FYS4411 - Computational Physics II: Quantum Mechanical Systems

Project 2 - Variatonal Monte Carlo Studies of Electronic Systems.

Alfred Alocias Mariadason

https://www.github.com/Oo1Insane1oO/FYS4411

May 10, 2017

Abstract

I INTRODUCTION

Using the Variational Monte Carlo, this project aims to find and analyze quantities such as the ground state energy and single-particle densities of quantum dots for so-called closed shell systems.

We use the usual approach by estimating expectation value of the ground state energy with the variational principle and minimizing. The algorithm used for the Monte Carlo method is the well known Metropolis algorithm.

The reason for using a Monte Carlo method for minimizing the trial ground state energy is because the expectation value would in general be a multi-dimensional integral depending on the number of particles and number of parameters involved in the total wave function. Such an integral is not adequately solved by traditional methods(i.e Gaussian-quadrature).

The desired result is that the Metropolis algorithm with importance sampling yields a better result both from a computational point of view. That is it finds a good estimate for the ground state energy efficiently without wasting to much time on the configuration space. The wave function only has small values in this large space meaning a homogeneous distribution of calculation points would yield a poor result, a non-homogeneous approach(such as with the Metropolis algorithm) would then, hopefully, gives a better result.

II THEORY

¿¿INSERT DESCRIPTION¡¡

II.A HERMITE POLYNOMIALS

Hermite polynomials $H(x)$ are solutions to the differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 H}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - 2x\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}x} + (\lambda - 1)H = 0 \tag{1}$$

The polynomials fulfill the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} H_n^2 \mathrm{d}x = 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi} \tag{2}$$

with the recurrence relation

$$H_{n+1} = 2xH_n - 2nH_{n-1} \tag{3}$$

and standardized relation

$$H_n = (-1)^n \mathrm{e}^{x^2} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \tag{4}$$

From equation 4 one can find an expression for the derivative of the Hermite polynomial as

$$\frac{\partial^m H_n}{\partial x^m} = 2^m m! \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} H_{n-m} \tag{5}$$

II.B HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

II.B.1 Cartesian Coordinates

The harmonic oscillator system in 2 dimensions and in natural units is given by the following Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\nabla_i^2 + \omega^2 r_i^2 \right) \tag{6}$$

The wave functions in this case is then:

$$\phi_{n_x,n_y}(x,y) = AH_{n_x}(\sqrt{\omega}x)H_{n_y}(\sqrt{\omega}y) \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}(x^2 + y^2)\right) \tag{7}$$

where H_n is a Hermite polynomial of order n and A is a normalization constant. The quantum numbers n_x and n_y go as $n_x, n_y = 0, 1, 2 \ldots$. While ω is the oscillator frequency.
The energies is

$$E = \hbar\omega\left(n_x + n_y + 1\right) \tag{8}$$

II.C   FOURIER TRANSFORMATION

Given an integrable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ the Fourier transform to variable x is defined to be

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{ixs} \mathrm{d}x \tag{9}$$

with $x, s \in \mathbb{R}$.
The inverse transformation is given as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-isx} \mathrm{d}s \tag{10}$$

II.D   CONJUGATE GRADIENT METHOD

Suppose we have a linear system defined as

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \tag{11}$$

where A is a nxn real, symmetric and positive definite matrix while $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{b}$ are a non-zero real vectors.
Define now the conjugate directions of two vectors $\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ giving the constraint

$$\vec{x}_i^T A \vec{x}_j = \vec{0} \tag{12}$$

This means that in the iterative process of finding $\vec{x}$ we perform searches within the conjugate directions of $\vec{x}$.
Since the conjugate constraint(equation 12) is defined by an inner product within the space A is defined, the two vectors $\vec{x}_i$ and $\vec{x}_j$ are orthogonal. If we now define a span P

$$P = \left\{ \vec{p}_1, \ldots, \vec{p}_n \right\} \tag{13}$$

consisting of n mutually orthogonal conjugate directions $\vec{p}_i$, we have a basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$. Expanding the solution x_{i+1} to equation 11 in the mentioned basis

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \vec{p}_i \tag{14}$$

the linear system can be rewritten as

$$A\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i A \vec{p}_i = \vec{b} \tag{15}$$

Giving the inner product

$$\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_i \tag{16}$$

and we can define the coefficients C_k as

$$C_k = \frac{\vec{p}_k^T \vec{b}}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} \tag{17}$$

The problem at hand is then to choose a sequence of n conjugate directions P and compute the coefficients C_k.

