Machine Learning Lab 5 - Predicting Breast Cancer II Submitted By Name: Rathod Nishit Shailesh Register Number: 19112014 Class: 5 BSc Data Science **Lab Overview** About the Dataset Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image. n the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34]. This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server: • ftp.cs.wisc.edu - cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/ Also can be found on UCI Machine Learning Repository: 1. https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Breast+Cancer+Wisconsin+%28Diagnostic%29 Attribute Information: 1. ID number 2. Diagnosis (M = malignant, B = benign) 3. Ten real-valued features are computed for each cell nucleus: A. radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter) B. texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values) C. perimeter D. area E. smoothness (local variation in radius lengths) F. compactness (perimeter^2 / area - 1.0) G. concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour) H. concave points (number of concave portions of the contour) I. symmetry J. fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1) • The mean, standard error and "worst" or largest (mean of the three largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 3 is Mean Radius, field 13 is Radius SE, field 23 is Worst Radius. • All feature values are recoded with four significant digits. · Missing attribute values: none • Class distribution: 357 benign, 212 malignant Objective • Get familiar with the problem statement, Know the dataset thoroghly, Analyse the given dataset by exploring the hidden insights with beautiful visuals and Train & Test the model for acurate classification prediction of Breast Cancer. **Problem Definition** · Understand the Dataset & Features. • Perform Data Preprocessing Technique to Get Balanced Structured Data. • Perform Statistical Data Analysis and Derive Valuable Inferences. • Perform Exploratory Data Analysis and Derive Valuable Insights. • Train and Test through Different Classification Models for Better Pricdiction. Approach This is an extension to the Problem Definition. Mention the process/appraoch that you have followed in order to reach out the above problem defintion. • Step 1: Know the dataset thoroughly. • Step 2: Perform preprocessing on data. • Step 3: Import needfull libraries as an when you try to plot different graphs and evaluate the model. • Step 4: Perform Statistical Data Analysis and Derive Valuable Inferences. • Step 5: Perform Exploratory Data Analysis and Derive Valuable Insights. • Step 6: Train and Test through Different Classification Models for Better Breast Cancer Prediction. • Step 7: Help the doctors with insights for predicting if a patient is diaganose with breast cancer or not. Sections Here, mentioned sections are defined in the below code. For this lab, the sections are -1. Lab Overview 2. Dataset Overview 3. Data Analyst Process 4. About Different Classification Models 5. Implementation and Evaluation of Different Classification Models 6. Conclusion References 1. https://pandas.pydata.org/ 2. https://matplotlib.org/ 3. https://seaborn.pydata.org/ 4. https://plotly.com/ 5. https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.tree.DecisionTreeClassifier.html 6. https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestClassifier.html 7. https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/breast-cancer-wisconsin-data In [1]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns %matplotlib inline import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") In [2]: df = pd.read\_csv("BreastCancer.csv") In [3]: df.shape (569, 33)Out[3]: In [4]: df.columns Index(['id', 'diagnosis', 'radius\_mean', 'texture\_mean', 'perimeter\_mean', Out[4]: 'area\_mean', 'smoothness\_mean', 'compactness\_mean', 'concavity\_mean', 'concave points\_mean', 'symmetry\_mean', 'fractal\_dimension\_mean', 'radius\_se', 'texture\_se', 'perimeter\_se', 'area\_se', 'smoothness\_se', 'compactness\_se', 'concavity\_se', 'concave points\_se', 'symmetry\_se', 'fractal\_dimension\_se', 'radius\_worst', 'texture\_worst', 'perimeter\_worst', 'area\_worst', 'smoothness\_worst', 'compactness\_worst', 'concavity\_worst', 'concave points\_worst', 'symmetry\_worst', 'fractal\_dimension\_worst', 'Unnamed: 32'], dtype='object') In [5]: df.head() Out[5]: concave ... texture\_worst perimeter\_worst area\_worst smoothness\_worst id diagnosis radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean points\_mean 842302 M 17.99 10.38 122.80 1001.0 0.11840 0.27760 0.3001 0.14710 ... 17.33 184.60 2019.0 0.1622 842517 20.57 17.77 132.90 1326.0 0.08474 0.07864 0.0869 0.07017 ... 23.41 158.80 1956.0 0.1238 130.00 0.10960 0.15990 0.1974 0.12790 ... **2** 84300903 M 19.69 21.25 1203.0 25.53 152.50 1709.0 0.1444 **3** 84348301 77.58 0.14250 0.28390 0.2414 0.10520 ... 98.87 0.2098 11.42 20.38 386.1 26.50 567.7 **4** 84358402 135.10 0.10030 0.13280 0.1980 0.10430 ... 152.20 0.1374 M 20.29 14.34 1297.0 16.67 1575.0 5 rows × 33 columns In [6]: df.tail() Out[6]: concave ... texture\_worst perimeter\_worst area\_worst smoothness\_worst id diagnosis radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean **564** 926424 22.39 142.00 1479.0 0.11100 0.11590 0.24390 0.13890 ... 166.10 2027.0 0.14100 M 21.56 26.40 **565** 926682 20.13 28.25 131.20 1261.0 0.09780 0.10340 0.14400 0.09791 ... 38.25 155.00 1731.0 0.11660 108.30 566 926954 16.60 28.08 858.1 0.08455 0.10230 0.09251 0.05302 ... 34.12 126.70 1124.0 0.11390 **567** 927241 20.60 29.33 140.10 1265.0 0.11780 0.27700 0.35140 0.15200 ... 39.42 184.60 1821.0 0.16500 24.54 47.92 0.05263 0.04362 0.00000 0.00000 ... 268.6 0.08996 568 92751 В 7.76 181.0 30.37 59.16 5 rows × 33 columns In [7]: df.drop('Unnamed: 32', axis = 1, inplace = True) df.drop('id', axis = 1, inplace = True) In [8]: df.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 569 entries, 0 to 568 Data columns (total 31 columns): Column # Non-Null Count Dtype ---\_\_\_\_\_ diagnosis 569 non-null object 0 float64 1 radius\_mean 569 non-null 569 non-null float64 texture\_mean 569 non-null float64 3 perimeter\_mean 569 non-null float64 4 area\_mean 569 non-null float64 5 smoothness\_mean 569 non-null float64 6 compactness\_mean 7 concavity\_mean 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 concave points\_mean 8 569 non-null float64 9 symmetry\_mean 569 non-null float64 fractal\_dimension\_mean 10 569 non-null float64 11 radius\_se 12 texture\_se 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 13 perimeter\_se 569 non-null float64 14 area\_se 569 non-null float64 15 smoothness\_se 569 non-null float64 16 compactness\_se 17 concavity\_se 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 concave points\_se 18 569 non-null float64 19 symmetry\_se 569 non-null float64 fractal\_dimension\_se 20 569 non-null float64 21 radius\_worst 22 texture\_worst 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 23 perimeter\_worst 569 non-null float64 24 area\_worst smoothness\_worst 569 non-null