

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356085393>

Global analysis of the seasonal abundance of the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii* reveal temperature extremes determine population activity potential

Article in *Pest Management Science* · June 2021

DOI: 10.1002/ps.6494

CITATION

1

READS

71

4 authors:



Michael Ørsted

Aarhus University

16 PUBLICATIONS 185 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jessica C Lye

Citrus Australia

14 PUBLICATIONS 213 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Paul Umina

University of Melbourne

133 PUBLICATIONS 1,800 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



James Maino

Cesar Australia

43 PUBLICATIONS 417 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Spotted wing drosophila [View project](#)



Insecticide resistance management in RLEM and chemical sensitivity of other grain pests [View project](#)

Global analysis of the seasonal abundance of the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii* reveal temperature extremes determine population activity potential

Michael Ørsted,^{a*}  Jessica Lye,^b Paul A Umina^{b,c}  and James L Maino^b



Abstract

BACKGROUND: The global pest spotted winged drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*) continues to have a significant economic impact on fruit production in areas where it is established, in addition to newly invaded ranges. Management activities spanning national biosecurity responses to farm-scale pest control are limited by the inability to predict the timing and severity of seasonal outbreaks of *D. suzukii* and its climatic drivers.

RESULTS: Here, we compiled and analysed data on international seasonal abundances for *D. suzukii* under different climates, crop types and management contexts to improve the predictability of seasonal population dynamics. In relating seasonal abundances to environmental predictors, specifically temperature, we found strong negative effects of exposure to high and low temperatures during the preceding month. Unlike most regional studies on *D. suzukii* phenology that focus on temperature in the physiological development range, we show that thermal extremes better explain seasonal population fluctuations.

CONCLUSION: Although trap catches remain an indirect measure of infestations and must be interpreted carefully in terms of crop risk, our results should support monitoring programmes through enhanced knowledge of the climatic factors affecting *D. suzukii* population activity. The negative impact of high temperatures suggests that late-season management strategies focusing on manipulating crop microclimates to temperatures above 25 °C can reduce *D. suzukii* abundance. We show that early season abundance is modulated by climate, particularly the depth of cold extremes experienced in the preceding time interval. These associations may be further developed into early-season crop risk forecasts to support monitoring programs.

© 2021 Society of Chemical Industry.

Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Keywords: spotted wing drosophila; seasonal abundance; trap catches; population dynamics; degree-days; *Drosophila suzukii*; meta-analysis; review

1 INTRODUCTION

The highly invasive spotted wing drosophila (SWD; *Drosophila suzukii*) has emerged as a serious pest of international significance to global fruit production, laying eggs in ripening fruits of more than 145 plant species,¹ including economically important stone fruits and berries. SWD was first detected in Europe and North America in 2008,^{2,3} and since 2012 has also been found to be widespread in South America.⁴ Most recently, *D. suzukii* has been detected on the African continent.^{5,6} Estimated yearly losses due to *D. suzukii* exceeded US \$500 million in just three states of the USA,⁷ and in Europe *D. suzukii* has been shown to infest up to 80% of fruits in some fields.⁸

An important tool in integrated pest management strategies is the use of models that predict the spatial and temporal distribution of pests. Recently, species distribution models have shed light on the potential geographical distribution of *D. suzukii*, and have pinpointed likely invasion points and areas of high suitability requiring extra surveillance efforts.^{9–12} However, when a pest is already well established, attention should instead shift to focus

on trying to understand temporal patterns in population dynamics to improve pest management. To address management priorities relating to *D. suzukii*, which is now widespread in many parts of the globe, regionally specific research has accumulated on the population biology of *D. suzukii*.^{13–22} Such studies often relate trap catches throughout the year to environmental conditions, mostly temperature, to reflect how local climate affects fluctuations in seasonal abundance.

* Correspondence to: M Ørsted, Zoophysiology, Department of Biology, Aarhus University, C.F. Møllers Allé 3, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.
E-mail: moer@bio.aau.dk

a Zoophysiology, Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark

b Cesar Australia, Parkville, Australia

c School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

Seasonal fluctuations in trap catches vary widely across geographical regions and climates, crop management strategies (e.g. trapping and pesticide applications) and proximity to non-crop host plants (e.g. bordering wild and cultivated host plants). Despite the growing number of local studies on the population ecology of *D. suzukii*, to date there has been no attempt to synthesize this regional data to understand broader patterns across the diverse climates, commodities and management contexts in which *D. suzukii* is currently found. This presents an opportunity for a macro-ecological study on the general processes underpinning seasonal population dynamics of *D. suzukii* across local contexts. Improved predictability of these dynamics can enhance ongoing management in production areas currently affected by *D. suzukii* through targeted monitoring efforts and the application of proactive pest management strategies. For locations preparing for possible incursions, such as Australia and New Zealand, improved predictability will provide insights into the likely seasonal behaviour of pest populations under different production contexts (e.g. crop type and management practices), which will enhance pest management capabilities, biosecurity preparedness and incursion responses.

