Shared Decision-Making and Medication Use in Psychiatry: Patient Autonomy and Well-Being

Principal Investigator: Henning (Enric) Garcia Torrents, PhD Candidate, Universitat Rovira i Virgili (URV)
Supervisors: Dr. Angel Martinez-Hernàez and Dr. Martin Correa-Urquiza
Research Centre and Group: Medical Anthropology Research Center (MARC), Universitat Rovira i Virgili
Funding Source: Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (Project Codes: PPU19/00028 and PID2023-148482NB-I00)
Ethical Approval: Research Ethics Committee on People, Society and the Environment (CEIPSA), URV
Ethical Clearance Codes: CEIPSA-2024-PRD-0029 and CEIPSA-2022-TDO-0023
Data Processing Registry: Registered in accordance with the URVs data protection framework
More Information about the project: https://henning.md/thesis/index-en.html

This research forms part of a doctoral thesis and a broader academic effort to explore patient autonomy and contribute to the ethical evolution of mental health care in This research forms part of a doctoral thesis and a broader academic effort to explore patient autonomy and contribute to the ethical evolution of mental health care in Spain. The project focuses on examining the feasibility, clinical value, and operational barriers surrounding shared desiron-making and deprescribing practices in psychiatric services, with particular attention to the lived realities and informed perspectives of those actively engaged in the field. As the country prepares its Mental Health Action Plan 2025–2027, this study provides a neutral space to gather insight on the implementation of what is being framed as a more rational and person-centered use of psychiatric medication, alongside increased investment in psychotherapy, social interventions, and community-based strategies. It seeks to capture the knowledge and experience of clinicians, practitioners, service coordinators, and policy makers, regardless of their stance or practice model. All contributions -whether grounded in supportive experience or critical reflection- are vital to identifying systemic needs and informing actionable, context-sensitive improvements. By divaring on a wide range of expertise, the study aims to contribute to more effective and equitable approaches to care -those that prioritize prevention, minimize harm and long-term disability, and support recovery through flexible, evidence-informed, and individualized pathways- thanks to the insight of professioanls as yourself.

* Required

Section 1. Respondent Information

What is your profession?
Psychiatrist
Psychologist
Mental Health Nurse
General Practitioner (Primary Care)
Medical Doctor (Other Specializations)
Pharmacist
Social Worker
Mental Health Researcher
Other
Where do you currently work?
Public hospital
Private hospital or clinic
Community mental health center
Primary care setting
University or research institution
Non-governmental organization (NGO)
Other
What is your role within your organization?
Clinical practitioner (direct patient care)
Researcher
Administrator or policy advisor
Educator or trainer
Other
How many years of experience do you have in mental health care or research?
C Less than 1 year
1-5 years
6-10 years
11–20 years
More than 20 years

What is your general stance on deprescribing psychiatric drugs?

	Strongly Support Deprescribing	Support in Specific Cases	Neutral	Cautious About Deprescribing	Oppose Deprescribing
First-episode psychosis (before establishing a long-term diagnosis)	0	0	0	0	0
Depressive disorders (prescribed neuroleptics as augmentation)	0	0	0	0	0
Bipolar disorder (long- term neuroleptic treatment for mood stabilization)	0	0	0	0	0
Non-specified psychotic or mood disorders (diagnosis unclear or evolving)	0	0	0	0	0
Neuroleptics prescribed off- label (e.g., insomnia, anxiety, personality disorders)	0	0	0	0	0
Neuroleptics used in elderly patients (dementia- related agitation, behavioral management)	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term neuroleptic use in institutionalize d patients (e.g., chronic hospitalization, group homes)	0	0	0	0	0
Pediatric and adolescent patients (under 18 years old)	0	0	0	0	0
Patients with histories of trauma and abuse (where symptoms may be trauma- related)	0	0	0	0	0

What is your view on shared decision-making in psychiatry?