float64 25 569 non-null float64 26 compactness\_worst 27 concavity\_worst 569 non-null float64 569 non-null 28 concave points\_worst float64 29 symmetry\_worst 569 non-null float64 30 fractal\_dimension\_worst 569 non-null float64 dtypes: float64(30), object(1) memory usage: 137.9+ KB In [9]: df.sample(5) Out[9]: diagnosis radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean symmetry\_mean ... radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_worst area\_wors points mean **528** 13.94 13.17 90.31 594.2 0.12480 0.09755 0.10100 0.06615 0.1976 ... 14.62 15.38 94.52 653. 380 11.27 386.3 0.12370 0.11110 0.07900 0.05550 0.2018 ... 12.84 20.53 84.93 476. В 12.96 73.16 219 19.53 32.47 128.00 1223.0 0.08420 0.11300 0.11450 0.06637 0.1428 ... 27.90 45.41 180.20 2477. M 0.09462 0.03738 336 В 12.99 14.23 84.08 514.3 0.09965 0.02098 0.1652 ... 13.72 16.91 87.38 576. 128 В 15.10 16.39 99.58 674.5 0.11500 0.18070 0.11380 0.08534 0.2001 ... 16.11 18.33 105.90 762. 5 rows × 31 columns In [10]: df.describe() Out[10]: concave radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean symmetry\_mean fractal\_dimension\_mean ... radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_ points\_mean 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 569.000000 ... 569.000000 569.000000 569.0 count mean 14.127292 19.289649 91.969033 654.889104 0.096360 0.104341 0.088799 0.048919 0.181162 0.062798 ... 16.269190 25.677223 107.2 3.524049 4.301036 24.298981 351.914129 0.014064 0.052813 0.079720 0.038803 0.027414 0.007060 ... 4.833242 6.146258 33.6 std 0.000000 0.019380 min 6.981000 9.710000 43.790000 143.500000 0.052630 0.000000 0.106000 0.049960 ... 7.930000 12.020000 50.4 16.170000 75.170000 0.064920 0.029560 0.057700 ... 21.080000 25% 11.700000 420.300000 0.086370 0.020310 0.161900 13.010000 84.1 18.840000 0.095870 0.092630 0.061540 0.033500 0.179200 0.061540 ... 14.970000 97.6 **50**% 13.370000 86.240000 551.100000 25.410000 104.100000 0.130400 0.130700 75% 15.780000 21.800000 782.700000 0.105300 0.074000 0.195700 0.066120 ... 18.790000 29.720000 125.4 39.280000 188.500000 2501.000000 0.163400 0.345400 0.426800 0.201200 0.304000 0.097440 ... 36.040000 49.540000 max 28.110000 251.2 8 rows × 30 columns In [11]: df.isnull().sum() 0 diagnosis Out[11]: radius\_mean 0 texture\_mean 0 perimeter\_mean 0 0 area\_mean smoothness\_mean 0 0 compactness\_mean 0 concavity\_mean concave points\_mean 0 0 symmetry\_mean fractal\_dimension\_mean radius\_se texture\_se perimeter\_se area\_se smoothness\_se 0 compactness\_se concavity\_se concave points\_se symmetry\_se fractal\_dimension\_se radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_worst area\_worst smoothness\_worst compactness\_worst 0 concavity\_worst concave points\_worst 0 symmetry\_worst 0 fractal\_dimension\_worst dtype: int64 **Exploratory Data Analysis** \$! pip install https://github.com/pandas-profiling/pandas-profiling/archive/master.zip \$ from pandas profiling import ProfileReport \$ profile = ProfileReport(df) \$ profile.to notebook iframe() \$ profile.to file(output file = "19112014 NishitRathod EDA BreastCancer.html") Implementing Classification Models Biffurcating Classification Parameter from the Dataset. In [12]: Y = df['diagnosis'] X = df.drop(['diagnosis'], axis=1) In [13]: X.sample(5) Out[13]: concave radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean symmetry\_mean fractal\_dimension\_mean ... radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_wo points\_mean 32 17.02 23.98 112.80 899.3 0.11970 0.14960 0.241700 0.12030 0.2248 0.06382 ... 