Here, we compile data on international seasonal abundances of *D. suzukii* for different climates, crops and management contexts covering the major geographical areas of both native and invasive occurrence. This allowed us to investigate how climatic variation and management factors explain variation in seasonal abundance of *D. suzukii* over time. Of particular interest is how potentially stressful temperatures outside the species optimum affect both the start of emergence and peak abundance of *D. suzukii*. Locally, it has been suggested, for instance, that cold winter conditions causes high mortality leading to a decreased or absent spring population, and subsequently a slower build-up of individuals during the proceeding growing season.^{13,23} Similarly, trap catches have been found to decline at high temperatures during summer or prolonged warm periods, such as heatwaves.^{17,22,24} We do not know, however, the generality of these patterns, and whether climatic factors, or management factors, can explain seasonal abundances across broad scales.

2 METHODS

2.1 Seasonal abundance data and geographical distribution

Abundance data were compiled from a review of field studies of *D. suzukii* in which seasonal abundances were measured through trap catches, covering the major geographical areas of both native and invasive occurrences. Data were compiled from source tables or, where unavailable, extracted from digitized figures. From each study, we recorded the trap count of *D. suzukii*, trapping method, date of inspection, trapping period, trap location, year of first regional detection and main crop plant monitored. To place these studies in the context of the current global extent of *D. suzukii*, we mapped the approximate range of *D. suzukii* using previously published data sets of *D. suzukii* occurrences^{12,25} and recent records.

2.2 Environmental predictors

To build climatic variables at the study locations and period we utilized Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) weather data from the USA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), which were retrieved using the 'GSODR' R package.²⁶ We selected weather stations based on their proximity to each study

site, which resulted in over 80% of the compiled studies having available data from weather stations within 30 km of the study site.

To better interpret seasonal patterns in abundance across a range of trapping methods, growing conditions and management contexts, trap count data were scaled by the maximum observed number of individuals for each unique study, crop or trapping method. It has been noted previously that trap data for *D. suzukii* do not necessarily represent pressures in the field,^{22,23} thus scaling by the maximum observed trap count also serves to minimize inference on absolute counts with more emphasis placed on relative changes through a season.

Environmental covariates for each monitoring point were constructed from the climatic data, including the mean temperature across the preceding 30 days, as well as the accumulated degree-days (DD) both below 5 °C and above 25 °C.^{27,28} These temperature thresholds approximately represent the lower temperature bounds of development and the decreasing phase of population growth rate, respectively.⁹ To calculate these covariates, available minimum and maximum daily temperatures were converted to hourly temperatures with an idealized daily temperature curve that uses a sine curve for daytime warming and a logarithmic decay function for night time cooling with the 'chillR' R package.²⁹

2.3 Statistical analysis

To analyse seasonal trends in *D. suzukii* trap abundance we used generalized additive mixed models (GAMM). A GAMM relaxes the more restrictive assumption of generalized additive models that the data are independent, which is unlikely to hold in many ecological contexts when repeated observations have been made on abundances over time.^{30–32} A GAMM (family = quasibinomial) was used to examine the scaled seasonal abundance (0–1) against covariates for seasonality, climatic conditions, host crop and trapping method. Specifically, scaled abundance ($\mu_{i(ab)}$) for site i with crop a and trapping method b could be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{logit}(\mu_{i(ab)}) &= f_1(j_i) + f_2(T_i) + f_3(D_{i(T < T_{\min})}) \\ &\quad + f_4(D_{i(T > T_{\max})}) + \varepsilon_{i(a)} + \varepsilon_{i(b)} \\ \varepsilon_{i(a)} &= N(0, \sigma_a^2) \\ \varepsilon_{i(b)} &= N(0, \sigma_b^2)\end{aligned}$$

where $f_1(j_i)$ was a smoothing function (penalized cubic regression spline) of days after 1 January (or 1 July for northern hemisphere) following the incursion year (j_i) for the i th observation. The terms $f_2(T_i)$, $f_3(D_{i(T < T_{\min})})$, and $f_4(D_{i(T > T_{\max})})$ were smoothing functions of mean temperature, accumulated DDs below 5 °C and the accumulated DDs above 25 °C for the preceding 30 days, respectively. The terms $\varepsilon_{i(a)}$ and $\varepsilon_{i(b)}$ corresponded to the random effects term of main crop and trapping method, respectively. To account for autocorrelation we used a moving average correlation structure of order $P=2$, where the order of observations specified the time covariate for each study.^{33,34}

3 RESULTS

We reviewed published field studies of *D. suzukii* in which seasonal abundances were measured through trap catches. From 13 studies and 117 unique locations (Figure 1) this resulted in observations for 12 494 trapping periods (median trapping