				Cautious About	
	Strongly Support	Support with Clinician Guidance	Neutral	Patient-Led Decisions	Ор
First-episode psychosis (before long- term diagnosis is established)	0	0	0	0	(
Depressive disorders (where neuroleptics are prescribed as augmentation)	0	0	0	0	,
Bipolar disorder (long- term medication for mood stabilization)	0	0	0	0	•
Non-specified psychotic or mood disorders (diagnosis unclear or evolving)	0	0	0	0	1
Neuroleptics prescribed off- label (e.g., insomnia, anxiety, personality disorders)	0	0	0	0	•
Neuroleptics used in elderly patients (dementia- related agitation, behavioral management)	0	0	0	0	,
Long-term neuroleptic use in institutionalize d patients (chronic hospitalization, group homes)	0	0	0	0	,
Pediatric and adolescent patients (under 18 years old)	0	0	0	0	,
Patients with histories of trauma and abuse (where symptoms may be trauma- related)	0	0	0	0	1
Yes, I have rece	eived training on deprescrib	n deprescribing strategies bing psychiatric medications cision-making in psychiatry	and shared decision	-making?	
No, I have not	received formal training or	n either			

Section 2. National Mental Health Policy and Deprescribing Efforts

This section introduces eight hypothetical, yet contextually grounded, national-level reform scenarios that challenge current practices in psychiatric medication management. Each scenario invites critical reflection on deprescribing, shared decision-making, clinician-patient communication, and the importance of timely reassessment. These questions are designed not to prescribe a single model, but to gather the full range of professional perspectives on what constitutes safe, ethical, and person-centered care in diverse clinical and institutional settings. While many proposed reforms may be motivated by commendable intentions - such as reducing overmedication, enhancing patient autonomy, or aligning care with the latest scientific evidence - their success depends on the quality, feasibility, and context-specific adequacy of their implementation. Respondents are therefore encouraged to reflect not only on the theoretical value of such changes, but also on their practical implications, risks, and unintended consequences. Your responses are essential for portraying the complexity of clinical decision-making and policy development. Whether you strongly support, cautiously question, or critically oppose the premises of a given scenario, your input will help inform a nuanced understanding of what constitutes best practice. By sharing your experience and ethical judgment, you contribute to an evidence-informed dialogue on how mental health care systems might evolve in a manner that is more effective, efficient, and respectful of human rights.