20.88 32.09 136. 4 20.29 14.34 135.10 1297.0 0.10030 0.13280 0.198000 0.10430 0.1809 0.05883 22.54 16.67 152. 226 10.44 15.46 66.62 329.6 0.10530 0.07722 0.006643 0.01216 0.1788 0.06450 ... 11.52 19.80 73. 112 14.26 19.65 97.83 629.9 0.07837 0.22330 0.300300 0.07798 0.1704 0.07769 ... 15.30 23.73 107. 409 466.1 0.08685 0.06526 0.032110 0.02653 0.1966 0.05597 ... 28.88 89. 12.27 17.92 78.41 14.10 5 rows × 30 columns In [14]: Y.sample(5) 112 В Out[14]: 254 539 464 В 132 Name: diagnosis, dtype: object Train Test Split In [15]: from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split X\_train, X\_test, Y\_train, Y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, Y, test\_size = 0.30, random\_state = 8) In [16]: X\_train.sample(5) Out[16]: concave radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean symmetry\_mean fractal\_dimension\_mean ... radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_wo points\_mean 0.06467 ... 128 15.100 16.39 99.58 674.5 0.11500 0.18070 0.11380 0.085340 0.2001 18.33 105. 16.110 505 9.676 13.14 64.12 272.5 0.12550 0.22040 0.11880 0.070380 0.2057 0.09575 ... 10.600 18.04 69. 231 11.320 27.08 71.76 395.7 0.06883 0.03813 0.01633 0.003125 0.1869 0.05628 ... 12.080 33.75 79. 0.07474 0.05688 0.013130 305 11.600 24.49 74.23 417.2 0.01974 0.1935 0.05878 ... 12.440 31.62 81. 412 9.397 21.68 59.75 268.8 0.07969 0.06053 0.03735 0.005128 0.1274 0.06724 ... 9.965 27.99 66. 5 rows × 30 columns In [17]: X\_test.sample(5) Out[17]: concave radius\_mean texture\_mean perimeter\_mean area\_mean smoothness\_mean compactness\_mean concavity\_mean symmetry\_mean fractal\_dimension\_mean ... radius\_worst texture\_worst perimeter\_wo points\_mean 13.690 87.84 579.1 0.08302 0.06374 0.02556 0.02031 0.1872 0.05669 ... 99. 374 16.07 14.840 20.21 510 11.740 76.31 426.0 0.08099 0.09661 0.06726 0.02639 0.1499 17.60 14.69 0.06758 ... 12.450 81. 0.1761 126 13.610 24.69 572.6 0.09258 0.07862 0.05285 0.03085 0.06130 ... 16.890 35.64 113. 87.76 8.671 54.42 0.09138 0.04276 0.00000 0.00000 0.1722 9.262 17.04 58. 175 14.45 227.2 0.06724 ... 195 12.910 16.33 82.53 516.4 0.07941 0.05366 0.03873 0.02377 0.1829 0.05667 ... 13.880 22.00 90. 5 rows × 30 columns In [18]: Y\_train.sample(5) 189 В Out[18]: 238 В 106 В 11 506 Name: diagnosis, dtype: object In [19]: Y\_test.sample(5) 100 Out[19]: 523 В 457 В 431 553 Name: diagnosis, dtype: object In [20]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy\_score **Decision Tree** Fitting and Predicting In [21]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier DT = DecisionTreeClassifier() DT.fit(X\_train,Y\_train) predA = DT.predict(X\_test) accuracy\_score(predA,Y\_test) 0.9415204678362573 **Classification Report** In [22]: from sklearn.metrics import classification\_report print(classification\_report(Y\_test, predA)) precision recall f1-score support 0.95 0.95 0.95 105 В Μ 0.92 0.92 0.92 66 accuracy 0.94 171 0.94 0.94 171 macro avg 0.94 171 weighted avg 0.94 0.94 0.94 **Confusion Matrix** from sklearn.metrics import confusion\_matrix In [24]: CM = confusion\_matrix(Y\_test, predA) array([[100, 5], Out[24]: [ 5, 61]], dtype=int64) In [25]: Accuracy = (CM[0][0] + CM[1][1]) / (CM[0][0] + CM[1][1] + CM[0][1] + CM[1][0])Accuracy 0.9415204678362573 In [26]: ErrorRate 0.05847953216374269 Out[26]: In [27]: Sensitivity = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[1][0])Sensitivity 0.9523809523809523 Out[27]: In [28]: Specificity = CM[1][1]/(CM[1][1] + CM[0][1])Specificity 0.9242424242424242 