

DETAILED GRADE BREAKDOWN

Assignment 1

Student ID: 38990

Repository: ollama-webui-llm

Assessment Date: 2025-12-02

FINAL SCORE: 61.0 / 100

Performance Tier: Potential

Skills Summary

Skill	Score	Status
Ui Ux	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Extensibility	0.0/10	✗ Poor
Project Planning	6.0/10	✓ Good
Testing Quality	8.0/10	✓ Excellent
Research Analysis	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Quality Standards	2.0/10	✗ Poor
Config Security	5.0/10	■ Fair
Version Management	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Costs Pricing	0.0/10	✗ Poor
Code Documentation	10.0/10	✓ Excellent

Skill: Ui Ux

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Screenshots/images (1+)	3.0	3.0	✓
Screenshots/images (5+)	3.0	3.0	✓
UI documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
User guide exists	2.0	2.0	✓
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	

What Was Found

- Found 5 image(s)/screenshot(s)
- Excellent visual documentation with 5+ images
- UI documentation found: requirements.txt, Documentation\02-Chat-Interface.md

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

Skill: Extensibility

Score: 0.0/10 points

Status: **X** Poor

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Plugin/extension system	3.0	0.0	X
Modular structure (3+ dirs)	3.0	0.0	X
Interfaces/APIs	2.0	0.0	X
Extension documentation	2.0	0.0	X
TOTAL	10.0	0.0	

What Was Found

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

How to Improve (+10.0 points)

1. Create plugin/extension system for modularity (+3.0 points)
2. Refactor into modular structure with smaller files (+3.0 points)
3. Define interfaces/APIs for extensibility (+2.0 points)
4. Document extension/customization approach (+2.0 points)

Skill: Project Planning

Score: 6.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Good

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
PRD.md exists	2.0	2.0	✓
ARCHITECTURE.md exists	5.0	0.0	✗
Problem Statement	1.0	0.6	✗
Functional Requirements	1.5	0.9	✗
Success Metrics	0.5	0.3	✗
TOTAL	10.0	6.0	

What Was Found

- PRD.md found
- Functional Requirements found in PRD
- Success Metrics found in PRD
- Some architectural information found in documentation

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

How to Improve (+4.0 points)

1. Add Problem Statement section to PRD.md (+1.0 point)
2. Document architecture in README or ARCHITECTURE.md (+3.0 points)

Skill: Testing Quality

Score: 8.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Test files exist	3.0	2.4	✗
Multiple test files (>3)	2.0	1.6	✗
Test framework configured	2.0	1.6	✗
Test functions (>10)	3.0	2.4	✗
TOTAL	10.0	8.0	

What Was Found

- Found 1 test file(s)

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

How to Improve (+2.0 points)

1. Configure a test framework (pytest, jest, etc.) (+2.0 points)

Skill: Research Analysis

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Jupyter notebooks exist	4.0	4.0	✓
Multiple notebooks (>2)	2.0	2.0	✓
Has visualizations/plots	2.0	2.0	✓
Analysis documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	

What Was Found

- Found 7 research document(s)

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

Skill: Quality Standards

Score: 2.0/10 points

Status: **X** Poor

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Linting configuration	2.0	0.4	X
CI/CD pipeline	3.0	0.6	X
Code style guide	2.0	0.4	X
Pre-commit hooks	2.0	0.4	X
Project setup file	1.0	0.2	X
TOTAL	10.0	2.0	

What Was Found

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

How to Improve (+8.0 points)

1. Set up CI/CD pipeline (GitHub Actions, GitLab CI, etc.) (+3.0 points)
2. Create code style guide or CONTRIBUTING.md (+3.0 points)
3. Set up pre-commit hooks for automatic quality checks (+1.0 point)
4. Configure quality assurance tools (+1.0 point)

Skill: Config Security

Score: 5.0/10 points

Status: ■ Fair

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
No hardcoded secrets (CRITICAL)	5.0	2.5	✗
.env.example exists	2.0	1.0	✗
.gitignore exists	1.0	0.5	✗
Uses environment variables	2.0	1.0	✗
TOTAL	10.0	5.0	

What Was Found

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code
- .gitignore file found

What Was Missing

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code

How to Improve (+5.0 points)

1. Create .env.example file to document required environment variables (+2.0 points)
2. Add .env to .gitignore to prevent committing secrets (+1.0 point)
3. Implement environment variable usage in code (+1.0 point)
4. Improve overall security practices (+1.0 point)

Skill: Version Management

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Git commits >10	2.0	2.0	✓
Meaningful commit messages	2.0	2.0	✓
PROMPT_BOOK.md exists	5.0	5.0	✓
Branching strategy	1.0	1.0	✓
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	

What Was Found

- Prompt documentation found: Documentation\prompts.md

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

Skill: Costs Pricing

Score: 0.0/10 points

Status: **X** Poor

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Cost analysis document	5.0	0.0	X
Cost mentions in docs	3.0	0.0	X
Budget tracking	2.0	0.0	X
TOTAL	10.0	0.0	

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

How to Improve (+10.0 points)

1. Create cost analysis document (e.g., COSTS.md, budget.xlsx) (+5.0 points)
2. Document costs, pricing, and budget considerations (+3.0 points)
3. Create budget tracking system/document (+2.0 points)

Skill: Code Documentation

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
README.md >1KB	3.0	3.0	✓
Installation instructions	1.0	1.0	✓
Usage examples	1.0	1.0	✓
Code structure documented	2.0	2.0	✓
Python docstrings (>50%)	3.0	3.0	✓
TOTAL	10.0	10.0	

What Was Found

- README.md found (10215 bytes)
- Installation instructions found in README
- Usage examples found in README

What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

Overall Assessment Summary

Key Strengths (8+ points)

- Ui Ux: 10.0/10
- Testing Quality: 8.0/10
- Research Analysis: 10.0/10
- Version Management: 10.0/10
- Code Documentation: 10.0/10

Critical Gaps (<5 points)

- Extensibility: 0.0/10
- Quality Standards: 2.0/10
- Costs Pricing: 0.0/10

Recommended Actions

Immediate Priority:

- Address Extensibility (0/10 points)
- Address Costs Pricing (0/10 points)

High Priority:

- Improve Quality Standards (2.0/10 points)