formed dialogue on how mental health care systems might evolve in a manner that is more effective, efficient, and respectful of human rights.
Implementing National Screening for Gluten Sensitivity in Psychiatric Diagnoses
Recent studies have established a direct link between gluten sensitivity and neuropsychiatric disorders, with symptoms including anxiety, depression, psychosis, and cognitive impairment. In many cases, individuals have been prescribed neuroleptic medication without being screened for gluten-related disorders, even though dietary intervention could have addressed their symptoms. As a national policy, mandatory screening for gluten sensitivity in psychiatric patients has been proposed before prescribing neuroleptics.
Question: In cases where gluten sensitivity is newly identified in patients previously treated with neuroleptics, how should clinicians approach deprescribing and engage the patient in shared decision-making about transitioning to dietary treatment? What key challenges do you foresee in implementing this shift in clinical practice?
Deprescribing Neuroleptics in Cases of Missed Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders
National reviews of long-term neuroleptic use have revealed that a significant number of patients were never screened for metabolic and nutritional deficiencies such as B12 deficiency , thyroid dysfunction , or insulin resistance , all of which can mimic psychiatric symptoms. In regions implementing routine metabolic screening, large numbers of patients have shown clinical improvement after correcting these deficiencies , leading to reconsideration of their psychiatric diagnosis.
Question: As a national deprescribing effort, how should patients with reversible metabolic disorders misdiagnosed as psychiatric conditions be safely withdrawn from neuroleptics? What role do primary care and psychiatric coordination play in this transition?
Addressing Psychiatric Abuse Cases Uncovered Through National Research on Mental Health and Violence
A national research project on violence and mental health has revealed that a significant proportion of psychiatric patients were victims of prior abuse, yet their trauma was never acknowledged in their treatment plans. Instead of receiving trauma-informed care, many were pathologized, medicated, and institutionalized, often without informed consent or a proper understanding of their histories. As a result, many victims not only did not receive the protection or support they needed, but suffered further abuse within the mental health system. The disabling effects of long-term neuroleptic use, combined with the marginalization caused by stigma, left them trapped in an increasingly harsher situation—disempowered, unheard, and more vulnerable to ongoing violence. Instead of ensuring their safety, the very institutions meant to provide care reinforced their isolation and suffering. With national policy shifting toward trauma-informed care and patient-centered mental health services, deprescribing is now necessary for those who were misdiagnosed or inappropriately medicated as a response to trauma, rather than receiving interventions that address their lived experiences. Question: How should shared decision making and deprescribing be implemented as part of a national mental health reform that
recognizes the harm caused by past pathologization? What measures should be taken to ensure that victims of both interpersonal and institutional violence receive the protection and support they were previously denied?
National Deprescribing Initiative for Elderly Patients at High Risk of Mortality and Disability
Longitudinal studies have demonstrated that elderly patients on neuroleptic medication face increased risks of mortality, stroke, falls, and cognitive decline. The World Health Organization (WHO) and national healthcare agencies now recommend withdrawing neuroleptics for elderly patients whenever possible to prevent avoidable harm. A nationwide deprescribing campaign targets long-term neuroleptic use in nursing homes, psychiatric institutions, and general geriatric care settings.
Question: What strategies should be implemented to ensure safe withdrawal of neuroleptics in elderly patients, balancing symptom management with the urgent need to reduce medication-related harm?
Reevaluating Long-Term Psychiatric Diagnoses in a National Audit
A national review of long-term psychiatric patients on neuroleptics has revealed that a substantial percentage were misdiagnosed due to early-life trauma, temporary psychotic episodes, or substance-induced symptoms. Many individuals have remained on neuroleptics for decades , despite the fact that their initial condition may not have warranted such treatment. With new diagnostic guidelines emphasizing precision medicine and functional recovery , deprescribing programs are being established nationwide for patients found to have questionable diagnoses.
Question: What ethical and clinical considerations should guide shared decision making and the systematic deprescribing in misdiagnosed patients, ensuring their safety and autonomy?

Addressing the Overprescription of Neuroleptics in Social Care and Marginalized Communities

National health reports indicate that neuroleptic medications are disproportionately prescribed to vulnerable populations,

Often, these medications have been used as behavioral management tools rather than for diagnosed psychiatric conditions. A policy-driven deprescribing effort now mandates a review of neuroleptic use in these settings, replacing pharmacological control with community-based and psychosocial interventions.
Question: What steps should be taken to ensure that shared decision making and deprescribing in marginalized populations does not result in patients being left without adequate care or support?
National Review of the Use of Neuroleptics in Children and Adolescents
Pediatric mental health research has raised concerns about the overuse of neuroleptics in children and adolescents , particularly in cases where behavioral symptoms are linked to trauma , ADHD , autism , or sensory processing disorders rather than psychotic illness. National guidelines now recommend strict limitations on neuroleptic prescriptions for young patients and mandatory deprescribing reviews for those who may have been unnecessarily medicated.
Question: How can deprescribing programs ensure safe shared decision making and transition for children and adolescents , while also addressing the potential withdrawal effects and the need for other interventions?
Ending the Use of Neuroleptics for Non-Psychiatric Indications in General Medicine
Ending the use of Neuroleptics for Non-Psychiatric indications in General Medicine
Recent audits in non-psychiatric hospital settings have uncovered widespread neuroleptic prescribing for conditions unrelated to psychosis, including delirium, sleep disturbances, and non-specific agitation. In some cases, patients with no psychiatric history were discharged on long-term neuroleptics, creating a new population of unintended chronic users. With national deprescribing guidelines now recommending immediate review of all non-psychiatric neuroleptic prescriptions, hospitals and general medical practitioners must take the lead in reducing inappropriate medication use.

