problem Set 3

**Due electronically via gradescope, Monday February 9, 2026 11:59pm**  
**Om Khadka, U51801771**

### Problems

**Problem 1.** (10 points) Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  in Attack Game 3.1 uses the following strategy. Choose a random  $t \in \mathcal{S}$  and output 1 if and only if  $G(t) = r$ . Suppose  $G$  is injective. Compute  $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G]$ .

**Solution.** The definition of the game is just gonna be the game 3.1 layout from the book (Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography). From the given attack methodology, we're essentially computing for

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G] = |\Pr[W_0] - \Pr[W_1]|$$

Where  $W_b$  is just the chance that  $\mathcal{A}$  returns 1 from any particular game.

#### exp 0 (Using $G$ )

In exp 0, the following happens:

- Challenger picks  $s \in^R \mathcal{S}$ . Then, check if  $r = G(s)$ .
- Adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  now gets  $r$ , chooses  $t \in^R \mathcal{S}$ , and outputs
  - 1 IFF  $G(t) = r$
  - 0 otherwise

Firstly, note that  $G(t) = r$  can also be thought of as  $G(t) = G(s)$ . And since  $G$  is one-to-one,  $G(t)$  mapping out to  $G(s)$  implies that  $t = s$ . Moreover, since  $s, t$  are determined independently and randomly from  $\mathcal{S}$ , we're essentially just checking if, upon randomly selecting 2 values from  $\mathcal{S}$ , that those 2 values end up being the same from  $\mathcal{S}$ , which equates to

$$\Pr[W_0] = \Pr[t = s] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|}$$

#### exp 1 (Using a rnd value)

In exp 1, the following happens:

- Challenger picks  $r \in^R \mathcal{R}$ .
- Adversary then gets  $r$ , chooses  $t \in^R \mathcal{S}$ , and then outputs
  - 1 IFF  $G(t) = r$
  - 0 else

Now here, firstly note how  $r$  is independent from  $t, \mathcal{S}$ , and random from  $\mathcal{R}$ . Also since  $G$  is injective, this then means that this comparison is now just checking if some randomly chosen seed,  $G(t)$  happens to also be the same value as some truly random number,  $r$ . This equates to

$$\Pr[W_1] = \Pr[t = r] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|}$$

So plugging these values back into our OG equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PRGAdv}[\mathcal{A}, G] &= |\Pr[W_0] - \Pr[W_1]| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|} \end{aligned}$$

*Note: Since  $G$  is injective, there's no way that  $S$  can map out to more values than in  $\mathcal{R}$ . That's why I omitted those ||'s*

**Problem 2.** (20 points) Let  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  be a secure PRG. Let  $G'$  be a function where  $G'(s)$  computes  $G(s)$ , deletes every third bit, and returns the result. Prove  $G'$  is a secure PRG.

**Solution.** Before solving this, I'll just say that, intuitively, the reason why  $G'$  is secure is because applying some random mathematical operation to an output won't meaningfully change the message in such a way as to be recognizable (*Like adding 1 to all msgs  $x$  doesn't reveal anything meaningful.*)

I'll define the following:

- $G'(s) =$  the result of computing  $y = G(s)$ , and then removing every third bit in  $y$ .
- $L = 2n - [2n/3] =$  the length of output  $G'(s)$ .
- $G' : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^L$ .

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $G'$  isn't secure. I'll make a new adversary,  $\mathcal{A}'$ , that'll then use  $G'(s)$  to distinguish games using random inputs from games using the problem's defined input. That is,

$$\text{PRGAdv}[\mathcal{A}', G'] = \left| \Pr_{s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}'(G'(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^L} [\mathcal{A}'(r) = 1] \right|$$

is non-negligible.

The adversary,  $\mathcal{A}$ , will use  $\mathcal{A}'$  to break  $G$ .  $G$  will just be the attack methodology from Game 3.1 from the book from before. That is,

- exp 0 will guess from a pseudo-rnd seed  $s$
- exp 1 will guess from a truly random value  $y$ .

