# Disclaimer I wrote this to my best knowledge, however, no guarantees are given whatsoever.

# Sources

If not noted differently, the source is the lecture slides and/or the accompanying book.

# 1 Approximate Retrieval

Nearest-Neighbor Find  $x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} d(x, y)$  given  $S, y \in$  $S, X \subseteq S$ .

**Near-Duplicate detection** Find all  $x,x' \in X$  with  $d(x,x') \le \epsilon$ .

# 1.1 *k*-Shingling

Documents (or videos) as set of k-shingles (a. k. a. k-grams). k-shingle 2 Supervised Learning is consecutive appearance of k chars/words.

Binary shingle matrix  $M \in \{0,1\}^{C \times N}$  where  $M_{i,j} = 1$  iff document j contains shingle i, N documents, C k-shingles.

## 1.2 Distance functions

**Def.**  $d: S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$  is distance function iff pos. definite except d(x,x) = 0  $(d(x,x') > 0 \iff x \neq x')$ , symmetric (d(x,x') = d(x',x))and triangle inequality holds  $(d(x,x'') \le d(x,x') + d(x',x''))$ .

**Euclidean**  $L_r d_r(x,y) = ||x-y||_r = (\sum_i |x_i-y_i|^r)^{1/r}$ .

Cosine 
$$\operatorname{Sim}_c(A,B) = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \cdot \|B\|}, \ d_c(A,B) = \frac{\cos^{-1}(\operatorname{Sim}_c(A,B))}{\pi}.$$

Jaccard sim., d.  $\operatorname{Sim}_J(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}, d_J(A,B) = 1 - \operatorname{Sim}_J(A,B).$ 

## 1.3 LSH – local sensitive hashing

Key Idea: Similiar documents have similiar hash.

*Note:* Trivial for exact duplicates (hash-collision  $\rightarrow$  candidate pair).

Min-hash-family  $h_{\pi}(C)$  for Jaccard Hash is the min~(i.e.~first)  $Norm-constrained~\min_{\boldsymbol{w}}\sum_{i}\max(0,1-y_{i}\boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i})$  s.t.  $||\boldsymbol{w}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ . non-zero permutated row index:  $h_{\pi}(C) = \min_{i,C(i)=1} \pi(i)$ , bin. vec. C, rand. perm.  $\pi$ .

Note:  $\Pr_{\pi}[h_{\pi}(C_1) = h_{\pi}(C_2)] = \operatorname{Sim}_{J}(C_1, C_2) \text{ if } \pi \in_{\text{u.a.r.}} S_{|C|}$ .

**Min-hash**  $L_r$ -norm: Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Random line w paritioned in buckets of length a. Project x,y onto w, if in same bucket,  $h_{w}(x) = h_{w}(y)$ In 2-dim. forms a  $(a/2,2\cdot a,1/2,1/3)$ -sensitive hash-family. In d-dim. there exists a (d1,d2,p1,p2)-sensitive family  $\forall d1 < d2$  with p1 > p2.

Min-hash cos.  $h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x})$ .  $\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{w}}[h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(x) = h_{\boldsymbol{w}}(y)] = 1 - \frac{\theta_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}}}{\pi}$ .

Min-hash signature matrix  $M_S \in [N]^{n \times C}$  with  $M_S(i,c) = h_i(C_c)$ given n hash-fns  $h_i$  drawn randomly from a universal hash family. **Pseudo permutation**  $h_{\pi}$  with  $\pi(i) = (a \cdot i + b) \mod p \mod N$ , N

number of shingles,  $p \ge N$  prime and  $a,b \in_{\text{u.a.r.}} [p]$  with  $a \ne 0$ . Use as universal hash family. Only store a and b. Much more efficient.

Compute signature matix  $M_S$  For column  $c \in [C]$ , row  $r \in [N]$ 

with  $C_c(r) = 1$ ,  $M_S(i,c) \leftarrow \min\{h_i(C_c), M_S(i,c)\}$  for all  $h_i$ .  $(d_1, d_2, p_1, p_2)$ -sensitivity of  $F = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}: \forall x, y \in S: d(x, y) \leq$  $d_1 \Longrightarrow P[h(x) = h(y)] \ge p_1$  and  $d(x,y) \ge d_2 \Longrightarrow P[h(x) = h(y)] \le p_2$ .

r-way AND  $h = [h_1, ..., h_r], h(x) = h(y) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \ h_i(x) = h_i(y)$  is  $(d_1,d_2,p_1^r,p_2^r)$ -sensitive.

b-way OR  $h = [h_1, \dots, h_b], h(x) = h(y) \Leftrightarrow \exists i \ h_i(x) = h_i(y)$  is  $(d_1,d_2,1-(1-p_1)^b,1-(1-p_2)^b)$ -sensitive.

