

School of Technologies

Assessment Brief

Module Code Module Title

CIS6004 Professional & Ethical Issues in IT

Academic Year Semester

2024/2025

Module Leader email

upeka@icbtcampus.edu.lk

Content

Assessment Details	2
Submission Details	3
Assessment Criteria	4
Further Information	6
Who can answer questions about my assessment?	6
Referencing	6
Submission problems	6
Unfair academic practice	7
How is my work graded?	7

Assessment Details

Assessment title	Abr.	Weighting
Ethical, legal and professional issues in IT	WRIT1	100%

Pass marks are 40% for undergraduate work and 50% for postgraduate work unless stated otherwise.

Task/assessment brief:

Select a technology, case study, or an information system relevant to the module scope (Eg: IOT, Big Data, Privacy, social media, IP, Surveillance system etc.) and conduct research to identify the relevant ethical, social, legal and professional concerns related to the selected topic. Evaluate and discuss the issues identified covering the following tasks.

Write an essay covering the following areas related to the chosen topic.

- a) Identify the issues in terms of ethical, legal professional and social concerns of the selected topic.
 Critically evaluate different perspectives of the issues / concerns raised by applying relevant ethical principles, Acts and professional code of conducts. (45 Marks) (2000 Words) (LO1) (LO2)
- b) Discuss the different perspectives, arguments raised by other writers that have researched the ethical, legal, social and professional concerns of the same technology/ topic. Use creditable sources to conduct the research (25Marks) (1000 Words) (LO1) (LO2)
- c) Provide recommendations to mitigate the issues identified. Recommendation should be based on the creditable sources. (15Marks) (600 Words) (LO1) (LO2)
- d) Discuss the importance of ethical approach for the chosen topic and explain how it is helping an IT professional for his professional development. (400 Words) (10Marks) (LO3)
- e) Correct use of citation, referencing and use of credible and authoritative sources using Harvard referencing (5 Marks)

Word count (or equivalent):	4000

This a reflection of the effort required for the assessment. Word counts will normally include source code, any text, tables, calculations, figures, subtitles and citations. Reference lists and contents of appendices are excluded from the word count. Contents of appendices are not usually considered when determining your final assessment grade.

Academic or technical terms explained:

Submission Details

Submission Deadline:

This will be provided on the Moodle submission point.

Estimated Feedback Return Date

This will normally be 20 working days after initial submission.

Submission Time:

By 2.00pm on the deadline day.

Moodle/Turnitin: Any assessments submitted after the deadline will not be marked and will be

recorded as a non-attempt unless you have had an extension request agreed or have approved mitigating circumstances. See the School Moodle pages for more information on extensions and mitigating circumstances.

File Format:

The assessment must be submitted as a pdf document (save the document as a pdf in your software) and submit through the Turnitin submission point in Moodle.

Your assessment should be titled with your:

student ID number, module code and assessment ID, e.g. st12345678 CSE5013 WRIT1

Feedback

Feedback for the assessment will be provided electronically via Moodle. Feedback will be provided with comments on your strengths and the areas which you can improve. View the <u>guidance</u> on how to access your feedback.

All marks are provisional and are subject to <u>quality assurance processes</u> and confirmation at the programme Examination Board.

Assessment Criteria

Learning outcomes assessed

- Critically consider the wider legal, social, professional and ethical environment for IT professionals. (LO1)
- Critically discuss contemporary issues at the intersection of computing, technology, economy, politics and society. (LO2)

• Demonstrate appreciation of the importance of a professional and ethical approach to computer science, software engineering and information systems, along with the importance of lifelong professional development. (LO3)

Other skills/attributes developed

This includes elements of the Cardiff Met EDGE (Ethical, Digital, Global and Entrepreneurial skills) and other attributes developed in students through the completion of the module and assessment. These will also be highlighted in the module guidance, which should be read by all students completing the module. Assessments are not just a way of auditing student knowledge. They are a process which provides additional learning and development through the preparation for and completion of the assessment.

