# Chapter 7

Abhimanyu Talwar

October 10, 2018

### ESL Problem 7.1

Let  $\omega$  denote the expected value of *optimism*, that is  $\mathbb{E}_y[op]$ . We have:

$$\omega = \mathbb{E}_y \left[ Err_{in} \right] - \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \overline{err} \right] \tag{1}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ L(y_{i}^{o}, \hat{y}_{i}) \right] \right]$$

$$- \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L(y_{i}, \hat{y}_{i}) \right]$$
(2)

For squared error, we have:

$$\omega = \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ (y_{i}^{o} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2} \right] \right] 
- \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2} \right] 
= \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ (y_{i}^{o})^{2} \right] + (\hat{y}_{i})^{2} - 2\mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ y_{i}^{o} \right] \hat{y}_{i} \right) \right] 
- \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_{i}^{2} + \hat{y}_{i}^{2} - 2y_{i} \hat{y}_{i} \right) \right]$$
(3)

Notice in Eq. 3 that the two terms  $\mathbb{E}_{y^o}\left[(y_i^o)^2\right]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{y^o}\left[y_i^o\right]$  are already expectations with respect to y (with the training set of features  $\mathbb{X}$  held fixed) and will not change when we once again take the expectation  $\mathbb{E}_y\left[\bullet\right]$  (because this expectation also assumed  $\mathbb{X}$  held fixed). So I will simply replace them with  $\mathbb{E}_y\left[(y_i)^2\right]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_y\left[y_i\right]$  respectively. After making this substitution in Eq. 3 and canceling terms, we have:

$$\omega = \frac{-2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} [y_{i}] \mathbb{E}_{y} [\hat{y}_{i}] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} [y_{i} \hat{y}_{i}]$$

$$= \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_{i}, y_{i})$$

$$(4)$$

From Eq. 1 and Eq. 4, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_y \left[ Err_{in} \right] = \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \overline{err} \right] + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$$
 (5)

Now we assume that the underlying model has addition noise, i.e.  $Y = f(X) + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \sim \mathbb{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . We further assume that we have fitted a linear prediction function (with d predictor variables) using least squares. **Claim:** Under the assumptions stated above, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = d\sigma^2 \tag{6}$$

**Proof:** We have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_y \left[ y_i \hat{y}_i \right] - \mathbb{E}_y \left[ y_i \right] \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \hat{y}_i \right] \right) \tag{7}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ (f(x_{i}) + \epsilon) \hat{y}_{i} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ (f(x_{i}) + \epsilon) \right] \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \hat{y}_{i} \right] \right)$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \epsilon \hat{y_i} \right] \tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}_y [\epsilon y]$$
 (10)

Now since the truth value  $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon$ , the  $N \times 1$  vector  $\mathbb{E}_y[\epsilon y]$  has each of its entry equal to  $\sigma^2$ . Let me define  $\epsilon_N$  as an  $N \times 1$  random vector, each of whose entry is the random noise  $\epsilon$ . Then converting the summation in Eq. 10 into a matrix product, and taking terms related to X inside the expectation (because the expectation is only over y), we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon_N \right]$$
(11)

Now I will apply the Linear Algebra identity,  $\mathbb{E}\left[B^TAB\right] = trace(ACov(B)) + \mathbb{E}\left[B\right]^TA\mathbb{E}\left[B\right]$ , to Eq. 11 to get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = tr((X^T X)^{-1} Cov(X^T \epsilon_N)) + \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T X \right] (X^T X)^{-1} \mathbb{E}_y \left[ X^T \epsilon_N \right]$$
(12)

$$= trace((X^T X)^{-1} (X^T X)\sigma^2) + 0$$
(13)

$$=d\sigma^2\tag{14}$$

The last step follows from the fact that the trace of an identity matrix of dimension  $d \times d$  is simply d. Using the result from Eq. 14 in Eq. 5, we get the desired result.

$$\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[Err_{in}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\overline{err}\right] + 2\frac{d}{N}\sigma^{2}$$
(15)

## ESL Problem 7.5

For this problem, I will use similar calculations as I used to prove Eq. 6 above. Assume y arises from the additive-noise model, that is  $y = f(x) + \epsilon$  (where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ), we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_y \left[ y_i \hat{y}_i \right] - \mathbb{E}_y \left[ y_i \right] \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \hat{y}_i \right] \right)$$

$$(16)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ (f(x_{i}) + \epsilon) \hat{y}_{i} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ (f(x_{i}) + \epsilon) \right] \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \hat{y}_{i} \right] \right)$$

$$(17)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \epsilon \hat{y_i} \right] \tag{18}$$