II.D.1   Iterative Method

The conjugate gradient method can in a similar manner be used on an iterative basis. We start with an initial guess $\vec{x}_0$ for the solutions and consider the linear system

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} - \vec{r} \tag{18}$$

where $A, \vec{x}$ and $\vec{b}$ are defined as before and $\vec{r}$ is a so-called residual.
Let $\vec{r}_k$ be the residual at the k-th step with a negative gradient. Using a similar approach as before we get that the conjugate direction $\vec{p}_{i+1}$ is

$$\vec{p}_{i+1} = \vec{r}_k - \frac{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} \vec{p}_k \tag{19}$$

The iterative process is then to compute the directions and then solve the arising linear system by computing the coefficients as given in equation 17.
The iterative process is then to compute

$$\vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + C_k \vec{p}_{k+1} \tag{20}$$

with

$$C_k = \frac{\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k} \tag{21}$$

The residual $\vec{r}_k$ at the k-th step is defined from equation 18 as

$$\vec{r}_k = \vec{b} - A\vec{x}_k \tag{22}$$

II.E   Second Derivative Test

Given a real valued function $f(\vec{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and f has second partial derivatives which exist and are continuous over its domain, the so-called Hessen matrix elements for f is defined as follows [9]

$$A_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \tag{23}$$

The properties of A can be used to determine critical points of f. The statements are;

- If A is positive definite(all positive eigenvalues) at $\vec{x}$ then f has an isolated local minimum at $\vec{x}$.

- If A is negative definite(all negative eigenvalues) at $\vec{x}$ then f has an isolated local maximum at $\vec{x}$.

- If A is indefinite(both positive and negative eigenvalues) then f has a saddle point at $\vec{x}$.

- If A is positive-semi-definite, the test is inconclusive and $\vec{x}$ might be a local extremal or a saddle point.

II.F   LAPLACE EXPANSION

Given a $n \times n$ matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ and $i, j \in [1, \ldots, n]$, then the determinant of M is

$$\det(M) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} C_{ij} \tag{24}$$

where C_{ij} is the i, j-element of the so-called cofactor matrix defined as the sub-matrix arising from removing the i-th row and j-th column from M. The cofactor matrix element is given as

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij} \tag{25}$$

where the indices i and j run from 1 up to $n - 1$ [9].

II.G   DERIVATIVE OF DETERMINANT

We can express the derivative with respect to t for a square matrix $A(t)$ of size n which has a differentiable map $\mathrm{d}A \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$\frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\,(A(t))\,\frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t}\right) \tag{26}$$

where Tr is the trace and adj is the adjugate(transpose of cofactor matrix). This relation is known as Jacobi's formula ¿¿REF HERE¡¡.
We can specialize Jacobi's formula to

$$\frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} = \det(A)\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(A(t)^{-1}\frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t}\right) \tag{27}$$

if A is invertible. This relation follows from the definition of the adjugate which gives

$$A\,\mathrm{adj}\,(A) = \det(A)\,\mathbb{1} \tag{28}$$

and in turn if A is invertible

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}\,\mathrm{adj}\,(A) \tag{29}$$

Here $\mathbb{1}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

II.H METROPOLIS-HASTINGS ALGORITHM

The Metropolis algorithm bases itself on moves (also called transitions) as given in a Markov process(or Markov chain). Define a probability distribution function(PDF) $w_j(t)$ with a transition probability $w(i \rightarrow j)$ which for a given time-step yields in the Markov formula

$$w_i(t + \varepsilon) = \sum_j w(j \rightarrow i) w_j(t) \tag{30}$$

The transition probability is defined with an acceptance probability distribution $A(j \rightarrow i)$ and a proposed probability distribution $T(j \rightarrow i)$ as

$$w(j \rightarrow i) = A(j \rightarrow i) T(j \rightarrow i) \tag{31}$$

The acceptance A is the probability for the move to be accepted and the proposal T is different for each problem.
In order for this transition chain to reach a desired convergence and reversibility we have the well known condition for detailed balance ¿¿INSERT REF¡¡. This condition gives us that the probability distribution functions satisfy the following condition

$$w_i T_{i \rightarrow j} A_{i \rightarrow j} = w_j T_{j \rightarrow i} A_{j \rightarrow i} \Rightarrow \frac{w_i}{w_j} = \frac{T_{j \rightarrow i} A_{j \rightarrow i}}{T_{i \rightarrow j} A_{i \rightarrow j}} \tag{32}$$

