

Giselle Onofre

A BIGOT SYSTEM WITHOUT HOMOLOGY: a racist system without equality

Is white supremacy ideological or systemic? One may think just because the U.S is a “white man's” territory they, the whites, are unquestionably instantly superior to minorities. However, although this may hold some truth, it is indeed not just an ideological issue, it is sadly a systemic flaw. Evenso, society is held responsible to fix such flaws in our nation's system.

Now what exactly is white supremacy? White supremacy is a principle where ‘white people’ are believed to be superior to ‘nonwhite people’ also known as minorities. This fallacy is rooted in the same systematic racism and alchemy used to condone slavery, imperialism, colonialism, and even as far as genocide during the highs and lows of history. The ideology of ‘white’ being superior to that of others and their parasites, those who follow this ideology, sustain this idea to preserve the tall tale of ‘white racial superiority’ being a historically ethical ideology, since its beginning. The ‘white’ European rulers who settled in the U.S believed that they were genetically superior to ‘not white’ society. Thus, such illogical ideologies failed to serve justice and fairness towards huge barbarities like the 250 plus years of ‘colored’ people followed by the massacre of Native Americans. Not content with only having slavery being constitutional, those who proclaimed and maintained white supremacist ideologies later on embodied this inhuman act into what was called to be a wisecrack of chains of laws that would further restrain the freedom of those considered to be ‘colored,’ known as the infamous Black Codes and Jim Crow. Although this atrocity of actions were in occurrence throughout history and shamelessly its trace can still be tracked in our ‘legal system’ and other associations through cryptography like language and practices with objectives like individuals to be impacted in such legal practices. With no if, buts, or whatsoever. Both ambiguous and inhumane shapes of racism lean towards

holding up the idea of white supremacy and have been on the upswing in recent times. Acts such as these are directly connected to white nationalism. Now what is white nationalism and why is it being brought up? Well, white nationalism is a theory branched out of white supremacy itself and sustains a fundamental difference focused on nationhood (an ideology which states that some groups of human beings, such as ethnic groups, should be free to rule themselves, in this case ‘whites.’)

White nationalists in the U.S. promote for such a nation that is only and only so for the ‘whites’ as to be expected due to the bosom of some sort of ‘dibs’ idea and racial predominance. These ‘white nationalist’ have a great belief in which the range of cultures in the United States will be the cause of the carnage of not only the idea of whiteness, but white culture itself. Therefore, the idea of white supremacy is merely just a fiction vision of those who chose to believe in it. According to ““White Genocide”: White Supremacist Discourse and the Politics of Reproduction,” it states, “White supremacy or white supremacism is a term used to characterize various belief systems central to which are one or more of the following key tenets: i) Whites should have dominance over people of other backgrounds, especially where they may co-exist; ii) Whites should live by themselves in a whites-only society; iii) White people have their own ‘culture’ that is superior to other cultures; iv) White people are genetically superior to other people,” (Perry, 1). This demonstrates that the idea of white supremacy goes beyond being just a simple concept, it is an idea that is solely built upon nothing more than racism itself. It is in other words, just the panic-like ideology pushing on to the idea that the ‘white race’ is in great danger of becoming obsolete like in the animal food chain with ‘minorities’ being the main predator. Thus, that is why such groups believe that it is their duty as ‘white nationalist’ to save ‘their’ race. This is to be done by physically removing the ‘invaders of their land.’ When in reality all

‘minorities’ do is fight for equality, and fairness for all colors and shapes of individuals stepping upon the supposed ‘land of the free.’ Further more, in the text, ““White Genocide”: White Supremacist Discourse and the Politics of Reproduction,” it states, “The term is also used to describe a political ideology that perpetuates and maintains the social, political, historical, or institutional domination by white people (as evidenced by historical and contemporary sociopolitical structures such as the Atlantic slave trade, Jim Crow laws in the US, the set of ‘White Australia’ policies from the 1890s until the mid-1970s, and apartheid in South Africa),” (Perry, 1). This evidence thus further speculates the erroneous fundamentals behind ‘white supremacy’ believing that whites are the set ‘landowners’ of all the U.S. nation and they have the liberty to do as they wish with minorities, such as the colored; just like they did back in history with laws such as the Jim Crow violation to hold the minorities in their place, as peons to the whites. Yet, through time the minorities have shown that none of this is to be held as legal practice instead with the use of history itself peace can be maintained as long as unity and equality is pledged. Since, we all have ‘natural rights’ as human beings no matter the color, race or background.

Although, it might be straightforward as to what groups are considered to be insiders and outsiders when speaking upon white supremacy ideologies; curiously that is not the case here. In other words, it’s not that easy to understand to a full extent. In the text, “Racism, Systemic Racism, & White Supremacy: What’s the Difference?,” it sets forth the notion in which whites quite relish having a heads up over the people who are viewed to be the minorities, and have the upper hand simply due to their genetics. So genetics is a concept which is often brought up when trying to differentiate between whites and non whites. Thus, with simple genetic works, individuals for, white supremacy, automatically identify their enemies also known as outsiders

who, in their mindset, have no right to step on ‘American soil.’ To sum it up, genetics matter! Additionally, there tends to be a sense of ‘if we let these minorities run their own rules, our race will be overthrown,’ in other words there's a sense of extinction creating some sort of defensive mechanism in their mindsets.