Banding as boosting Reduce FP/FN by b-way OR after r-way AND. Stochastic PGD (SGD) Online-to-batch. Compute  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{w}_t$ Group sig. matrix into b bands of r rows. CP match in at least one If data i. i. d.: exp. error (risk)  $\mathbb{E}[L(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}})] \leq L(\boldsymbol{w}^*) + R_T/T$ ,  $L(\boldsymbol{w}^*)$ band (check by hashing). Result is  $(d_1,d_2,1-(1-p_1^r)^b,1-(1-p_2^r)^b)$  is best error (risk) possible. sensitive.

Tradeoff FP/FN Favor FP (work) over FN (wrong). Filter FP by checking signature matrix, shingles or even whole documents.

**Linear classifier**  $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i)$  assuming  $\boldsymbol{w}$  goes through origin. Homogeneous transform  $\tilde{x} = [x,1]; \tilde{w} = [w,b]$ , now w passes origin.

**Kernel** k is inner product in high-dim. space:  $k(x,y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle$ .

shift-invariance  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = k(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ . Gaussian  $k(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) = \exp(-||\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}||_2^2/h^2)$ .

Convex function  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff  $\forall x, x' \in S, \lambda \in$  $[0,1], \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda)f(x') \geq f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda)x')$ , i. e. every segment lies above function. Equiv. bounded by linear fn. at every point.

 $\nabla f(x)^T (x'-x) + \frac{H}{2} ||x'-x||_2^2$ , i. e. bounded by quadratic fin Suitable for MapReduce cluster, multi. passes possible. (at every point).

# 2.1 Support vector machine (SVM)

# SVM primal

Quadratic  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{w} + C \sum_i \xi_i$ , s.t.  $\forall i: y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i \geq 1 - \xi_i$ , slack C. Hinge loss  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \lambda \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{w} + \sum_{i} \max(0, 1 - y_i \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i)$  with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{G}$ .

**Lagrangian dual**  $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j}, \ \alpha_{i} \in [0, C]$ Apply kernel trick:  $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j k(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j), \ \alpha_i \in [0, C]$ prediction becomes  $y = \operatorname{sgn}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \hat{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}))$ .

# 2.2 Convex Programming

Convex program  $\min_{x} f(x)$ , s. t.  $x \in S$ , f convex.

Online convex program (OCP)  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(\boldsymbol{w})$ , s. t.  $\boldsymbol{w} \in S$ .

General regularized form  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i) + \lambda R(\boldsymbol{w})$ , where lis a (convex) loss function and R is the (convex) regularizer.

General norm-constrained form  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)$ , s. t.  $\boldsymbol{w} \in S_{\lambda}$ , l is loss and  $S_{\lambda}$  some (norm-)constraint. Note: This is an OCP.

 $l_t = f_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$ . Regret  $R_T = (\sum_{t=1}^T l_t) - \min_{\boldsymbol{w} \in S} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\boldsymbol{w})$ .

 $y_t = \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{w}_t^T \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ , incur  $l_t = \max(0, 1 - y_t \boldsymbol{w}_t^T \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ , update  $\boldsymbol{w}_t$  (see later). Informativeness Metric of "information" gainable;  $\neq$  uncertainty. Best  $L^* = \min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \max(0, 1 - y_t \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ , regret  $R_t = \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t - L^*$ .

Online proj. gradient descent (OPGD) Update for online SVM:  $\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname{Proj}_{S}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t} - \eta_{t} \nabla f_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t})) \text{ with } \operatorname{Proj}_{S}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w}' \in S} ||\boldsymbol{w}' - \boldsymbol{w}_{t}|| + \operatorname{Proj}_{S}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) = \operatorname{Proj}_{S}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t} - \eta_{t} \nabla f_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}))$  $|w|_{2}$ , gives regret bound  $\frac{R_{T}}{T} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (||w_{0} - w^{*}||_{2}^{2} + ||\nabla f||_{2}^{2}).$ 

For *H*-strongly convex fn,  $\eta_t = \frac{1}{H_t}$  gives  $R_t \leq \frac{||\nabla f||^2}{2H}(1 + \log T)$ .

