

# DETAILED GRADE BREAKDOWN

## Assignment 1

Student ID: 38950

Repository: repo

Assessment Date: 2025-12-04

FINAL SCORE: 58.5 / 100

Performance Tier: Potential

## Skills Summary

Skill	Score	Status
Ui Ux	6.0/10	✓ Good
Project Planning	6.0/10	✓ Good
Quality Standards	3.5/10	✗ Poor
Testing Quality	8.0/10	✓ Excellent
Research Analysis	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Version Management	3.0/10	✗ Poor
Config Security	7.0/10	✓ Good
Extensibility	4.5/10	■ Fair
Code Documentation	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Costs Pricing	0.5/10	✗ Poor

# Skill: Ui Ux

Score: 6.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Good

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Screenshots/images (1+)	3.0	1.8	✗
Screenshots/images (5+)	3.0	1.8	✗
UI documentation	2.0	1.2	✗
User guide exists	2.0	1.2	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 6 image(s)/screenshot(s)
- Excellent visual documentation with 5+ images

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+4.0 points)

1. Add UI screenshots to README.md (+2.0 points)
2. Create user guide or UI documentation (+2.0 points)

# Skill: Project Planning

Score: 6.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Good

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
PRD.md exists	2.0	2.0	✓
ARCHITECTURE.md exists	5.0	0.0	✗
Problem Statement	1.0	0.6	✗
Functional Requirements	1.5	0.9	✗
Success Metrics	0.5	0.3	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- PRD.md found
- Functional Requirements found in PRD
- Success Metrics found in PRD
- Some architectural information found in documentation

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+4.0 points)

1. Add Problem Statement section to PRD.md (+1.0 point)
2. Document architecture in README or ARCHITECTURE.md (+3.0 points)

# Skill: Quality Standards

Score: 3.5/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Linting configuration	2.0	0.7	✗
CI/CD pipeline	3.0	1.0	✗
Code style guide	2.0	0.7	✗
Pre-commit hooks	2.0	0.7	✗
Project setup file	1.0	0.3	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>3.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+6.5 points)

1. Set up CI/CD pipeline (GitHub Actions, GitLab CI, etc.) (+3.0 points)
2. Create dedicated style guide or CONTRIBUTING.md (+1.5 points)
3. Set up pre-commit hooks for automatic quality checks (+1.0 point)
4. Add more quality tools (+1.0 point)

# Skill: Testing Quality

Score: 8.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Test files exist	3.0	2.4	✗
Multiple test files (>3)	2.0	1.6	✗
Test framework configured	2.0	1.6	✗
Test functions (>10)	3.0	2.4	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 2 test file(s)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+2.0 points)

1. Configure a test framework (pytest, jest, etc.) (+2.0 points)

# Skill: Research Analysis

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Jupyter notebooks exist	4.0	4.0	✓
Multiple notebooks (>2)	2.0	2.0	✓
Has visualizations/plots	2.0	2.0	✓
Analysis documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 4 research document(s)
- Found 4 data file(s) for analysis

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Version Management

Score: 3.0/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Git commits >10	2.0	0.6	✗
Meaningful commit messages	2.0	0.6	✗
PROMPT_BOOK.md exists	5.0	1.5	✗
Branching strategy	1.0	0.3	✗
TOTAL	10.0	3.0	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+7.0 points)

1. Make more commits (target: >10) (+2.0 points)
2. Create PROMPT\_BOOK.md to document AI interactions (+5.0 points)

# Skill: Config Security

Score: 7.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Good

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
No hardcoded secrets (CRITICAL)	5.0	3.5	✗
.env.example exists	2.0	1.4	✗
.gitignore exists	1.0	0.7	✗
Uses environment variables	2.0	1.4	✗
TOTAL	10.0	7.0	

### What Was Found

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code
- .gitignore file found with .env properly ignored

### What Was Missing

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code

### How to Improve (+3.0 points)

1. Create .env.example file to document required environment variables (+2.0 points)
2. Improve overall security practices (+1.0 point)



# Skill: Extensibility

Score: 4.5/10 points

Status: ■ Fair

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Plugin/extension system	3.0	1.4	✗
Modular structure (3+ dirs)	3.0	1.4	✗
Interfaces/APIs	2.0	0.9	✗
Extension documentation	2.0	0.9	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+5.5 points)

1. Create plugin/extension system for modularity (+3.0 points)
2. Define more interfaces for better extensibility (+1.0 point)
3. Document how to extend/customize the system (+1.5 points)

# Skill: Code Documentation

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
README.md >1KB	3.0	3.0	✓
Installation instructions	1.0	1.0	✓
Usage examples	1.0	1.0	✓
Code structure documented	2.0	2.0	✓
Python docstrings (>50%)	3.0	3.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- README.md found (19157 bytes)
- Installation instructions found in README
- Usage examples found in README
- No Python files found (N/A for docstrings)

## What Was Missing

- No Python files found (N/A for docstrings)

# Skill: Costs Pricing

Score: 0.5/10 points

Status: ✗ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Cost analysis document	5.0	0.2	✗
Cost mentions in docs	3.0	0.2	✗
Budget tracking	2.0	0.1	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>0.5</b>	

## What Was Found

- API pricing analysis found (excellent for LLM projects)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+9.5 points)

1. Create cost analysis document (e.g., COSTS.md, budget.xlsx) (+5.0 points)
2. Add detailed cost analysis (+2.5 points)
3. Create budget tracking system/document (+2.0 points)

# Overall Assessment Summary

## Key Strengths (8+ points)

- Testing Quality: 8.0/10
- Research Analysis: 10.0/10
- Code Documentation: 10.0/10

## Critical Gaps (<5 points)

- Quality Standards: 3.5/10
- Version Management: 3.0/10
- Extensibility: 4.5/10
- Costs Pricing: 0.5/10

## Recommended Actions

### Immediate Priority:

- All critical areas addressed - focus on optimization

### High Priority:

- Improve Quality Standards (3.5/10 points)
- Improve Version Management (3.0/10 points)
- Improve Extensibility (4.5/10 points)
- Improve Costs Pricing (0.5/10 points)