Out[28]: Recall = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[1][0])Recall 0.9523809523809523 In [30]: Precision = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[0][1])Precision 0.9523809523809523 Out[30]: In [31]: F1Score = (2\*(Precision\*Recall))/(Precision + Recall) F1Score 0.9523809523809523 Out[31]: Random Forest Classifier In [32]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier RF = RandomForestClassifier(n\_estimators=300) RF.fit(X\_train, Y\_train) predB = RF.predict(X\_test) accuracy\_score(predB,Y\_test) 0.9707602339181286 Out[32]: Classification Report In [33]: from sklearn.metrics import classification\_report print(classification\_report(Y\_test, predB)) precision recall f1-score support В 0.95 1.00 0.98 105 Μ 0.96 0.92 66 0.97 171 accuracy 0.98 0.96 0.97 171 macro avg weighted avg 0.97 0.97 0.97 171 **Confusion Matrix** In [34]: CM = confusion\_matrix(Y\_test, predB) array([[105, 0], [ 5, 61]], dtype=int64) In [35]: Accuracy = (CM[0][0] + CM[1][1]) / (CM[0][0] + CM[1][1] + CM[0][1] + CM[1][0])Accuracy 0.9707602339181286 Out[35]: In [36]: ErrorRate = (CM[0][1] + CM[1][0]) / (CM[0][0] + CM[1][1] + CM[0][1] + CM[1][0])ErrorRate 0.029239766081871343 Out[36]: In [37]: Sensitivity = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[1][0])Sensitivity 0.9545454545454546 Out[37]: In [38]: Specificity = CM[1][1]/(CM[1][1] + CM[0][1])Specificity Out[38]: In [39]: Recall = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[1][0])Recall 0.9545454545454546 Out[39]: In [40]: Precision = CM[0][0]/(CM[0][0] + CM[0][1])Precision 1.0 Out[40]: In [41]: F1Score = (2\*(Precision\*Recall))/(Precision + Recall) F1Score 0.9767441860465117 Evaluating the Effect of Parameters For Decision Tree In [42]: def doDecisionTree(X, Y, test\_size = 0.20, randomstate = 8,c='gini',mf = 'auto'): X\_train, X\_test, Y\_train, Y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, Y, test\_size = test\_size, random\_state = randomstate) cls2 = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = c, max\_features = mf) cls2.fit(X\_train,Y\_train) pred = cls2.predict(X\_test) acc\_score2 = accuracy\_score(pred,Y\_test) return acc\_score2  $test\_size = [0.30, 0.25, 0.20, 0.10]$  $random\_states = [8, 27, 42]$  $n_{\text{neighbours}} = [2,3,4,5]$ criterions=['gini', 'entropy'] maxfeatures=['auto', 'sqrt', 'log2'] In [44]: df1 = pd.DataFrame(columns = ['Test Size', 'Random States', 'Decision Tree Accuracy', 'Criterions', 'Max features']) In [45]: for t\_size in test\_size: for r\_state in random\_states: **for** crs **in** criterions: **for** mfs **in** maxfeatures: Algo1 = doDecisionTree(X, Y, t\_size, r\_state, crs, mfs) DecisionTree = {} DecisionTree['Test Size'] = t\_size DecisionTree['Random States'] = r\_state DecisionTree['Decision Tree Accuracy'] = Algo1 DecisionTree['Criterions'] = crs DecisionTree['Max features'] = mfs df1 = df1.append(DecisionTree, ignore\_index = True) In [46]: df1.sample(10) Out[46]: Test Size Random States Decision Tree Accuracy Criterions Max features 6 0.30 27 0.894737 gini auto 35 0.25 42 0.944056 entropy log2 27 25 0.25 0.958042 gini sqrt 15 42 0.947368 entropy auto 42 30 0.25 0.951049 gini auto 17 0.30 42 0.923977 entropy log2 50 0.20 42 0.956140 gini log2 54 0.10 0.947368 gini auto 19 0.25 8 0.944056 gini sqrt 0.20 42 0.956140 entropy log2 Evaluating the Effect of Parameters For Random Forest Classification In [47]: nesti = 100def doRandomForestClassifier(X, Y, test\_size = 0.20, randomstate = 8,c = 'gini',mf = 