ETHICAL	Understanding Ethical Codes: IT professionals have a responsibility to adhere to ethical codes established by professional organizations or their employers. These codes outline principles like privacy, security, and accountability. Identifying Ethical Dilemmas: The fast-paced nature of IT can present situations where ethical boundaries are unclear. An ethical IT professional can recognize these situations and make informed decisions. Resolving Ethical Conflicts: When faced with an ethical dilemma, strong decision-making and critical thinking skills are essential. Consider all options, weigh the potential consequences, and choose the course of action that aligns with ethical principles
DIGITAL	Technical Expertise: A strong foundation in IT fundamentals is critical for understanding the technology you're working with and its potential impact. Information Literacy: Being able to research, evaluate, and synthesize information is crucial for navigating the ever-changing digital landscape.
GLOBAL	Intercultural Awareness: IT professionals often collaborate with teams across the globe. Understanding and appreciating different cultures is essential for effective communication and collaboration. Global Citizenship: Being aware of the global impact of IT is important. Consider issues like digital divide and unethical labor practices in the tech industry. Adaptability: The IT landscape is constantly evolving. The ability to adapt to new technologies and work styles is essential for long-term success.
ENTREPRENEURIAL	Problem-solving: IT professionals are constantly faced with challenges. Developing creative and effective solutions is a valuable skill. Innovation: The ability to think outside the box and develop new technological solutions is a key driver of progress in the IT industry. Initiative: Taking ownership and driving projects forward is a valuable asset in any IT professional

Marking/Assessment Criteria

Task	Poor < 40	Satisfactory 40 - 49	Good 50 -59	Very Good 60 -69	Excellent 70 -100
Task a	No or very few	Few issues have	Issues have	Very good	An excellent set of
	issues have been	been identified.	been identified	understanding of	issues have been
	identified. Not	Few evidence	successfully	the subject scope	identified.
	enough evidence	presented to	with	has been	Evidences and
	was presented to	demonstrate the	examples,	demonstrated	justification were
	demonstrate the	research conduct.	demonstrate a	and the	provided for the
	research conduct.	Poor discussion	basic	discussion of	facts gathered
	Poor discussion	and few evidence	understanding	different	from the sample.
	and no proof of	of applicability of	of the subject	perspectives of	Excellent
	the applicability	the different	scope. Basic	stakeholders.	discussion
	of the different	theories given in	discussion	Application of	provided.
	theories given in	the report. Basic	presented by	the theories,	Different
	the report. Poor	analysis of the	referring to	principles, code	perspectives were
	analysis of the	different	few different	of conducts and	considered and
	various	perspectives of	perspectives.	Acts in good	excellent
	perspectives of	the sample. Few	Reasonable	level. Good	application of the
	the sample. No or	evidence of	level of	writing style,	relevant
	poor application	application of the	application of	referencing and	principles,
	of the relevant	relevant theories,	the relevant	citation is	theories, code of
	theories,	principles, code	principles,	correct.	conduct and Acts.
	principles, code	of conduct and	theories code		Creditable sources
	of conduct, and	acts	of conduct and		used.
	acts.		regulations		
Task b	Poor or no	Few perspectives	Satisfactory	Very good	Excellent answer
	analysis of the	of other writers	analysis of	evaluation, with	with outstanding
	other writers'	are present.	other writers'	a critical	arguments and
	perspectives.	Limited citations	perspectives	discussion of the	discussion of the
		are visible.	and a	others'	renowned and
			reasonable	perspectives. The	reliable authors'
			number of	sources selected	perspectives.
			credible	are reliable, and	Discussion is
			sources were	a critical	critical and an
			chosen.	discussion of the	excellent set of
			Citation and	other writers'	arguments were
			referencing	perspectives was	raised. A good list
			are done	given. Citations	of references is
			correctly with	and referencing	given and the
			minor	are accurate	

	T	T	1	I	
			mistakes.		citation is
					accurate.
Task c	No or very few	Few	The	A good set of	Excellent set of
	set of	recommendations	recommendati	recommendation	recommendations
	recommendations	and suggestions	on was given	s was suggested	that are practical,
	and suggestions	were given.	at a	and provided	specific, and
	given.	Recommendation	satisfactory	justification with	relevant to the
		s are not practical	level.	creditable source.	identified
		and specific.	Justification is		scenario/ topic.
			given with		Solutions and
			few credible		recommendations
			source		have been justified
					pointing out the
					improvement
					which can bring
					along with them.
Task d	No or very few	Few importance	A satisfactory	Has discussed a	Excellent
	importance was	was discussed.	level of	good set of	discussion has
	discussed. Has	Limited	discussion was	importance with	been carried out
	not discussed how	discussion was	provided	evidence with	on off importance
	ethical approach	provided on how	highlighting	justification Has	ethical approach
	is helping the	the ethical	the importance	discussed how	on the chosen
	development of	approach is	of an ethical	ethical approach	topic with
	IT professional	helping the	approach in	is helping the	evidence with
		development of	helping the	development of	justification Given
		IT professional	development	IT professional	an excellent set of
			of IT	with justification	examples and
			professionals,		evidence of how
			providing		ethical approach is
			justification		helping the
			with few		development of IT
	X7 C	F ''	evidence.	A 1 /	professional
Task e	Very few citations in the	Few citations in	Correct use of	A very good set of sources is	A very good set of
	body. Incorrect	the body. The referencing list	citations but,	used and proper	sources is used
	use of citation	looks weak.	the number of	citation and	and proper citation
	and compiled	TOOKS Weak.	sources used	referencing are	and referencing
	only the reference		is not	done. Citations	are done.
	list without body		sufficient. Use	and the reference	Creditable source
	citation. Citations		Harvard	list do match.	used. Followed
	and the reference		referencing	Creditable source	Harvard
	list do not match.			used. Followed	referencing
				Harvard	properly.
				referencing	
				properly.	