FIGURE 1. Geographical locations of seasonal abundance data and distributional range of *Drosophila suzukii*. Geographical locations of the reviewed *D. suzukii* seasonal abundance data (117 trap catch sites; red dots), and approximate distributional range, divided into its native range (green) and invasive range (blue) across Europe, North and South America, and Africa. Island records and isolated mainland occurrences far from a cohesive range are buffered by 1° for increased visibility. The distributional range is approximated using previously published data sets of *D. suzukii* occurrences^{12,25} and recent records.^{5,6,35}




FIGURE 2. Seasonality of *Drosophila suzukii* abundance across latitudes and crops. Scaled trap abundance data included in the compiled data set across latitudes rounded to the nearest 5° from 30°S (Brazil) to 50°N (Germany). The crop in which the trap was located is indicated by the colour of the marker. Here, non-crop hosts have been excluded and hosts accounting for less than 5% of entries in the data set are categorized as 'other'.

TABLE 1. General Additive Mixed Model (GAMM) parametric coefficients, and approximate significance of smoothing functions of days after 1 January for the southern hemisphere (or 1 July for the northern hemisphere) following the incursion year (day), and parameters relating to the preceding 30 days of an observation; mean temperature (meanmonthlytemp), accumulated degree-days below 5 °C (ddmin), and accumulated degree-days above 25 °C (ddmax). Approximate significance of the random effects term of main crop and trapping method are also shown.

| | | Estimate | SE | t-value | P-value | |
|--------------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|------------------------|-----|
| Intercept | | −1.96 | 0.30 | −6.43 | 1.34×10^{-10} | *** |
| | k | edf | Ref.df | F | P | |
| s(day) | 12 | 9.98 | 9.98 | 81.72 | 2.16×10^{-16} | *** |
| s(meanmonthlytemp) | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.07 | 0.0795 | |
| s(ddmax) | 5 | 3.59 | 3.59 | 24.46 | 7.01×10^{-18} | *** |
| s(ddmin) | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 27.25 | 1.82×10^{-7} | *** |
| s(main crop) | — | 10.09 | 20.00 | 3.15 | 8.81×10^{-7} | *** |
| s(trapping method) | — | 6.89 | 9.00 | 18.61 | 4.22×10^{-16} | *** |

edf, Effective degrees of freedom; Ref.df, reference degrees of freedom; F, F-statistic. *** $P < 0.001$.

period = 12 days; range 1–48 days) across 20 host species (Table S1). We also mapped the approximate current geographical distribution spanning 58 countries from latitudes 41.5°S to 63°N (Figure 1), including the first reported occurrences on the African continent,^{5,6} as well as the northernmost record recently discovered in Finland.³⁵

As expected, the data on trap catches showed strong seasonal trends (Figure 2) across as much as 7 years of trap data in some areas.²² Of the fixed effect predictors, days after 1 January in the southern hemisphere (or 1 July in the northern hemisphere) following the incursion year was significant ($F_{9,98} = 81.7$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1). Partial dependency plots showing the marginal response of scaled abundance indicate an increasing effect of day from approximately day 250 until approximately day 150 (Figure 3A); that is around early March to the end of November in the northern hemisphere, and early September to the end of May in the southern hemisphere. We also observed a trend of mean temperature for the preceding 30 days of increasing trap catches from approximately 15 to 25 °C (Figure 3B), although this effect was not significant ($F_1 = 3.07$, $P = 0.0795$; Table 1). Interestingly, we observed an approximately linear negative effect of accumulated DDs above 25 °C in the preceding month on scaled abundance (Figure 3C), and a similarly linear negative effect of accumulated DDs below 5 °C in the preceding month (Figure 3D). Both smoothing terms were significantly negative ($F_{3,59} = 24.46$, $P < 0.001$, and $F_{1,0} = 27.25$, $P < 0.001$, for DD above 25 °C and DD below 5 °C, respectively; Table 1). We similarly observed significant effects of both crop type and trapping method on abundance (Table 1; Figure S1).

To visualize the negative effect of accumulated DD below 5 °C and seasonal abundances, we used the GAMM to predict scaled seasonal abundance over time, while fixing other main effects simulating spring conditions (mean monthly temperature at 10 °C, and accumulated DDs above 25 °C at 10 °C/month). We predicted relative abundance at 0, 5, 10, 50 and 100 accumulated DDs below 5 °C in a field of grapes using apple cider vinegar traps, that is, the most common crop type and trapping method (Figure 4). Generally, there is an increase in abundance from mid-summer which peaks around November or May for the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively, and then drops dramatically. The effects of 50 and 100 °C/month accumulated DD below 5 °C are shown as large visible reductions in both early and maximum abundances, whereas there was practically no effect of 5 or

10 °C/month accumulated DD below 5 °C compared with 0. In exploring the connection between early and maximum abundances, we found a weak but significant negative relationship between the day of first detection and the maximal daily number of flies caught during the season, that is, an earlier first catch resulted in a higher peak abundance ($F_{1,393} = 38.9$, $P < 0.001$; Figure 5).