(19)

Let me define  $\epsilon_N$  as an  $N \times 1$  random vector, each of whose entry is the random noise  $\epsilon$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T S y \right]$$
 (20)

$$= \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T S(f(\mathbf{X}) + \epsilon_N) \right] \tag{21}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T S f(\mathbf{X}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T S \epsilon_N \right]$$
 (22)

$$= 0 + \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \epsilon_N^T S \epsilon_N \right] \tag{23}$$

(24)

Now I will apply the Linear Algebra identity,  $\mathbb{E}\left[B^{T}AB\right] = trace(ACov(B)) + \mathbb{E}\left[B\right]^{T}A\mathbb{E}\left[B\right]$ . We then have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_{i}, y_{i}) = trace\left(SCov(\epsilon_{N})\right) + \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\epsilon_{N}^{T}\right] S\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\epsilon_{N}\right]$$
(25)

$$= trace(S)\sigma^2 \tag{26}$$

### ESL Problem 7.2

$$Err(x_0) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{I}(Y \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0)\right]$$
(27)

$$= P(Y \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) \tag{28}$$

Now for this problem, we are given  $x_0$ , and so two cases are possible:

1. Case 1:  $f(x_0) > 1/2$ In this case,  $G(x_0) = 1$ . We have:

$$P(Y \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) = P(Y = 1, \hat{G}(x_0) = 0|X = x_0)$$

$$+ P(Y = 0, \hat{G}(x_0) = 1|X = x_0)$$

$$= f(x_0)P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

$$+ P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)(1 - P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0))$$

$$= f(x_0)P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

$$- (1 - f(x_0))P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

$$+ P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

$$= (2f(x_0) - 1)P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0) + P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

$$= (2f(x_0) - 1)P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0) + P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$

## 2. Case 2: $f(x_0) \le 1/2$

In this case,  $G(x_0) = 0$ . Similar to calculations done for Case 1 above, we can derive:

$$P(Y \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) = (1 - 2f(x_0))P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0) + P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$$
(30)

Combining Eq. 29 and Eq. 30, and writing  $P(Y \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0)$  as  $Err_B(x_0)$ , we prove the desired result:

$$Err(x_0) = |2f(x_0) - 1|P(\hat{G}(x_0) \neq G(x_0)|X = x_0) + Err_B(x_0)$$
(31)

For the second part of the question, we are given  $\hat{f}(x_0) \sim \mathbb{N}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right]\right), Var(\hat{f}(x_0))$ . If we standardize the random variable  $\hat{f}(x_0)$ , we then have:

$$\frac{\left(\hat{f}(x_0) - \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right]\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}} \sim \mathbb{N}(0, 1)$$
(32)

Again we are given  $x_0$  and therefore two cases are possible:

(a) Case 1:  $f(x_0) > 1/2$ 

$$P(G(x_0) \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) = P(\hat{f}(x_0) < 1/2)$$
(33)

$$= P\left(\frac{\left(\hat{f}(x_0) - \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right]\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}} < \frac{\left(1/2 - \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right]\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}}\right)$$
(34)

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\left(1/2 - \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right]\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}}\right)$$
(35)

(b) Case 2:  $f(x_0) \le 1/2$ 

Similar to calculations above for Case 1, we can write:

$$P(G(x_0) \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) = \Phi\left(\frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right] - 1/2\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}}\right)$$
(36)

Combining Eq. 35 and Eq. 36, we can get the desired result:

$$P(G(x_0) \neq \hat{G}(x_0)|X = x_0) = \Phi\left(\frac{sign(1/2 - f(x_0)) \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{f}(x_0)\right] - 1/2\right)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{f}(x_0))}}\right)$$
(37)

### ESL Problem 7.4

I have already proved this result as part of my proof for Problem 7.1 above, and I will restate it here. Expected optimism  $\omega$  can be written as:

$$\omega = \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ (y_{i}^{o} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2} \right] \right] 
- \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2} \right] 
= \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ (y_{i}^{o})^{2} \right] + (\hat{y}_{i})^{2} - 2\mathbb{E}_{y^{o}} \left[ y_{i}^{o} \right] \hat{y}_{i} \right) \right] 
- \mathbb{E}_{y} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_{i}^{2} + \hat{y}_{i}^{2} - 2y_{i} \hat{y}_{i} \right) \right]$$
(38)