Final Reflection: barriers to national shared decision making and deprescribing efforts

Given the above eight shared decision making and deprescribing challenges, please reflect on the following:

Question: What system-wide strategies should be adopted to promote shared decision making and prevent **the unnecessary initiation of treatment**, while ensuring appropriate deprescribing for patients affected by past prescribing errors?

- 1. Which of these national initiatives do you believe is most urgent, if any?
- 2. What are the key barriers to implementing such policies at a national level? (e.g., lack of training, institutional resistance, patient fears)
- 3. What role do practitioners and administrators play in ensuring that these do not result in care gaps or unintended

Section 3. National Policy Implementation

This section aims to assess the **level of implementation and challenges of national policies** related to deprescribing in mental health, as well as to identify **key areas for improvement and priority actions**.

	ch do you consider the main challenge for implementing shared decision making and deprescribing strategies in mental health at national level? (Select up to three options.)
Pleas	e select at most 3 options.
	Lack of professional training in such strategies.
	Resistance from mental health professionals themselves.
	Fear of relapses or negative consequences for patients.
	Lack of clear guidelines and action protocols.
	Influence of the pharmaceutical industry on clinical practice.
	Lack of accessible therapeutic alternatives for patients.
	Rejection or fear from patients or their families.
	Other
	e you participated in initiatives related to shared decision making and deprescribing psychiatric medication in your kplace?
	Yes, in formal deprescribing programs within my institution.
	Yes, in individual cases with patients within my clinical practice.
	No, but I would be interested in receiving training or participating in deprescribing initiatives.
	No, and I do not consider deprescribing a need in mental health.
0 0	It is systematically reviewed for all patients with the possibility of adjustment or withdrawal. It is occasionally reviewed, depending on the professional's discretion. It is reviewed only if the patient explicitly requests it.
0	Medication reviews with a deprescribing focus are not conducted in my workplace.
	you think the national mental health strategy adequately prioritizes shared decision making, deprescribing and the action of psychiatric drug use?
0	Yes, there is a clear and well-implemented policy in this regard.
0	Yes, but its implementation is limited and context-dependent.
0	No, the national strategy does not sufficiently address this issue.
0	No, and I do not believe it should be a priority in mental health.
Wha	at policies or programs do you consider essential to improving psychiatric care?
	Greater training and awareness for mental health professionals on shared decision making and deprescribing.
	Development of specific protocols in hospitals and mental health centers.
	Increased access to non-pharmacological therapies and alternatives.
	Greater involvement of patients and their families in decision-making.
	Creation of specialized deprescribing units within the healthcare system.
	Legislative reforms to guarantee the right to shared decision making, deprescribing and reduce coercive drug use.
	Other

Section 4. Your Insights & Future Engagement

Open Feedback (Optional)
Please share any additional thoughts, experiences, or perspectives on deprescribing , shared decision-making , and psychiatric medication use . Your insights will help contextualize the survey findings and contribute to further research and policy recommendations.
Stay Connected & Access Research Outcomes (Optional)
Would you like to receive updates on the research findings, free training materials, and opportunities to collaborate on future research and best practice initiatives?
Yes, I would like to receive the research results and training materials.
Yes, I am interested in participating in further research or professional discussions on best practices.
No, I prefer not to receive further communication.
If you selected Yes , please provide your email address below:
I have carefully read the participant information sheet and have had the opportunity to ask any questions, which have been satisfactorily answered. I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I may withdraw from the study at any time without justification or any negative consequences. I understand that my responses will be collected anonymously and processed in accordance with current data protection regulations. I consent for my answers to this survey to be used in the dissertation of Enric Garcia Torrents, Universitat Rovira i Virgili. *
I consent to my responses being used exclusively for academic and scientific purposes within the context of this study, and authorize my anonymized data to be reused in future research related to this topic.
I declare that I have understood all the information provided and that I give this consent freely and knowingly.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