4 DISCUSSION

Regional studies on the population biology of *D. suzukii* have been accumulating since its invasion through Europe, North and South America, but so far, no effort has been made to synthesize field abundance data on a broader scale. Here, we reviewed published local data on seasonal trap catches to investigate the general mechanisms of seasonal population dynamics of *D. suzukii* across local contexts, across its global distribution, both in its native and invasive range.^{11,12} Knowledge on both geographical range and population abundance is crucial to undertake predictive modelling and improve novel management strategies that are proactive, sustainable³⁶ and benefit farmers, practitioners and horticulture industry members.

As expected, our meta-analysis confirmed that population dynamics are very seasonal, similar to the findings of all the local studies we have reviewed,^{13–22,37–45} but show a global pattern. Our results indicated increasing trap catches from early March to the end of November in the northern hemisphere and around early September to the end of May in the southern hemisphere, also in line with regional findings.

In relating seasonal abundances to environmental predictors, specifically temperature, we found no strong effect of the mean temperature of the preceding month; however, we found strong negative effects of exposure to high and low temperatures during the preceding month. This is consistent with the idea that extreme temperatures are more important than average temperatures in determining limits of development and survival, especially for ectotherms. It has been shown for *Drosophila* species that tolerance to extreme temperatures (e.g. during winter cold spells or summer heatwaves), constrain species distributions,^{46–48} and correlative models also suggest that the distribution of *D. suzukii* is limited by low temperatures, especially at the northern and southern range limits.^{10,11,49} Rather than simply using a single thermal threshold limit, we employed the widespread




FIGURE 3. Effects of individual covariates on scaled abundance of *Drosophila suzukii*. Partial dependency plots showing the marginal response of smooths of scaled abundance to: (A) $s(\text{day})$, days after 1 July in the northern hemisphere (or 1 January in the southern hemisphere); (B) $s(\text{meanmonthlytemp})$, mean temperature of the preceding month; (C) $s(\text{ddmax})$, accumulated degree-days above 25 °C in the preceding month; and (D) $s(\text{ddmin})$, accumulated degree-days below 5 °C in the preceding month. The y-axis labels in all plots contain the covariate name and the estimated degrees of freedom for a given smoother. Horizontal dashed lines are displayed at response = 0 for reference, to differentiate increasing and decreasing effects of covariates.




FIGURE 4. Predicted effects of accumulated degree-days below 5 °C on abundance. Predicted scaled seasonal abundance (maximum observable for a given location), assuming a mean monthly temperature of 10 °C, accumulated degree-days above 25 °C of 10, accumulated degree-days below 5 °C of 0, 5, 10, 50, and 100, using apple cider vinegar traps in a grape crop.




FIGURE 5. Relationship between the date of first catch and maximum daily catches. Scatterplot showing the maximum daily catch of adults caught per trap per day (log-transformed), summarized for each study, crop and year as a function of time of first catch in number of days after spring (1 March for the northern hemisphere or 1 September for the southern hemisphere). The blue solid line represents the linear regression and the grey shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval around the regression.

concept of degree-days, as it combines severity of thermal exposure with duration. This is a standard method in crop management, such as timing insecticide treatments to coincide with predictions of high pest pressure,⁵⁰ and recently, physiologically based predictive DD models have been employed to forecast local *D. suzukii* phenology with varying success.^{27,28,44,51} These models typically ignore temperature accumulation outside extremes and, rather, focus on temperature accumulation within the range that permits development. For example, Kamiyama *et al.*,²⁸ implemented temperature accumulation between a lower limit of 7.2 °C and an upper limit of 30 °C, because *D. suzukii* development ceases outside those temperatures.⁵² This is akin to using optimum temperatures as described above. We argue that thermal extremes should not be disregarded in the explanation of population dynamics, which is supported by our finding of the strong association of seasonal abundance with accumulated DD below 5 °C and above 25 °C in the preceding month. Outside the optimum temperature range, temperature stress accumulates at much higher rates as shown recently for a range of *Drosophilids* including *D. suzukii*.⁵³ This is consistent with our observations of near-linear decreases of trap catches with increasing number of DDs below 5 °C and above 25 °C.