There are multiple, what are known to be effects such as “attacks” by whites on minorities that tend to simply be seen as normalizing violence towards minorities. One of these attacks include the ideology of CRT, critical race theory, in which there is a central debate of removing any and every trace of history which makes America seem as an ‘evil’ driven nation. However, simply doing so will demolish the hardships through which minorities crawled through just to get to where minorities are as far as freedom and rights. If all educational textbooks and lectures are deprived of such knowledge, it is only reasonable for unwanted and inhumane history to repeat itself once more. Another issue that is very common nowadays is as the author of “Jihadis Go to Jail, White Supremacists Go Free,” states, “Western governments are guilty of a double standard when it comes to policing digital hate culture. If they want to prevent the next attack, they need to recognize the threat of online white supremacists and act to stop them. Politicians and CEOs continue to prioritize the free speech rights of white supremacists over the security of their potential victims,” (Ganesh, 1). This illustrates how in present times, although minorities faced unimaginable hardships, it almost seems as if it were for nothing, in other words the agony through which minorities, such as Martin L. King, and Harriet Tubman went through, whites are still to this day being prioritized as superior. What is this supposed to mean? Well, a quick example of this can be in a colored or minority strikes a white individual, credibility is almost always on the whites side. This further solidifies the idea in which white supremacy will rule and continue to do so if it is allowed to prosper in such situations that seem ‘minor.’ Thus, no moral

or ethical framework is placed upon the situation of protecting the natural rights and image of minorities as human beings. Moreover, in the text of, "The Socialism of Fools. Theory in Action," it states, "Black people were labeled as incapable of Western Civilization, and Jews are described as agents of its intentional destruction. This should not be an indication of antisemitism's significance in comparison, since Western societies are fundamentally built on anti-blackness and anti-indigenous forms of white supremacy, but it does outline the fractured way that antisemitism has evolved into its own unique form of bigotry and systemic bias. But this story continues. It is one of a discrimination, pogromist violence, total erasure from history, and the disavowal of full Jewish participation, even within the organs of social liberation. Like any community systemically pushed by white supremacy, Jews continue to quest for actualization, never quite achieving what was promised. The world continues to distrust Jews, and this distrust is only magnified by a left that is unsure of antisemitism, both as bias and as rage," (Burley, 42-50). This demonstrates how America's nation is built on 'western ideologies' that once labeled the nonwhites as practically disabled and incapable of being independent without the whites ruling as their 'masters,' thus holding to this day the impression of this nation being anti-minority based. Although, many may state white supremacy is a notion only minorities are bringing up in such necessary circumstances, whites further express it with not only body language but actions as well. It's ultimately a notion derived from the whites, not the minorities. Albeit, that this nation is represented by the idea of "liberty and freedom for all," racial bias and systemic racism prohibit such an idea from holding any credibility to it whatsoever; instead, it drives towards lacking truth in the nation's own moto.

Hence, it is this nation's individual duty to conceal such national flaws, starting with its people. Let us not see each other as enemies but rather as allies to improve and help this nation

advance both technologically, culturally, and in its agriculture as well. In the text, "Review: Global White Nationalism.," it states, "White nationalism today is less tied to one country or one national myth, but instead reflects the current state of Whiteness: a racial supremacism that binds together different national backgrounds and ethnicities into one shared, violent identity. This means that the only way to think about fighting back is through a similar embrace of internationalism, to think about anti-racism and advocacy for multiracial democracy as a shared struggle across borders. The racists certainly are," (Burley, 24–31). This exemplifies how white supremacy is nothing more than a "national myth" in which systematic racism is reflected, this idea holds us directionless and ultimately leading to painful chaos. Thus, it is of utmost importance for such corrupt ideas to be faded by the nation's power of unity and comprehension towards every single human being. A multiracial democracy must be ever-present in order to bring such destruction to an end. Whiteness is nothing more than pure evil propaganda brought up through history and we must make aware to all generations that such ideologies carry with chaos on its framework. To add on, in the text, "'White Genocide': White Supremacist Discourse and the Politics of Reproduction," it states, "Nonetheless, says Ferber, there is reason for hope: "To the extent that difference is socially constructed, it can also be socially reconstructed. In other words, as a society, we can redefine the ways in which difference 'matters.' We can strive for a just and democratic society in which the full spectrum of diversity addressed here is re-evaluated in a positive and celebratory light," (Perry, 1). This portrays the optimistic side of all this controversy, with the simple idea of: society being able to be reconstructed in such a manner in which the people strive for a fully democratic like society allowing the 'spectrum' of diversity to be never ending. Positivity is a must for this to be truly a success, no more outsiders and insiders terminology.

Henceforth, ultimately the U.S. will never advance in leaving behind the notion of white supremacy until it is understood that a color is no enemy. The true enemy is racism itself, and if this nation does not step forth on such controversy, perhaps destruction is an undeniable outcome. White supremacy is a sober peril for this nation and the world itself. Unity is a must in learning to break free of such evil misconceptions in which society has us trapped in.

## Reference page

1. Burley, S. (2021). Review: Global White Nationalism. *Public Eye*, 24–31
2. Burley, S. (2024). The Socialism of Fools. *Theory in Action*, 17(3), 37–53.  
<https://doi-org.ccny-proxy1.lib.ccny.cuny.edu/10.3798/tia.1937-0237.2412>
3. Ganesh, B. “Jihadis Go to Jail, White Supremacists Go Free”. *Foreign Policy*. May 2019.
4. Mirpuri, S. “Racism, Systemic Racism, & White Supremacy: What’s the Difference?”  
National recreation and park association. May 1, 2022.
5. Perry, B. (2003). “White Genocide”: White Supremacist Discourse and the Politics of  
Reproduction. In Abby Ferber (ed.), *Home Grown Racism: Gender and Organized  
Racism*. Routledge.
6. Williams, S. D. (2022). The whole truth. *U.S. Catholic*, 87(8), 10–15.