**$\mathcal{A}$  construction:**

- Get  $y = \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  from challenger.
- Compute  $y' = y$  but with every third bit removed (3, 6, ...).
- Run  $\mathcal{A}'$  with  $y'$  to get  $b$ .
- Return  $b$ .

exp 0:

Challenger will pick  $s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and then sends  $y = G(s)$ .  $y'$  will then be  $G'(s)$  by our definition, otherwise meaning that:

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}\text{outputs } 1 | \text{exp } 0] = \Pr_{s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}'(G'(s)) = 1]$$

exp 1:

The challenger will pick  $y \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  at random. I claim that  $y'$  is uniformly distributed over  $\{0, 1\}^L$ . This holds since each bit of  $y$  is independent and random, and deleted fixed positions from these bits will still make it independent and random. In other words, I could say that the mapping of  $f : \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^L$  is surjective (onto), and that each  $z \in \{0, 1\}^L$  will have exactly  $2^{2n/3}$  elements.

Thusly, if  $y$  is uniform, then  $y' = f(y)$  is also uniform over  $\{0, 1\}^L$ , and therefore:

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}\text{outputs } 1 | \text{exp } 1] = \Pr_{r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^L} [\mathcal{A}'(r) = 1].$$

The advantage of  $\mathcal{A}$  in distinguishing between the  $G$ 's will then be

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G] = |\Pr[\mathcal{A}\text{outputs } 1 | \text{exp } 0] - \Pr[\mathcal{A}\text{outputs } 1 | \text{exp } 1]| = \text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}', G']$$

Basically, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is efficient,  $\mathcal{A}'$  should be able to also have some non-negligible advantage against  $G'$ , making  $\mathcal{A}$  also be non-negligible to  $G$ . But,  $G$  is a secure PRG, and thusly no efficient adversary could even crack this. This contradicts the point that  $\mathcal{A}'$  could exist, and thus it doesn't, also proving that  $G'$  is also secure.

**Problem 3.** In this problem you'll show that secure PRG  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  can become insecure if the seed is not uniformly random in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(a) (20 points) Consider PRG  $G_a : \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$  defined as  $G_a(s) = G(s_1 s_2 \dots s_n) \parallel s_{n+1}$ , where  $s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n+1}$  is the bit decomposition of  $s$ . Show that  $G_a$  is a secure PRG assuming  $G$  is secure.

**Solution.** Assuming that  $G$  is a secure PRG, I'll prove how  $G_a$  is also secure via reduction.

Let me define the following:

- $\mathcal{A}$  = efficient adversary against  $G_a$

- $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_a] = |\Pr_{s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n+1}}[\mathcal{A}(G_a(s)) = 1] - \Pr_{(r,b) \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0,1\}}[\mathcal{A}(r, b) = 1]|.$
- $\mathcal{B}$  = a new adversary against  $G$  with the following logic:
  - $\mathcal{B}$  gets  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  from the challenger, where  $z = G(x)$  for uniform  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  from  $\exp 0$ , or just uniform  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  from  $\exp 1$
  - $\mathcal{B}$  picks a uniform bit  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ .
  - $\mathcal{B}$  outputs  $(z, b)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and then outputs whatever  $\mathcal{A}$  gives.

**Exp 0:**

In this case,  $z = G(x)$  for uniform  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . The pair  $(z, b) = (G(x), b)$  is distributed exactly as  $G_a(s)$  for  $s = (x, b)$ , since  $x, b$  are both independent and random. Therefore,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 0 \text{ for } G] = \Pr_{s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n+1}}[\mathcal{A}(G_a(s)) = 1].$$

**Exp 1:**

In this case now,  $z$  is just chosen uniformly from  $\mathcal{R}$ . Since  $b$  is also uniform and independent from  $z$ , the pair  $(z, b)$  will then also be uniform over  $\mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ . Therefore,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 1 \text{ for } G] = \Pr_{(r,b) \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0,1\}}[\mathcal{A}(r, b) = 1].$$

Therefore the PRG advantage is:

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{B}, G] = |\Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 0 \text{ for } G] - \Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 1 \text{ for } G]| = \text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_a].$$

Since  $G$  is secure,  $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{B}, G]$  will be negligible for any efficient  $\mathcal{B}$ . And since  $\mathcal{B}$  is efficient (it essentially is only "flipping" a coin and then runs  $\mathcal{A}$ ),  $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_a]$  will also be negligible. Therefore, no efficient adversary will be able to distinguish  $G_a$  from some random output, so  $\therefore G_a$  is a secure PRG.

**(b)** (15 points) Show that  $G_a$  is insecure if its random seed is chosen so that its last bit is always 0. Demonstrate an adversary and compute its advantage.