Since each of the expectations,  $\mathbb{E}_{y_o}[\bullet]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_y[\bullet]$ , are taken assuming the training feature set  $\mathbb{X}$  is held fixed, we can replace  $\mathbb{E}_{y^o}[(y_i^o)^2]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{y^o}[y_i^o]$  with  $\mathbb{E}_y[(y_i)^2]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_y[y_i]$  respectively. After making this substitution and canceling terms in Eq. 38, we get:

$$\omega = \frac{-2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} [y_{i}] \mathbb{E}_{y} [\hat{y}_{i}] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E}_{y} [y_{i} \hat{y}_{i}]$$

$$= \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Cov(\hat{y}_{i}, y_{i})$$
(39)

#### ESL Problem 7.6

We can express k-NN regression as a Linear Smoother of the form  $\hat{y} = Sy$ . Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})$  be our training set and let  $(X_i, y_i)$  represent the  $i^{th}$  datapoint out of a total N training datapoints. Let  $S_{ij}$  represent the element of S in row i and column j. We define:

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/k, & \text{if } X_j \in N_k(X_i) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (40)

Here  $N_k(X_i)$  represents the set of k Nearest Neighbors of  $X_i$ . Notice that  $S_{ii} = 1/k$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . This is because a datapoint will always lie in the set of its own k Nearest Neighbors. Since the effective degrees of freedom is simply equal to trace(S) (by definition, Eq. 7.32 in *The Elements of Statistical Learning*), we have:

$$df(S) = trace(S) \tag{41}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{ii} \tag{42}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1/k \tag{43}$$

$$=\frac{N}{k}\tag{44}$$

### ESL Problem 7.8

I will make use of the fact that  $sign(sin(\pi x)) = (-1)^{\lfloor x \rfloor}$ , where  $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$  represents the Floor operator. For a given l and some configuration of binary labels over those l points  $z_1, \dots, z_l$ , let  $K_0$  denote the set such that for any  $k \in K_0$ , where  $k \in [1, 2, \dots, l]$ , and the point  $z_k = 10^{-k}$  is assigned the label 0 in this configuration. Let me define:

$$\alpha = \pi \sum_{k \in K_0} 10^k \tag{45}$$

Claim: The function  $\mathbb{I}(sin(\alpha x) > 0))$  will shatter the given configuration of l points. **Proof:** In the given label configuration, a point  $z_p$  can take one of two labels:

1. Label of  $z_p$  is 0: In this case,  $p \in K_0$ , and we have:

$$sin(\alpha z_p) = sin\left(\pi z_p \sum_{k \in K_0} 10^k\right) \tag{46}$$

$$= \sin\left(\pi 10^{-p} \sum_{k \in K_0} 10^k\right) \tag{47}$$

$$= \sin\left(\pi 10^{-p} \left(10^p + \sum_{k \in K_0, k < p} 10^k + \sum_{k \in K_0, k > p} 10^k\right)\right) \tag{48}$$

$$= \sin\left(\pi\left(1 + r + 10m\right)\right) \tag{49}$$

Where r < 1 and m is a positive integer. Now we have:

$$sign(sin(\alpha z_p)) = (-1)^{\lfloor 1+r+10m \rfloor} \tag{50}$$

$$= (-1)^1 \tag{51}$$

$$= -1 \tag{52}$$

The label predicted by  $\mathbb{I}(\sin(\alpha z_p) > 0)$  is 0. Hence, for points which are assigned label 0, our function is able to correctly classify those points.

2. Label of  $z_p$  is 0: In this case,  $p \notin K_0$  and so we have:

$$sin(\alpha z_p) = sin\left(\pi z_p \sum_{k \in K_0} 10^k\right)$$
(53)

$$= \sin\left(\pi 10^{-p} \sum_{k \in K_0} 10^k\right) \tag{54}$$

$$= \sin\left(\pi 10^{-p} \left(\sum_{k \in K_0, k < p} 10^k + \sum_{k \in K_0, k > p} 10^k\right)\right)$$
 (55)

$$= sin\left(\pi\left(r + 10m\right)\right) \tag{56}$$

Where r < 1 and m is a positive integer. So we have:

$$sign(sin(\alpha z_p)) = (-1)^{\lfloor r+10m \rfloor} \tag{57}$$

$$= (-1)^0 (58)$$

$$= +1 \tag{59}$$

The label predicted by  $\mathbb{I}(sin(\alpha z_p) > 0))$  is 1.

Hence, in both cases our function is correctly able to classify, and hence shatter, these points. As l was chosen arbitrarily, we conclude that the VC Dimension is infinity.