**PEGASOS** OPGD w/ mini-batches on strongly convex SVM form.  $\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t(\boldsymbol{w})$ , s.t.  $||\boldsymbol{w}||_2 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ ,  $g_t(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||_2^2 + f_t(\boldsymbol{w})$ .  $g_t$  is  $\lambda$ -strongly convex,  $\nabla g_t(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda \mathbf{w} + \nabla f_t(\mathbf{w})$ .

Performance  $\epsilon$ -accurate sol. with prob.  $\geq 1-\delta$  in runtime  $O^*(\frac{d \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\delta})$ . **ADAGrad** Adapt to geometry. *Mahalanobis norm*  $||w||_G = ||Gw||_2$ .  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in S} ||w - (w_t - \eta G_t^{-1} \nabla f_t(w))||_{G_t}$ . Min. regret with

 $G_t = (\sum_{\tau=1}^t \nabla f_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{w}_{\tau}) \nabla f_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{w}_{\tau})^T)^{1/2}$ . Easily invable matrix with  $G_t = \operatorname{diag}(...)$ .  $R_t \in O(\frac{\|\boldsymbol{w}^*\|_{\infty}}{\sqrt{T}} \sqrt{d})$ , even better for sparse data.

**ADAM** Add 'momentum' term:  $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \mu \bar{g}_t$ ,  $g_t = \nabla f_t(\mathbf{w})$ ,  $\bar{g}_t = (1-\beta)g_t + \beta \bar{g}_{t-1}, \ \bar{g}_0 = 0.$  Helps for dense gradients.

**Parallel SGD (PSGD)** Randomly partition to k (indep.) machines. *H*-strongly convex f *H*-strongly convex iff  $f(x') \geq f(x) + \text{Comp. } \boldsymbol{w} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ .  $\mathbb{E}[\text{err}] \in O(\epsilon(\frac{1}{k\sqrt{\lambda}} + 1))$  if  $T \in \Omega(\frac{\log \frac{k\lambda}{\epsilon}}{\epsilon \lambda})$ 

**Hogwild!** Shared mem., no sync., sparse data. [...]

Implicit kernel trick Map  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \to \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^D \to z(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $d \ll$  $D,m \ll D$ . Where  $\phi(x)$  corresponds to a kernel  $k(x,x') = \phi(x)^T \phi(x')$ .

Random fourier features Given shift-invariant kernel k.

 $p(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-j\omega'\delta} k(\delta) d\Delta$  $\omega_i \sim p = \text{eg Gaussian}, b_i \sim U(0.2\pi)$  $z(x) \equiv \sqrt{2/m} [cos(\omega_1'x+b_1)...cos(\omega_m'x+b_m)]$ 

Nyström features (need entire dataset) In practice: pick random samples  $S = {\{\hat{x}_1 ... \hat{x}_n\} \subseteq X}$ 

 $K_{SXi,j} = k(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j), K_{SSi,j} = k(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j)$ approximate  $K = K_{XS}K_{SS}^{-1}K_{SX}, K_{SS} = VDV^T$ . new point x':  $z(x') = D^{-1/2}V^T[k(x',\hat{x}_1),...,k(x',\hat{x}_m)]$ 

# 3 Pool-based active Learning (semi-supervised)

Uncertainty sampl.  $U_t(x) = U(x|x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1})$ , request  $y_t$  for  $x_t = \operatorname{argmax}_x U_t(x)$ . SVM:  $x_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{x_i} |\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i|$ , i.e.  $U_t(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{w}_t^T \boldsymbol{x}|}$ .

Sub-linear time w/ LSH  $|w^T x_i|$  small if  $\angle_{w,x_i}$  close to  $\pi$ . Hash hyperplane:  $h_{u,v}(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}) = [h_u(\boldsymbol{a}),h_v(\boldsymbol{b})] = [\operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{a}),\operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{b})]$ **Solving OCP** Feasible set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and start pt.  $w_0 \in S$ , OCP (as LSH hash family:  $h_H(z) = h_{u,v}(z,z)$  if z datapoint,  $h_H(z) = h_{u,v}(z,z)$ above). Round  $t \in [T]$ : pick feasible pt.  $\boldsymbol{w}_t$ , get convex fn.  $f_t$ , incur  $h_{u,v}(\boldsymbol{z},-\boldsymbol{z})$  if z query hyperplane.  $\Pr[h_H(\boldsymbol{w})=h_H(\boldsymbol{x})]=\Pr[h_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{w})=h_H(\boldsymbol{x})]$  $h_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \Pr[h_{\boldsymbol{v}}(-\boldsymbol{w}) = h_{\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{x})] = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} (\angle_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{w}} - \frac{pi}{2})^2.$ 

Online SVM  $||w||_2 \le \frac{1}{\lambda}$  (norm-constr.). For new pt.  $x_t$  classify Hash all unlabeled. Loop: Hash w, req. labels for hash-coll., update.