**Solution.** In this part now, effectively what has changed is the seed value,  $s$  from  $G_a$ , as when it's chosen, a 0 is appended to the end, effectively reducing the seed space to  $\{0, 1\}^n \times \{0\}^1$ . For a seed  $s = (x, 0)$ , where  $x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  is uniform, then the output should be

$$G_a(x, 0) = G(x) || 0$$

Basically just restating that the last bit of the output will always be 0.

For the construction of  $\mathcal{A}$ , I will form the following:

- $\mathcal{A}$  gets a pair  $(z, c) \in \mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ .
  - If  $c = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  returns 1 (or 'real')
  - Else, returns 0 (or just 'random')

**Exp 0 (Real) Analysis**

The challenger will pick  $x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and then returns  $(G(x), 0)$ . The last bit  $c$  will then always be 0, so Therefore

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ outputs } 1 \mid \exp 0] = 1.$$

**Exp 1 (Random) Analysis**

The challenger now will pick  $(r, b) \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$  uniformly. The last bit  $b$  is uniform from  $\{0, 1\}$ , so therefore

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow 1 \mid \exp 1] = \Pr[b = 0] = 1/2.$$

So therefore, the advantage of  $\mathcal{A}$  will be

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_a] = \left|1 - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is a constant value (and a big one too), so the advantage is certainly non-negligible, making  $G_a$  insecure.

**(c)** (15 points) Construct a secure PRG  $G_c : \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$  that becomes insecure if its seed  $s$  is chosen so that the *parity* of the bits in  $s$  is always 0 (where parity is defined as the XOR of all the bits). Hint: a small change to  $G_a$  is all you need here. Note that you will need to prove two separate facts: that  $G_c$  is secure when its seed is uniform, and that  $G_c$  is insecure when the parity of the bits of  $s$  is 0. Both of these proofs can use the previous parts, even if you have not solved them.

**Solution.** Firstly, let me just construct and define  $G_c$  as the following:

For  $s = s_1 \dots s_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ , let

$$G_c(s) = G(s_1 \dots s_n) \parallel (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i).$$

where  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is a secure PRG, and the XOR symbol just being XOR from bottom to top. This equation is basically saying that  $G_c$  with return a pseudornd string,  $G(s_1 \dots s_n)$ , with a single parity bit appended to the end of the seed.

Now, as suppose  $G$  is a secure PRG, then I can prove that  $G_c$  is also secure with this problem's seed,  $s \in^R \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be our efficent adversary for  $G_c$ . Then,  $\mathcal{B}$  will be our other efficent adversary for  $G$ , where

- $\mathcal{B}$  gets a string  $z \in^R \mathcal{R}$  from the challenger ( $z = G(x)$ , for a uniform  $x$  if it's exp 0, or just random  $z$  for exp 1).
- $\mathcal{B}$  then chooses an independent uniform bit,  $p \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ .
- $\mathcal{B}$  will then output  $(z, p)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and then return the output of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Exp 0**

In this case,  $z = G(x)$  for uniform  $x \in^R \{0, 1\}^n$ . Then,  $(z, p)$  will then be distributed in the same manner to  $G_c(s)$ , for a uniform  $s$ . This is because, I coul write  $s = (x, s_{n+1})$  with  $x$  being uniform and  $s_{n+1}$  being both uniform and independent. Then, the parity bit will be,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i) \oplus s_{n+1}$ .

Given  $x$ , this bit is uniform since  $s_{n+1}$  is also uniform. Moreover, the parity bit is independent of  $x$  (since for any fixe  $x$ , it's also uniform). So then therefore, the pair,  $(G(x), \text{parity})$ , will then have to have the same distribution as  $(G(x), p)$ , where  $p$  is independent and uniform. Thus, the  $\Pr$  of this case is

$$\Pr_{s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{n+1}} [\mathcal{A}(G_c(s)) = 1].$$

**Exp 1**

In this case,  $z$  is just uniform over  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $p$  is both uniform and independent. So,  $(z, p)$  are both uniform over  $\mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ . And thus,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 1] = \Pr_{(r,b) \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \times \{0,1\}} [\mathcal{A}(r, b) = 1].$$

So then therefore, the PRGadv will be

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{B}, G] = |\Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 0] - \Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs } 1 | \exp 1]| = \text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_c].$$