'auto'): X\_train, X\_test, Y\_train, Y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, Y, test\_size = test\_size, random\_state = randomstate) cls2 = RandomForestClassifier(criterion = c, max\_features = mf, n\_estimators = nesti) cls2.fit(X\_train,Y\_train) pred = cls2.predict(X\_test) acc\_score2 = accuracy\_score(pred, Y\_test) return acc\_score2 In [48]: test\_size = [0.30, 0.25, 0.20, 0.10]random\_states = [8, 27, 42]  $n_{\text{neighbours}} = [2,3,4,5]$  $n_{estimator} = [100, 200, 300, 400]$ criterions=['gini', 'entropy'] maxfeatures=['auto', 'sqrt', 'log2'] In [49]: df2 = pd.DataFrame(columns = ['Test Size', 'Random States', 'N\_Estimators', 'Randome State Accuracy', 'Criterions', 'Max features']) In [50]: for t\_size in test\_size: for r\_state in random\_states: for n\_esti in n\_estimator: for crs in criterions: for mfs in maxfeatures: Algo2 = doRandomForestClassifier(X, Y, t\_size, r\_state, crs, mfs) RandomForest = {} RandomForest['Test Size'] = t\_size RandomForest['Random States'] = r\_state RandomForest['N\_Estimators'] = n\_esti RandomForest['Randome State Accuracy'] = Algo2 RandomForest['Criterions'] = crs RandomForest['Max features'] = mfs df2 = df2.append(RandomForest, ignore\_index = True) In [51]: df2.sample(10) Test Size Random States N\_Estimators Randome State Accuracy Criterions Max features Out[51]: 46 0.30 27 400 0.964912 entropy sqrt 225 8 200 0.947368 0.10 entropy auto 269 0.10 42 100 0.964912 entropy log2 8 229 300 0.929825 0.10 gini sqrt 214 0.20 42 400 0.964912 entropy sqrt 75 0.25 100 0.951049 entropy auto 90 0.25 400 0.951049 gini auto 0.30 100 0.970760 4 entropy 22 0.30 8 400 0.970760 entropy sqrt 42 278 0.10 300 0.964912 log2 Decision Tree V/S Random Forest In [52]: df3 = pd.DataFrame(columns = ['Test Size', 'Random States', 'Decision Tree Accuracy', 'Random Forest Accuracy']) In [53]: for t\_size in test\_size: for r\_state in random\_states: Algo1 = doDecisionTree(X, Y, t\_size, r\_state) Algo2 = doRandomForestClassifier(X, Y, t\_size, r\_state) DTvsRF = {} DTvsRF['Test Size'] = t\_size DTvsRF['Random States'] = r\_state DTvsRF['Decision Tree Accuracy'] = Algo1 DTvsRF['Random Forest Accuracy'] = Algo2 df3 = df3.append(DTvsRF, ignore\_index = True) In [54]: df3.sample(10) Out[54]: Test Size Random States Decision Tree Accuracy Random Forest Accuracy 0.25 27.0 0.902098 0.965035 0.30 27.0 0.894737 0.970760 1 0.912281 0.964912 0.10 8.0 0.25 42.0 0.930070 0.965035 0.20 27.0 0.938596 0.964912 0.25 0.951049 0.951049 11 0.10 42.0 0.929825 0.964912 0.20 8.0 0.912281 0.956140 0.929825 0.964912 8 0.20 42.0 0.30 8.0 0.959064 0.964912 In [55]: df3.to\_csv('DecisionTree\_VS\_RandomForest.csv') Exploratory Data Analysis On The Results Derived From - Decision Tree and Random Forest Algorithm. \$! pip install https://github.com/pandas-profiling/pandas-profiling/archive/master.zip \$ from pandas\_profiling import ProfileReport \$ profile = ProfileReport(df3) \$ profile.to\_notebook\_iframe() \$ profile.to\_file(output\_file = "19112014\_NishitRathod\_EDA\_BreastCancer\_ResultsDerivedFromAlgorithms.html") Conclusion In this lab, we have tried to gain the knowledge about data and its variables, further we did some preprocessing to the data in order to bring it into more analyst friendly mode, laterly we implemented various graphs using various libraries in order to get valuable insights, furthermore, we implemented and evaluated various classification models to get high accuracy in terms of predicting breast cancer which can help the doctors to predict breast cancer for the patients.