**Version Space**  $V(D) = \{ \boldsymbol{w} \mid \forall (\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in D \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}) = y \}$ 

**Relevant version space** given unlabeled pool  $U = \{x'_1, \dots, x'_n\}$  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(D;U) = \{h: U \to \{\pm 1\} \mid \exists \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{V}(D) \ \forall x \in U \ \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}) = h(\boldsymbol{x})\}.$ 

Generalized binary search Init  $D \leftarrow \{\}$ . While  $|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(D;U)| > 1$ , compared  $v^{\pm}(x) = |\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(D \cup \{(x,\pm)\}; U)|, \text{ label of argmin}_{x} \max\{v^{-}(x), v^{+}(x)\}.$ 

**Approx.**  $|\mathcal{V}|$  Margins of SVM  $m^{\pm}(x)$  for labels  $\{+,-\}$ ,  $\forall x$ . Max-min **5** k-armed bandits as recommender systems  $\max_x \min\{m^+(x), m^-(x)\}\$  or ratio  $\max_x \min\{\frac{m^+(x)}{m^-(x)}, \frac{m^-(x)}{m^+(x)}\}\$ 

# 4 Model-based clustering - Unsupervised learning

**k-means problem**  $\min_{\mu} L(\mu)$  with  $L(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \min_{j} ||x_i - \mu_j||_2^2$  and Total regret  $R_T = \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t$ . cluster centers  $\mu = \mu_1, ..., \mu_k$ . Non-convex! NP-hard in general!

**LLoyd's** Init  $\mu^{(0)}$  (somehow). Assign all  $x_i$  to closest center  $z_i \leftarrow$  $\text{argmin}_{j \in [k]} || \boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j^{(t-1)} ||_2^2, \ \textit{Update to mean:} \ \boldsymbol{\mu}_j^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i: z_i = j} \boldsymbol{x}_i.$ Always converge to local minimum.

Online k-means Init  $\mu$  somehow. For  $t \in [n]$  find z = $\operatorname{argmin}_{j} ||\mu_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{t}||_{2}, \text{ set } \mu_{c} \leftarrow \mu_{c} + \eta_{t}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \mu_{c}). \text{ For local opti- Update } n_{i_{t}} \leftarrow n_{i_{t}} + 1, \hat{\mu}_{i_{t}} \leftarrow \hat{\mu}_{i_{t}} + \frac{y_{t} - \hat{\mu}_{i_{t}}}{n_{t}}.$ mum:  $\sum_{t} \eta_{t} = \infty \wedge \sum_{t} \eta_{t}^{2} < \infty$  suffices, e.g.  $\eta_{t} = \frac{c}{t}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Weighted rep. C  $L_k(\mu;C) = \sum_{(w,x) \in C} w \cdot \min_j ||\mu_j - x||_2^2$ .

 $(k,\epsilon)$ -coreset iff  $\forall \mu: (1-\epsilon)L_k(\mu;D) \leq L_k(\mu;C) \leq (1+\epsilon)L_k(\mu;D)$ .

 $D^2$ -sampling Sample prob.  $p(x) = \frac{d(x,B)^2}{\sum_{x \in X} d(x',B)^2}$ .

**Merge coresets** union of  $(k,\epsilon)$ -coreset is also  $(k,\epsilon)$ -coreset.

**Compress** a  $(k,\delta)$ -coreset of a  $(k,\epsilon)$ -coreset is a  $(k,\epsilon+\delta+\epsilon\delta)$ -coreset.

**Coresets on streams** Bin. tree of merge-compress. Error  $\propto$  height.

many restarts) on coreset. (Repeat.) Near-optimal solution.

Regret  $\mu_i$  mean of  $P_i$  (arm i),  $\mu^* = \max_i \mu_i$ . Regret  $r_t = \mu^* - \mu_i$ . Hybrid Model  $y_t = \boldsymbol{w}_i^T \boldsymbol{z}_t + \beta^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{z}_t) + \epsilon_t$  captures sep. and shared

 $\epsilon$ -greedy Explore u.a.r. with prob.  $\epsilon_t$ , exploit with prob.  $1 - \epsilon_t$ : Rejection Sampling Evaluate bandit: For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  read log choose  $\operatorname{argmax}_{i} \hat{\mu}_{i}$ . Suitable  $\epsilon_{t} \in O(1/t)$  gives  $R_{T} \in O(k \log T)$ . Clearly unoptimal: !TODO! Why?