Our results indicate that prolonged periods of intense heat (e.g. a summer heatwave) will reduce population abundances. Several studies have found that *D. suzukii* populations grow in the early spring, then decline during the hottest summer months when rainfall is low and temperatures exceed 30 °C, and then peak again during autumn.^{15,17,22,24,51,54} The lack of captures at high temperatures and the model predicting lower abundance with accumulated DDs above 25 °C could be due to reduced survival and/or reproduction at these temperatures,^{52,55} lack of activity and dispersal at temperatures between 25 and 30 °C,³⁷ or migration to higher altitudes to other food sources and colder conditions, as suggested by Mitsui *et al.*⁵⁶

Similarly, the linear decrease in trap catches with accumulated DDs below 5 °C in the preceding month indicate that preceding periods of cold weather will significantly decrease abundance. Thus, our results support numerous observations of low temperatures being the limiting factor for both *D. suzukii* overall abundance and timing of first emergence.^{13,39,41,52} The primary constraint on seasonal abundance is thought to be the number of overwintering adults,^{39,57} thus harsh winter conditions will slow spring population build-up and severely restrict potential maximum abundance in the following season.¹³ In support of this, we found a negative relationship between the timing of first trap catch and the maximum number of adult flies during the season, meaning that the later the first catch, the lower the peak season abundance. Similar results were found in a 7-year monitoring data set, in which maximum catches were predicted by the date of first catch, as well as by the trap catches in the previous years, suggesting overwintering populations.⁴⁴ Drummond *et al.*²³ also found that the earlier *D. suzukii* were captured in a field, the higher the relative abundance in the following growing season. Given these effects of winter cold, there may be some capacity to develop an early-warning system in affected regions, which would benefit farmers who could use this risk-based approach to inform seasonal management planning.

Despite rather clear effects of high and low temperature extremes, the included predictors in the GAMM only account for approximately 22% of the variance in seasonal abundance, suggesting that there are other significant drivers of abundance besides temperature. This is not surprising given the broad range of crops, management regimes and trapping options encompassed by our compiled data set. Other important factors may include plant type, variety and availability,^{16,58} number of and distance to non-crop host plants,^{20,42,59} fruiting phenology, microclimate refuges,⁶⁰ and predators and parasitoids.⁶¹ Similarly, other management related factors such as chemical usage,²⁷ and

harvest schedules⁵⁴ can have strong impacts on *D. suzukii* population abundances observed within crops.

It should be noted in this study (and more generally in field pest abundance studies) that although trap catches are a measure of in-field abundance, they do not necessarily reflect true abundances and therefore crop risk.^{22,23,62} During periods of abundant ripening fruits, the traps are in direct competition with crop and non-crop food sources for attracting adult flies. Hamby *et al.*¹⁴ found that although trap data often correlated with infestation rates, examples of high larval infestation with low trap captures were present in all seasons, cautioning the use of trap catches as the foundation for management decisions. Nevertheless, at present, trap data expressed consistently across studies represent the best available comparative data.

There are some important knowledge gaps and thus limitations of the modelling undertaken here, and in general for species distribution modelling. One important determinant of seasonal abundance that we could not account for in our study, and which most models to our knowledge fail to incorporate, is acclimation effects. The fact that *D. suzukii* have distinct summer and winter morphs with significantly different thermal tolerances,^{52,63} and can induce a reproductive diapause in cold conditions⁶⁴ represent a crucial limitation in predictive models of seasonal abundance.²⁷ Another limitation widely acknowledged in predictive models is that the environmental temperature data used as predictors to link thermal tolerance to geographical distributions or abundances are overly simplistic.^{65,66} The coarse estimates of environmental temperature, typically based on air temperature measured 2 m above ground do not adequately reflect the microclimatic environment experienced by most smaller organisms. Such microclimates are affected by vegetation cover, terrain, human structures, wind, solar radiation and soil moisture.^{66,67} The difference between standard air temperature and temperature measured near the soil surface or inside vegetation can exceed 10 °C.⁶⁸ New developments in microclimate models, software and databases^{67,69,70} show promising future opportunities for more accurate species distribution and predictive abundance models.

5 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we present a model that can be used to predict *D. suzukii* early season activity potential to the benefit of farmers and other industry practitioners. However, while trap catches are a measure of in-field abundance, they do not necessarily accurately reflect crop risk. Therefore, this model should be used to support, rather than replace, in-field monitoring efforts by enhancing knowledge of how climatic factors affect *D. suzukii* population activity. The negative impact of high temperatures suggest late-season management strategies focusing on manipulating the crop microclimate to temperatures above 25 °C may reduce *D. suzukii* abundance. We show that early season abundance is modulated by climate, particularly the depth of cold extremes experienced in the preceding time period. Given these relationships, there may be some capacity to develop an early-warning system for affected crops and regions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Helle Mathiasen and Johannes Overgaard for valuable discussions about the manuscript. This research was funded by the Independent Research Fund Denmark, Technology and Production Research Council (0170-00006B to MØ), and by Hort

Innovation Australia (MT18010 to JL, PU, and JM), using the strawberry, raspberry and blackberry, cherry and summer fruit research and development levies and contributions from the Australian Government.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

