

# DETAILED GRADE BREAKDOWN

## Assignment 5

Student ID: 109970

Repository: Prompt\_Engineering

Assessment Date: 2025-12-23

FINAL SCORE: 63.0 / 100

Performance Tier: Potential

### Skills Summary

Skill	Score	Status
Project Planning	0.0/10	✗ Poor
Ui Ux	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Quality Standards	2.0/10	✗ Poor
Version Management	8.0/10	✓ Excellent
Research Analysis	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Testing Quality	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Costs Pricing	1.5/10	✗ Poor
Config Security	9.0/10	✓ Excellent
Extensibility	2.5/10	✗ Poor
Code Documentation	10.0/10	✓ Excellent

# Skill: Project Planning

Score: 0.0/10 points

Status: X Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
PRD.md exists	2.0	0.0	<span>X</span>
ARCHITECTURE.md exists	5.0	0.0	<span>X</span>
Problem Statement	1.0	0.0	<span>X</span>
Functional Requirements	1.5	0.0	<span>X</span>
Success Metrics	0.5	0.0	<span>X</span>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+10.0 points)

1. Create PRD.md with Problem Statement, Functional Requirements, and Success Metrics (+4.0 points)
2. Document architecture in README or ARCHITECTURE.md (+5.0 points)

# Skill: Ui Ux

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Screenshots/images (1+)	3.0	3.0	✓
Screenshots/images (5+)	3.0	3.0	✓
UI documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
User guide exists	2.0	2.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 8 image(s)/screenshot(s)
- Excellent visual documentation with 5+ images
- UI documentation found: requirements.txt, self-assessment-guide-V2-Eng.pdf, software\_submission\_guidelines\_V2\_Eng.pdf

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Quality Standards

Score: 2.0/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Linting configuration	2.0	0.4	✗
CI/CD pipeline	3.0	0.6	✗
Code style guide	2.0	0.4	✗
Pre-commit hooks	2.0	0.4	✗
Project setup file	1.0	0.2	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+8.0 points)

1. Set up CI/CD pipeline (GitHub Actions, GitLab CI, etc.) (+3.0 points)
2. Create code style guide or CONTRIBUTING.md (+3.0 points)
3. Set up pre-commit hooks for automatic quality checks (+1.0 point)
4. Configure quality assurance tools (+1.0 point)

# Skill: Version Management

Score: 8.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Git commits >10	2.0	1.6	✗
Meaningful commit messages	2.0	1.6	✗
PROMPT_BOOK.md exists	5.0	4.0	✗
Branching strategy	1.0	0.8	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Prompt documentation found: docs\ORIGINAL\_PROMPT.md

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+2.0 points)

1. Make more commits (target: >10) (+2.0 points)

# Skill: Research Analysis

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Jupyter notebooks exist	4.0	4.0	✓
Multiple notebooks (>2)	2.0	2.0	✓
Has visualizations/plots	2.0	2.0	✓
Analysis documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 1 Jupyter notebook(s) and 3 research document(s)
- Visualizations found in 1 notebook(s)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Testing Quality

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Test files exist	3.0	3.0	✓
Multiple test files (>3)	2.0	2.0	✓
Test framework configured	2.0	2.0	✓
Test functions (>10)	3.0	3.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 5 test file(s)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Costs Pricing

Score: 1.5/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Cost analysis document	5.0	0.8	<span>✗</span>
Cost mentions in docs	3.0	0.4	<span>✗</span>
Budget tracking	2.0	0.3	<span>✗</span>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+8.5 points)

1. Create cost analysis document (e.g., COSTS.md, budget.xlsx) (+5.0 points)
2. Expand cost documentation with more details (+1.5 points)
3. Create budget tracking system/document (+2.0 points)



# Skill: Config Security

Score: 9.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
No hardcoded secrets (CRITICAL)	5.0	4.5	✗
.env.example exists	2.0	1.8	✗
.gitignore exists	1.0	0.9	✗
Uses environment variables	2.0	1.8	✗
TOTAL	10.0	9.0	

## What Was Found

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code
- .env.example file found
- .gitignore file found with .env properly ignored

## What Was Missing

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code

## How to Improve (+1.0 points)

1. Implement environment variable usage in code (+1.0 point)

# Skill: Extensibility

Score: 2.5/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Plugin/extension system	3.0	0.8	✗
Modular structure (3+ dirs)	3.0	0.8	✗
Interfaces/APIs	2.0	0.5	✗
Extension documentation	2.0	0.5	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+7.5 points)

1. Create plugin/extension system for modularity (+3.0 points)
2. Break down large files into smaller modules (+2.0 points)
3. Define interfaces/APIs for extensibility (+2.0 points)
4. Expand extensibility docs with more examples (+0.5 points)

# Skill: Code Documentation

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
README.md >1KB	3.0	3.0	✓
Installation instructions	1.0	1.0	✓
Usage examples	1.0	1.0	✓
Code structure documented	2.0	2.0	✓
Python docstrings (>50%)	3.0	3.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- README.md found (11983 bytes)
- Installation instructions found in README
- Usage examples found in README
- docs/ directory found
- Package \_\_init\_\_.py with documentation found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Overall Assessment Summary

## Key Strengths (8+ points)

- Ui Ux: 10.0/10
- Version Management: 8.0/10
- Research Analysis: 10.0/10
- Testing Quality: 10.0/10
- Config Security: 9.0/10
- Code Documentation: 10.0/10

## Critical Gaps (<5 points)

- Project Planning: 0.0/10
- Quality Standards: 2.0/10
- Costs Pricing: 1.5/10
- Extensibility: 2.5/10

## Recommended Actions

### Immediate Priority:

- Address Project Planning (0/10 points)

### High Priority:

- Improve Quality Standards (2.0/10 points)
- Improve Costs Pricing (1.5/10 points)
- Improve Extensibility (2.5/10 points)