And since  $G$  is secure,  $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{B}, G]$  will be negligible, thus showing that  $\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G_c]$  also being negligibile for efficent  $\mathcal{A}$ , so then  $\therefore G_c$  is secure, **But only if we're using uniform seeds.**

Consider the case now where the seed,  $s$  is chosen uniform from the set, such that

$$\{s \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i = 0\}.$$

For any such  $s$ , the parity bit (being the last bit for  $G_c(s)$ ) will then ALWAYS be 0. Therefore,  $G_c(s) = (G(s_1 \dots s_n), 0)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a distinguisher  $G_c$  from random values with a non-negligible advantage. It would work as such:

- $\mathcal{A}$  gets pair  $(z, b) \in \mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ .
- If  $b = 0$ , output 1 ("real")
- If not, output 0 ("random")

For **Exp 0**, The challenger will pick  $s$  uniformly from seeds with parity 0, and then return that,  $G_c(s) = (G(x), 0)$ , where  $x$  are the first  $n$  bits. Thus, the last bit is ALWAYS 0, meaning that  $\mathcal{A}$  will always output 1 (probability 1).

For **Exp 1**, The challenge will then pick  $(r, b)$  uniformly over  $\mathcal{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ . Thusly,  $\Pr[b = 0] = \frac{1}{2}$ , so  $\mathcal{A}$  will output 1 with a probability of just  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Therefore the PRGAdv becomes

$$\text{PRGAdv}[\mathcal{A}, G_c] = |1 - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2},$$

Which is like again a non-negligible (const) advantage, meaning that  $G_c$  would then become insecure **if the seeds are forced to be of parity 0**.

#### Problem 4. (20 points)

Let  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be a secure PRG, and consider  $G'$  defined as  $G'(s) = G(s) \parallel G(s + 1)$ . Prove that  $G'$  is not necessarily a secure PRG. (*Hint: Use problem 3a.*)

**Solution.** Suppose the following *counterexample*: a specific secure PRG  $G$  such that  $G'$  is insecure.

Let  $H : \{0, 1\}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0$  be a secure PRG (this type of PRG should be valid). Then we can define  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0 \times \{0, 1\}$  to be

$$G(s) = H(s_1 \dots s_{n-1}) \parallel s_n,$$

where  $s = s_1 \dots s_n$ . From the previous Problem, 3(a),  $G$  it's already been proven that this is a secure PRG.

Now consider  $G'(s) = G(s) \parallel G(s + 1)$ , where  $s + 1$  denotes incrementing  $s$  as an  $n$ -bit integer modulo  $2^n$ . Then I could write  $s = x \parallel b$  with  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ .

- If  $b = 0$  :  $s = x0$ , so  $s + 1 = x1$ . Then

$$G(s) = H(x) \parallel 0, \quad G(s + 1) = H(x) \parallel 1.$$

- If  $b = 1$  :  $s = x_1$ , so  $s + 1 = (x + 1)0$ . Then

$$G(s) = H(x) \parallel 1, \quad G(s + 1) = H(x + 1) \parallel 0.$$

Thus, in  $G'(s) = G(s) \parallel G(s + 1)$ , the last bit of the first half (all the way to  $L + 1$ , where  $L$  is the length of the output,  $H$ ) equals  $b$ , and the last bit of the second half (to  $2(L + 1)$ ) equals  $1 - b$ . Basically, these two bits are always different.

Now let's make an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $G'$  as follows. For the input of  $y \in \{0, 1\}^{2(L+1)}$ :

1. Let  $a$  be the bit at position  $L + 1$  (the last bit of the first half).
2. Let  $a'$  be the bit at position  $2(L + 1)$  (the last bit of the second half).
3. If  $a \neq a'$ , output 1 (guess "real"); otherwise output 0 (guess "random").

Comparing the 2 experiments:

- **Experiment 0 (real):** The challenger will pick  $s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and then return  $y = G'(s)$ . Since  $a$  and  $a'$  are always different,  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs 1 always (probability 1).
- **Experiment 1 (random):** Now the challenger will pick  $y \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{2(L+1)}$  uniformly. Then  $a$  and  $a'$  are independent uniform bits, so then  $\Pr[a \neq a'] = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  probability to output 1 will be  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Therefore,

$$\text{PRGadv}[\mathcal{A}, G'] = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2},$$

which is non-negligible (a const like again). Thus,  $G'$  is not a secure PRG.