REFERENCES

- 1 Lee JC and Sial A, Reference list of fruits with *Drosophila suzukii*. https://eorganic.info/sites/eorganic.info/files/u461/SWD-hostlist-by_reference.pdf. Accessed 6 October 2016 (2016).
- 2 Hauser M, A historic account of the invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the continental United States, with remarks on their identification. *Pest Manage Sci* **67**: 1352–1357 (2011).
- 3 Calabria G, Máca J, Bächli G, Serra L and Pascual M, First records of the potential pest species *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Europe. *J Appl Entomol* **136**:139–147 (2012).
- 4 Deprá M, Poppe JL, Schmitz HJ, De Toni DC and Valente VLS, The first records of the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii* in the South American continent. *J Pest Sci* **87**:379–383 (2014).
- 5 Boughdad A, Haddi K, El Bouazzati A, Nassiri A, Tahiri A, El Anbri C *et al.*, First record of the invasive spotted wing drosophila infesting berry crops in Africa. *J Pest Sci* **94**:261–271 (2021).
- 6 Kwadha CA, Okwaro LA, Kleman I, Rehmann G, Revadi S, Ndlela S *et al.*, Detection of the spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*, in continental sub-Saharan Africa. *J Pest Sci* **95**:251–259 (2021).
- 7 Bolda MP, Goodhue RE and Zalom FG, Spotted wing drosophila: potential economic impact of a newly established pest. *Agric Resour Econ Update* **13**:5–8 (2010).
- 8 Lee JC, Bruck DJ, Dreves AJ, Ioriatti C, Vogt H and Baufeld P, In focus: spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*, across perspectives. *Pest Manage Sci* **67**:1349–1351 (2011).
- 9 Maino JL, Schouten R and Umina P, Predicting the global invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* to improve Australian biosecurity preparedness. *J Appl Ecol* **58**:789–800 (2021).
- 10 dos Santos LA, Mendes MF, Krüger AP, Blauth ML, Gottschalk MS and Garcia FRM, Global potential distribution of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera, Drosophilidae). *PLoS One* **12**:e0174318 (2017).
- 11 Ørsted IV and Ørsted M, Species distribution models of the spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*, Diptera: Drosophilidae) in its native and invasive range reveal an ecological niche shift. *J Appl Ecol* **56**:423–435 (2019).
- 12 Reyes JA and Lira-Noriega A, Current and future global potential distribution of the fruit fly *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Can Entomol* **152**:587–599 (2020).
- 13 Dalton DT, Walton VM, Shearer PW, Walsh DB, Caprile J and Isaacs R, Laboratory survival of *Drosophila suzukii* under simulated winter conditions of the Pacific Northwest and seasonal field trapping in five primary regions of small and stone fruit production in the United States. *Pest Manage Sci* **67**:1368–1374 (2011).
- 14 Hamby KA, Bolda MP, Sheehan ME and Zalom FG, Seasonal monitoring for *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in California commercial raspberries. *Environ Entomol* **43**:1008–1018 (2014).
- 15 Harris DW, Hamby KA, Wilson HE and Zalom FG, Seasonal monitoring of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in a mixed fruit production system. *J Asia-Pac Entomol* **17**:857–864 (2014).
- 16 Haviland D, Caprile-Emeritus J, Rill S, Hamby K and Grant J, Phenology of spotted wing drosophila in the San Joaquin Valley varies by season, crop and nearby vegetation. *California Agric* **70**:24–23 (2016).
- 17 Arnó J, Solà M, Riudavets J and Gabarra R, Population dynamics, non-crop hosts, and fruit susceptibility of *Drosophila suzukii* in Northeast Spain. *J Pest Sci* **89**:713–723 (2016).