**UCB1** Init  $\hat{\mu}_i \leftarrow 0$ ; try all arms once. Following  $t \in [T-k]$  rounds: 6 Submodularity  $UCB(i) \leftarrow \hat{\mu}_i + \sqrt{\frac{2 \log t}{n_i}}, \text{ pick } i_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_i UCB(i), \text{ observe } y_t. \ F: 2^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \ subm. \text{ iff } F(A \cup \{s\}) - F(A) \geq F(B \cup \{s\}) - F(B),$ 

recommend  $x_t \in A_t$ . Reward  $y_t = f(x_t, z_t) + \epsilon_t$ .  $r_t = \max_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}_t) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{z}_t)$ . Often  $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^T \boldsymbol{z}$  linear.

Idea behind LinUCB Estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_i = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{t=1}^m (y_t - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{z}_t) +$  $||\boldsymbol{w}||_2^2$ . Closed form:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_i = M_i^{-1} D_i^T \boldsymbol{y}_i$ ,  $M_i = D_i^T D_i + I$ ,  $D_i = [\boldsymbol{z}_1|...|\boldsymbol{z}_m], \boldsymbol{y}_i = (y_1|...|y_m)^T$ .

Confidence If  $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{\ln(\frac{2}{\delta})/2}$ :

$$\Pr\left[|\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^T \boldsymbol{z}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_i^T \boldsymbol{z}_t| \leq \alpha \sqrt{\boldsymbol{z}_t^T M_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{z}_t}\right] \geq 1 - \delta.$$

tures  $z_t$ . For all  $x \in A_t$ : if x new, set  $M_x \leftarrow \mathbb{I}$  and  $b_x \leftarrow 0$ ; set  $A_i \leftarrow A_{i-1} \cup \{s^*\}$  else resort and pick top element (as in Greedy).

 $\hat{w}_{x} \leftarrow M_{x}^{-1}b_{x}$ ; set  $UCB_{x} \leftarrow \hat{w}_{x}^{T}z_{t} + \alpha\sqrt{z_{t}^{T}M_{x}^{-1}z_{t}}$ . Recommend k-armed bandit k arms with diff. prob. dist. For  $t \in [T]$  rounds, action  $x_t = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in A_t} UCB_x$ ; observe  $y_t$ . Set  $M_x \leftarrow M_x + z_t z_t^T$  pick  $i_t \in [k]$ , sample  $y_t \in P_i$  (indep. of other rounds). Max.  $\sum_{t=1}^T y_t$ . and  $b_x \leftarrow b_x + y_t z_t$ .

 $(\boldsymbol{x}_1^{(t)},\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_k^{(t)},\boldsymbol{z}_t,a_t,y_t)$ . Pick  $a_t'$  by algo. If  $a_t'=a_t$  feed  $y_t$  to algo., else ignore line. Stop after T feedbacks.

 $\forall A \subseteq B \subseteq V, s \in V \text{ with } s \notin B.$ 

Contextual bandits Round t: Obs. context  $z_t \in \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ ; Closedness: If  $F_{1,\dots,m}(A)$  subm. then  $\lambda_i > 0$ :  $F'(A) := \sum_i \lambda_i F_i(A)$ 

**Other properties:** If F(S) subm. on V, then  $F(S \cap W), F(S \cup V)$ W), $F(V \setminus S)$  subm. where  $W \subseteq V$ .

Marginal gain:  $\Delta_F(s|A) = F(\{s\} \cup A) - F(A)$ 

**Greedy algo:** In round i+1, previously picked  $A_i = \{s_1,...,s_i\}$ ; pick  $s_{i+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_s \Delta_F(s|A_i) = \operatorname{argmax}_s(F(\{s\} \cup A_i) - F(A_i)).$ 

**Lazy Greedy:** Observation: Submodularity implies  $\Delta(s|A_i) >$  $\Delta(s|A_{i+1})$ . Algo.:  $A_0 \leftarrow \{\}$ ; first iteration as usual. Then keep ordered list of  $\Delta_i$  from prev. iteration. For  $i \in [k]$  do:  $\Delta_i = F(A_{i-1} \cup A_i)$ **Mapreduce k-means** Construct  $(k,\epsilon)$ -coreset C, solve k-means (w/LinUCB (Algorithm)) For t=[T] receive action set  $A_t$  and fea- $\{s^*\}$ ,  $s^*=\operatorname{argmax}_s\Delta_F(s|A_{t-1})$  (top element). If  $s^*$  is still top, then