Problems and complaints

Sunken servers

Data centers with their endless rows of server racks are the backbone of our digital life. Putting such vital and fragile high-tech into steel pressure vessels and sinking them to the bottom of the ocean sounds counter-intuitive, not to say plain crazy. Yet, Microsoft's project Natick suggests that the best place for our data might be beneath the sea.



Have you ever wondered how much energy it takes to store all of

these cat pictures on the cloud? You might be surprised. In 2014, data centers consumed about 70 billion kilowatt hours, or more than six times the annual power consumption of Washington, DC. That's a lot of cat pictures.

Data centers are centralized locations that, at a very basic level, house racks of servers that store data and perform computations. They make possible bitcoin mining, real-time language translation, Netflix streaming, online video games, and the processing of bank payments, among many other things. These server farms range in size from a small closet using tens of kilowatts to warehouses requiring hundreds of megawatts.

THE COST OF THE CLOUD

zosatz

Data centers need a good deal of energy. Not just to power the servers, but also for auxiliary systems such as monitoring equipment, lighting, and, most importantly, cooling. Computers rely on many, many, transistors which also act as resistors. When a current passes through a resistor, heat is generated. If the heat is not removed, it can lead to over-heating, reducing the efficiency and lifetime of the processor, or even destroying it in extreme cases. Data centers face the same problem as a computer on a much larger scale.

Data centers exist to store and manage data, so any power used by the facility for other purposes is considered 'over- head'. A helpful metric way to measure a facility's power overhead is with the power usage effectiveness (PUE) ratio. It is the ratio of the total facility power to the IT equipment power. A PUE of one would mean that the facility has zero power overhead whereas a PUE of 2 would mean that for every Watt of IT power an additional Watt is used for auxiliary systems.

Companies like Microsoft, Amazon Web Services, Facebook, or Google have PUEs in the order of 1.1 to 1.2. That's actually pretty efficient. However, according to the Natural Resources Defense Council these enterprise-level and high-performance computing data centers account for only about 32 percent of the electricity used by data centers in the U.S. Roughly 49 percent goes to small and medium-sized server rooms, which more than likely have less incentive to drive down their overhead since it's a much smaller operating cost. According to an EPA report from 2007, a PUE of 2 might be more appropriate for these smaller server rooms, but let's use a more conservative industry average PUE of 1.7 as proposed by the Uptime Institutes 2014 Data Centre survey.

Google states that 30-70 percent of the overhead power is attributable to cooling for the average data centre. A PUE of 1.7 would mean that about 41 percent of total power goes to auxiliary systems for data centers. If half the auxiliary power goes to cooling, then this works out at roughly 14 billion kWh each year just to cool data centers.

Most data centers are air cooled. Air cooling works moderately well, but not as well as water cooling. This is due to the simple fact that water has a specific heat capacity that is more than four times that of air. In other words, water cooling is more efficient and better efficiency means lower costs. Well, what if we could put these data centers underwater and cut down on that cooling load? That's exactly what a team at Microsoft investigated.

UNDERWATER DATA CENTRE

Officially named Project Natick, it was a Microsoft skunkworks project from 2014-2016 to assess the feasibility of an underwater data centre. The idea is not as crazy as it might sound. In fact, there are many benefits to an underwater data centre. The first is one that we've already touched on: cooling. If you go deep enough, generally around 100 meters or more, the water temperature gets quite chilly, anywhere from just above freezing to around 15 degree Celsius. This is more than sufficient to keep a server room cool, and more importantly, it's free! Goodbye cooling bills.

For most deep underwater locations, the temperatures remain relatively constant all year round. This brings us to another benefit: predictable ambient conditions. On shore, data facilities must deal with fluctuating temperatures across the seasons; ambient temperatures can vary over a range of more than 38 degrees Celsius in a single year.

This leads to over-designed cooling systems. Underwater, the system has to deal with a much narrower range of temperatures, perhaps around 12 degree Celsius.



centre developers could add capacity in a fraction of the time.

Another benefit of underwater data centers is their inherent location. According to one account, close to 50 percent of the U.S population will live near the coastline by 2020. Since coastal property values are notoriously expensive, many large facilities such as data centers and warehouses are moved farther inland where land is generally cheaper. However, underwater "land" can be even cheaper. For example, Deepwater Wind paid only US\$ 3 per acre back in 2013 to lease a large block of seafloor off the coast of Rhode Island. For comparison, agricultural land in the U.S. rents for about US\$ 144 per acre, or forty-eight times more.

There is another benefit to being close to the customer: it reduces latency. This is what causes websites to load slowly. More simply, it's the time it takes for a packet of data to move from its origin to its destination. By one rule of thumb, every 100 kilometres of travel leads to about one millisecond

Perhaps the most important aspect of an underwater data centre would be its short deployment time. Natick project manager Ben Cutler believes that their underwater server pods can be deployed in about three months. Considering that a large data centre takes about two years to construct, this is a huge improvement. With such a design, data

in latency. This may not sound like much, but it adds up and no one likes waiting for their Game of Thrones episode.

So how does an underwater data centre work? The design that the Project Natick team came up with is pretty straightforward: Essentially, they crammed a small server rack into a giant steel vessel and then sealed it up. The magic happens once it's underwater resting gently on the seafloor. The vessel has two different heat exchangers that use freshwater as the working fluid. An internal heat exchanger absorbs heat from the hot electronics and the external heat exchanger rejects that heat into the surrounding cold seawater. It's as simple as that.

SERVER SUBMARINE

Of course, installing and maintaining anything in the ocean is never simple. Accessing a sealed pod deep underwater to exchange sensitive electronics would be enough to scare off any maintenance technician. Then there is always the omnipresent problem of biofouling. It's a simple law of life that if a device remains within the photic zone, little critters are going to flock to it. As more barnacles and algae latch onto the pod, it reduces the effectiveness of heat transfer.

Too much biogrowth and, ironically, the pod might have difficulty cooling. Microsoft used a common technique in the maritime sector to sidestep this issue by using Copper-Nickel alloys on the heat exchanger surfaces. Cu-Ni is a good heat exchanger and corrosion resistant, but more importantly, it is also naturally resistant to biofouling. Unfortunately, it is also more expensive than steel so this may not be a long-term solution.

So where does the power for such a device come from? The same logic that applies to siting data centers applies to siting power: be close to the customer. Ideally, underwater data centers would be co-located with marine renewable power sources, such as tidal turbines, wave energy converters, or offshore wind. This would cut down on infrastructure and operating costs for the combined system.

Marine renewables face two hurdles. First, current technology has not reached cost parity with solar or wind for most locations, which makes the economics hard to justify on price alone. Second, a large underwater data centre would require significant power, in the order of 100 MW or more. There are no marine renewable projects that have this amount of capacity. In the short term, it is unlikely we'll see massive data centers underwater like the ones we see on shore.

Underwater data centers are a novel idea, but not so far- fetched. They have a number of advantages over terrestrial facilities, the most important being a reduced cooling cost and a faster deployment time. As the population continues to grow and store an ever-increasing amount of cat pictures, developers like AWS, Facebook, Microsoft, and Google may look to the ocean for new data centre locations. «< David Hume »>

Dieser Artikel ist ursprünglich auf The Liquid Grid, einem Blog fur nachhaltige Marinetechnologie, erschienen.