- 18 Del Fava E, Ioriatti C and Melegaro A, Cost–benefit analysis of controlling the spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura)) spread and infestation of soft fruits in Trentino, Northern Italy. *Pest Manage Sci* **73**:2318–2327 (2017).
- 19 Klesener DF, dos Santos RSS, Gebler L and Marchioretto LDR, R, Population fluctuation and infestation of *Drosophila suzukii* in berry crops in Southern Brazil. *Afr J Agric Res* **13**:499–511 (2018).
- 20 Elsensohn JE and Loeb GM, Non-crop host sampling yields insights into small-scale population dynamics of *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura). *Insects* **9**:5 (2018).
- 21 Kirkpatrick DM, Gut LJ and Miller JR, Estimating monitoring trap plume reach and trapping area for *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Michigan tart cherry. *J Econ Entomol* **111**:1285–1289 (2018).
- 22 Briem F, Dominic AR, Golla B, Hoffmann C, Englert C, Herz A et al., Explorative data analysis of *Drosophila suzukii* trap catches from a seven-year monitoring program in Southwest Germany. *Insects* **9**: 125 (2018).
- 23 Drummond F, Ballman E and Collins J, Population dynamics of spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura)) in Maine wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Aiton). *Insects* **10**:205 (2019).
- 24 Hamby KA, Bellamy DE, Chiu JC, Lee JC, Walton VM, Wiman NG et al., Biotic and abiotic factors impacting development, behavior, phenology, and reproductive biology of *Drosophila suzukii*. *J Pest Sci* **89**: 605–619 (2016).
- 25 Ørsted IV and Ørsted M, Data from: species distribution models of the spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*, Diptera: Drosophilidae) in its native and invasive range reveal an ecological niche shift. *J Appl Ecol* **56**:423–435 (2018).
- 26 Sparks A, Hengl T and Nelson A, GSODR: global summary daily weather data in R. *J Open Source Software* **2**:117 (2017).
- 27 Wiman NG, Dalton DT, Anfora G, Biondi A, Chiu JC, Daane KM et al., *Drosophila suzukii* population response to environment and management strategies. *J Pest Sci* **89**:653–665 (2016).
- 28 Kamiyama MT, Bradford BZ, Groves RL and Guédot C, Degree day models to forecast the seasonal phenology of *Drosophila suzukii* in tart cherry orchards in the Midwest U.S., ed. by Biondi A. *PLoS One* **15**:e0227726 (2020).
- 29 Luedeling E, chillR: statistical methods for phenology analysis in temperate fruit. R package version 0.70.15 (2019). Available at: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/chillR/index.html>.
- 30 Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH et al., Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol* **24**:127–135 (2009).
- 31 Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA and Smith GM, *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer, New York (2009).
- 32 Frost KE, Esker PD, Van Haren R, Kotolski L and Groves RL, Seasonal patterns of aster leafhopper (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) abundance and aster yellows phytoplasma infectivity in Wisconsin carrot fields. *Environ Entomol* **42**:491–502 (2013).
- 33 Box GEP, Jenkins G and Reinsel G, *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*, 3rd edn. Holden-Day, San Francisco (1994).
- 34 Pinheiro J and Bates D, *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. Springer, New York (2000).
- 35 EPPO. European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO). New data on quarantine pests and pests of the EPPO Alert List. EPPO Reporting Service **4**:67. Available at: <https://gd.eppo.int/reporting/article-6745> [2 Jan 2021].
- 36 Uden DR, Allen CR, Angeler DG, Corral L and Fricke KA, Adaptive invasive species distribution models: a framework for modeling incipient invasions. *Biol Invasions* **17**:2831–2850 (2015).
- 37 Kinjo H, Kunimi Y and Nakai M, Effects of temperature on the reproduction and development of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Appl Entomol Zool* **49**:297–304 (2014).
- 38 Mazzetto F, Pansa MG, Ingegno BL, Tavella L and Alma A, Monitoring of the exotic fly *Drosophila suzukii* in stone, pome and soft fruit orchards in NW Italy. *J Asia Pac Entomol* **18**:321–329 (2015).
- 39 Rossi-Stacconi MV, Kaur R, Mazzoni V, Ometto L, Grassi A, Gottardello A et al., Multiple lines of evidence for reproductive winter diapause in the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii*: useful clues for control strategies. *J Pest Sci* **89**:689–700 (2016).
- 40 Manduric S, *Drosophila suzukii* – experiences from the fly's northern-most inhabited region (from the first record to two years after the detection). *IOBC-WPRS Bull* **123**:150–156 (2017).
- 41 Thistlewood HMA, Gill P, Beers EH, Shearer PW, Walsh DB, Rozema BM et al., Spatial analysis of seasonal dynamics and overwintering of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the Okanagan-Columbia basin, 2010–2014. *Environ Entomol* **47**:221–232 (2018).
- 42 Thistlewood HMA, Rozema B and Acheampong S, Infestation and timing of use of non-crop plants by *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the Okanagan Basin, Canada. *Can Entomol* **151**:34–48 (2019).
- 43 Guédot C, Avanesyan A and Hietala-Henschell K, Effect of temperature and humidity on the seasonal phenology of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Wisconsin. *Environ Entomol* **47**:1365–1375 (2018).