Further Information

Who can answer questions about my assessment?

Questions about the assessment should be directed to the staff member who has set the task/assessment brief. This will usually be the Module Leader. They will be happy to answer any queries you have.

Staff members can often provide feedback on an assignment plan but cannot review any drafts of your work prior to submission. The only exception to this rule is for Dissertation Supervisors to provide feedback on a draft of your dissertation.

Referencing and independent learning

Please ensure you reference a range of credible sources, with due attention to the academic literature in the area. The time spent on research and reading from good quality sources will be reflected in the quality of your submitted work.

Remember that what you get out of university depends on what you put in. Your teaching sessions typically represent between 10% and 30% of the time you are expected to study for your degree. A 20-credit module represents 200 hours of study time. The rest of your time should be taken up by self-directed study.

Unless stated otherwise you must use the HARVARD referencing system. Further guidance on referencing can be found in the Study Smart area on Moodle and at www.citethemrightonline.com (use your university login details to access the site). Correct referencing is an easy way to improve your marks and essential in achieving higher grades on most assessments.

Technical submission problems

It is strongly advised that you submit your work at least 24 hours before the deadline to allow time to resolve any last minute problems you might have. If you are having issues with IT or Turnitin you should contact the IT Helpdesk on (+44) 2920 417000. You may require evidence of the Helpdesk call if you are trying to demonstrate that a fault with Moodle or Turnitin was the cause of a late submission.

Extensions and mitigating circumstances

Short extensions on assessment deadlines can be requested in specific circumstances. If you are encountering particular hardship which has been affecting your studies, then you may be able to apply for mitigating circumstances. This can give the teachers on your programme more scope to adapt the assessment requirements to support your needs. Extensions and mitigating circumstances policies and procedures are regularly updated. You should refer to your degree programme or school Moodle pages for information on extensions and mitigating circumstances.

Unfair academic practice

Cardiff Met takes issues of unfair practice extremely seriously. The University has procedures and penalties for dealing with unfair academic practice. These are explained in full in the University's Unfair Practice regulations and procedures under Volume 1, Section 8 of the Academic Handbook. The Module Leader reserves the right to interview students regarding any aspect of their work submitted for assessment.

Types of Unfair Practice, include:

Plagiarism, which can be defined as using without acknowledgement another person's words or ideas and submitting them for assessment as though it were one's own work, for instance by copying, translating from one language to another or unacknowledged paraphrasing. Further examples include:

- Use of any quotation(s) from the published or unpublished work of other persons, whether published in textbooks, articles, the Web, or in any other format, where quotations have not been clearly identified as such by being placed in quotation marks and acknowledged.
- Use of another person's words or ideas that have been slightly changed or paraphrased to make it look different from the original.
- Summarising another person's ideas, judgments, diagrams, figures, or computer programmes without reference to that person in the text and the source in a bibliography/reference list.
- Use of assessment writing services, essay banks and/or any other similar agencies (NB. Students are commonly being blackmailed after using essay mills).
- Use of unacknowledged material downloaded from the Internet.
- Re-use of one's own material except as authorised by your degree programme.

Collusion, which can be defined as when work that that has been undertaken with

others is submitted and passed off as solely the work of one person. Modules will clearly identify where joint preparation and joint submission are permitted, in all other cases they are not.

Fabrication of data, making false claims to have carried out experiments, observations, interviews or other forms of data collection and analysis, or acting dishonestly in any other way.

How is my work graded?

Assessment grading is subject to thorough quality control processes. You can view a summary of these processes on the Assessment Explained Infographic.

Grading of work at each level of Cardiff Met degree courses is benchmarked against a set of general requirements set out in Volume 1, Section 4.3 of our Academic Handbook. A simplified version of these Grade Band Descriptors (GBDs) with short videos explaining some of the academic terminology used can be accessed via the Facilitation of Learning resource page.

We would strongly recommend looking at the <u>Study Smart</u> area of Moodle to find out more about assessments and key academic skills which can have a significant impact on your grades. Always check your work thoroughly before submission.

Cardiff Met MetCaerdydd