

# DETAILED GRADE BREAKDOWN

## Assignment 1

Student ID: 38955

Repository: llm-desktop-chat

Assessment Date: 2025-12-04

## FINAL SCORE: 69.0 / 100

### Performance Tier: Potential

## Skills Summary

Skill	Score	Status
Project Planning	7.0/10	✓ Good
Ui Ux	7.0/10	✓ Good
Quality Standards	1.5/10	✗ Poor
Research Analysis	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Testing Quality	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Version Management	10.0/10	✓ Excellent
Config Security	9.0/10	✓ Excellent
Costs Pricing	0.0/10	✗ Poor
Extensibility	4.5/10	■ Fair
Code Documentation	10.0/10	✓ Excellent

# Skill: Project Planning

Score: 7.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Good

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
PRD.md exists	2.0	2.0	✓
ARCHITECTURE.md exists	5.0	0.0	✗
Problem Statement	1.0	0.7	✗
Functional Requirements	1.5	1.0	✗
Success Metrics	0.5	0.3	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- PRD.md found
- Problem Statement found in PRD
- Functional Requirements found in PRD
- Success Metrics found in PRD
- Some architectural information found in documentation

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+3.0 points)

1. Document architecture in README or ARCHITECTURE.md (+3.0 points)

# Skill: Ui Ux

**Score: 7.0/10 points**

Status: ✓ Good

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Screenshots/images (1+)	3.0	2.1	✗
Screenshots/images (5+)	3.0	2.1	✗
UI documentation	2.0	1.4	✗
User guide exists	2.0	1.4	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 2 image(s)/screenshot(s)
- UI documentation found: QUICKSTART.md, requirements.txt

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+3.0 points)

1. Add more screenshots (currently 2, need 5+) (+3.0 points)

# Skill: Quality Standards

Score: 1.5/10 points

Status: ✕ Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Linting configuration	2.0	0.3	✗
CI/CD pipeline	3.0	0.4	✗
Code style guide	2.0	0.3	✗
Pre-commit hooks	2.0	0.3	✗
Project setup file	1.0	0.1	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+8.5 points)

1. Configure linting tools (pylint, eslint, etc.) (+2.0 points)
2. Set up CI/CD pipeline (GitHub Actions, GitLab CI, etc.) (+3.0 points)
3. Create dedicated style guide or CONTRIBUTING.md (+1.5 points)
4. Set up pre-commit hooks for automatic quality checks (+1.0 point)
5. Configure quality assurance tools (+1.0 point)

# Skill: Research Analysis

**Score: 10.0/10 points**

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Jupyter notebooks exist	4.0	4.0	✓
Multiple notebooks (>2)	2.0	2.0	✓
Has visualizations/plots	2.0	2.0	✓
Analysis documentation	2.0	2.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 4 research document(s)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Testing Quality

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Test files exist	3.0	3.0	✓
Multiple test files (>3)	2.0	2.0	✓
Test framework configured	2.0	2.0	✓
Test functions (>10)	3.0	3.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Found 5 test file(s)

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Version Management

Score: 10.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Git commits >10	2.0	2.0	✓
Meaningful commit messages	2.0	2.0	✓
PROMPT_BOOK.md exists	5.0	5.0	✓
Branching strategy	1.0	1.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- Prompt documentation found: Documentation\PROMPTS.md

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Skill: Config Security

Score: 9.0/10 points

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
No hardcoded secrets (CRITICAL)	5.0	4.5	✗
.env.example exists	2.0	1.8	✗
.gitignore exists	1.0	0.9	✗
Uses environment variables	2.0	1.8	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code
- .env.example file found
- .gitignore file found with .env properly ignored

## What Was Missing

- No hardcoded secrets found in production code

## How to Improve (+1.0 points)

1. Implement environment variable usage in code (+1.0 point)

# Skill: Costs Pricing

Score: 0.0/10 points

Status: **X** Poor

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Cost analysis document	5.0	0.0	<b>X</b>
Cost mentions in docs	3.0	0.0	<b>X</b>
Budget tracking	2.0	0.0	<b>X</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+10.0 points)

1. Create cost analysis document (e.g., COSTS.md, budget.xlsx) (+5.0 points)
2. Document costs, pricing, and budget considerations (+3.0 points)
3. Create budget tracking system/document (+2.0 points)

# Skill: Extensibility

Score: 4.5/10 points

Status: ■ Fair

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
Plugin/extension system	3.0	1.4	✗
Modular structure (3+ dirs)	3.0	1.4	✗
Interfaces/APIs	2.0	0.9	✗
Extension documentation	2.0	0.9	✗
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

## What Was Found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

## How to Improve (+5.5 points)

1. Create plugin/extension system for modularity (+3.0 points)
2. Define more interfaces for better extensibility (+1.0 point)
3. Document how to extend/customize the system (+1.5 points)

# Skill: Code Documentation

**Score: 10.0/10 points**

Status: ✓ Excellent

## Points Breakdown

Criterion	Max Points	Earned	Status
README.md >1KB	3.0	3.0	✓
Installation instructions	1.0	1.0	✓
Usage examples	1.0	1.0	✓
Code structure documented	2.0	2.0	✓
Python docstrings (>50%)	3.0	3.0	✓
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

## What Was Found

- README.md found (25243 bytes)
- Installation instructions found in README
- Usage examples found in README
- docs/ directory found
- Package \_\_init\_\_.py with documentation found

## What Was Missing

- All criteria met!

# Overall Assessment Summary

## Key Strengths (8+ points)

- Research Analysis: 10.0/10
- Testing Quality: 10.0/10
- Version Management: 10.0/10
- Config Security: 9.0/10
- Code Documentation: 10.0/10

## Critical Gaps (<5 points)

- Quality Standards: 1.5/10
- Costs Pricing: 0.0/10
- Extensibility: 4.5/10

## Recommended Actions

### Immediate Priority:

- Address Costs Pricing (0/10 points)

### High Priority:

- Improve Quality Standards (1.5/10 points)
- Improve Extensibility (4.5/10 points)