- 44 Leach H, Van Timmeren S, Wetzel W, and Isaacs R, Predicting within- and between-year variation in activity of the invasive spotted wing drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in a temperate region. *Environ Entomol* **48**:1223–1233 (2019).
- 45 Tait G, Cabianca A, Grassi A, Pfab F, Oppedisano T, Puppato S et al., *Drosophila suzukii* daily dispersal between distinctly different habitats. *Entomologia Generalis* **40**:25–37 (2020).
- 46 Kellermann V, Overgaard J, Hoffmann AA, Fløjgaard C, Svenning J-C and Loeschcke V, Upper thermal limits of *Drosophila* are linked to species distributions and strongly constrained phylogenetically. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:16228–16233 (2012).
- 47 Overgaard J, Kearney MR and Hoffmann AA, Sensitivity to thermal extremes in Australian *Drosophila* implies similar impacts of climate change on the distribution of widespread and tropical species. *Glob Chang Biol* **20**:1738–1750 (2014).
- 48 Williams CM, Henry HAL and Sinclair BJ, Cold truths: how winter drives responses of terrestrial organisms to climate change: organismal responses to winter climate change. *Biol Rev* **90**:214–235 (2015).
- 49 de la Vega GJ and Corley JC, *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) distribution modelling improves our understanding of pest range limits. *Int J Pest Manage* **65**:217–227 (2019).
- 50 Wilson LT and Barnett WW, Degree-days: an aid in crop and pest management. *California Agric* **37**:4–7 (1983).
- 51 Wiman NG, Walton VM, Dalton DT, Anfora G, Burrack HJ, Chiu JC et al., Integrating temperature-dependent life table data into a matrix projection model for *Drosophila suzukii* population estimation. *PLoS One* **9**:e106909 (2014).
- 52 Tochen S, Dalton DT, Wiman N, Hamm C, Shearer PW and Walton VM, Temperature-related development and population parameters for *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) on cherry and blueberry. *Environ Entomol* **43**:501–510 (2014).
- 53 Jørgensen LB, Malte H and Overgaard J, How to assess *Drosophila* heat tolerance: unifying static and dynamic tolerance assays to predict heat distribution limits. *Funct Ecol* **33**:629–642 (2019).
- 54 Wang X-G, Stewart TJ, Biondi A, Chavez BA, Ingels C, Caprile J et al., Population dynamics and ecology of *Drosophila suzukii* in central California. *J Pest Sci* **89**:701–712 (2016).
- 55 Kimura MT, Cold and heat tolerance of drosophilid flies with reference to their latitudinal distributions. *Oecologia* **140**:442–449 (2004).
- 56 Mitsui H, Beppu K and Kimura MT, Seasonal life cycles and resource uses of flower- and fruit-feeding drosophilid flies (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in central Japan. *Entomol Sci* **13**:60–67 (2010).
- 57 Panel A, Zeeman L, van der Sluis B, van Elk P, Pannebakker B, Wertheim B et al., Overwintered *Drosophila suzukii* are the main source for infestations of the first fruit crops of the season. *Insects* **9**:145 (2018).
- 58 Lee JC, Bruck DJ, Curry H, Edwards D, Haviland DR, Steenwyk RAV et al., The susceptibility of small fruits and cherries to the spotted-wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. *Pest Manage Sci* **67**:1358–1367 (2011).
- 59 Weißinger L, Schrieber K, Breuer M and Müller C, Influences of blackberry margins on population dynamics of *Drosophila suzukii* and grape infestation in adjacent vineyards. *J Appl Entomol* **143**:802–812 (2019).
- 60 Evans RK, Toews MD and Sial AA, Diel periodicity of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) under field conditions. *PLoS One* **12**: e0171718 (2017).
- 61 Gabarra R, Riudavets J, Rodríguez GA, Pujade-Villar J and Arnó J, Prospects for the biological control of *Drosophila suzukii*. *BioControl* **60**: 331–339 (2015).
- 62 Alnajjar G, Drummond FA and Groden E, Laboratory and field susceptibility of *Drosophila suzukii* Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae) to entomopathogenic fungal mycoses. *J Agric Urban Entomol* **33**:111–132 (2017).
- 63 Shearer PW, West JD, Walton VM, Brown PH, Svetec N and Chiu JC, Seasonal cues induce phenotypic plasticity of *Drosophila suzukii* to enhance winter survival. *BMC Ecol* **16**:11 (2016).
- 64 Toxopeus J, Jakobs R, Ferguson LV, Garipey TD and Sinclair BJ, Reproductive arrest and stress resistance in winter-acclimated *Drosophila suzukii*. *J Insect Physiol* **89**:37–51 (2016).

- 65 Rebaudo F, Faye E and Dangles O, Microclimate data improve predictions of insect abundance models based on calibrated spatio-temporal temperatures. *Front Physiol* **7**:139 (2016).
- 66 Lembrechts JJ, Nijls I and Lenoir J, Incorporating microclimate into species distribution models. *Ecography* **42**:1267–1279 (2019).
- 67 Kearney MR, Isaac AP and Porter WP, microclim: global estimates of hourly microclimate based on long-term monthly climate averages. *Sci Data* **1**:1–9 (2014).
- 68 Suggitt AJ, Gillingham PK, Hill JK, Huntley B, Kunin WE, Roy DB *et al.*, Habitat microclimates drive fine-scale variation in extreme temperatures. *Oikos* **120**:1–8 (2011).
- 69 Kearney MR and Porter WP, NicheMapR – an R package for biophysical modelling: the microclimate model. *Ecography* **40**:664–674 (2017).
- 70 Lembrechts JJ, Aalto J, Ashcroft MB, Frenne PD, Kopecký M, Lenoir J *et al.*, SoilTemp: a global database of near-surface temperature. *Glob Chang Biol* **26**:6616–6629 (2020).