We now need to choose an acceptance which fulfills equation 32 and a common choice is the Metropolis condition

$$A_{j \rightarrow i} = \min\left(1, \frac{w_i T_{i \rightarrow j}}{w_j T_{j \rightarrow i}}\right) \tag{33}$$

The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is thus

   (i) Pick initial state i at random.

  (ii) Pick proposed state at random in accordance to $T_{j \rightarrow i}$.

 (iii) Accept state according to $A_{j \rightarrow i}$.

 (iv) Jump to step (ii) until a specified number of states have been generated.

  (v) Save the state i and jump to step (ii).

II.I VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

The variational principle states the following restriction on the ground state energy for a given symmetry

$$E_0 \leq \langle E[\Phi_T] \rangle = \int \phi_T^* \hat{H} \phi_T \, d\tau = \langle \phi_T | \hat{H} | \phi_T \rangle \tag{34}$$

that is the ground state energy E_0 is bounded by the expectation value of the trial energy.

II.J IMPORTANCE SAMPLING

In order to use the Metropolis algorithm as explained in section II.H, we need to find the proposal probability distribution labeled $T_{j \rightarrow i}$. This is what is known as importance sampling.
This section will derive the importance sampling by using the Fokker-Planck equation for one particle

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - F \right) P(x, t) \tag{35}$$

where F is a drift term and D is a diffusion constant, and the Langevin equation

$$\frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial t} = DF(x(t)) + \eta \tag{36}$$

where η is a Gaussian distributed random variable.

II.J.1 Quantum Force

Since we are working with a isotropic diffusion characterized by a time-dependant probability density our system must obey the summed total Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \sum_i D \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - F_i \right) P(x,t) \tag{37}$$

where F_i is now the i'th component of the drift velocity term(given by an external potential). Since the probability is assumed to be convergent, that is it converges to a stationary probability density the time dependence at this point is zero for all i. We also know that the drift should be of form $F = g(x)\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$ giving

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i{}^2} = P\frac{\partial g}{\partial P}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 + Pg\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i{}^2} + g\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \tag{38}$$

Now we may use the condition for stationary density meaning the left hand side of equation 38 must equal zero giving that $g = 1/P$(only possibility the derivatives cancel). Inserting in $P = \psi_T$(see ¿¿INSERT REF¡¡) we get that the expression for the quantum force is

$$F_i = \frac{2}{\Psi_T}\nabla_i \Psi_T \tag{39}$$

Notice that the quantum force is defined individually for each particle because of the assumption of of stationary probability density.

II.J.2 Solution

Using Eulers method(Euler-Maruyama method¿¿INSERT REF¡¡) on the Langevin equation(equation 36) one obtains the new positions

$$y = x + DF(x)\Delta t + \xi\sqrt{\Delta t} \tag{40}$$

with $D = 1/2$ in natural units due to the kinetic energy term and Δt is a time-step parameter. The random variable ξ is within a Gaussian distribution of variance one and standard deviation zero.
For the differential equation (equation 35) we insert in for the quantum force given in equation 39 and arrive at the following diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -D\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} \tag{41}$$

This equation is solved by using a Fourier transform in the spatial coordinate r according to equation 9 giving the equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}(s,t)}{\partial t} = -Ds^2\tilde{P}(s,t) \tag{42}$$

with solution

$$\tilde{P}(s,\Delta t) = \tilde{P}(s,0)e^{-Ds^2\Delta t} \tag{43}$$

Initially the probability density is centered at $D\Delta t F(x)$, that is the drift term. This can be expressed terms of a Dirac-delta function, meaning

$$P(y,x,0) = \delta(y - D\Delta t F(x)) \tag{44}$$

where y is given in equation 40. Making an inverse transformation as described in equation 10 and solving the subsequent transcendental integral yields in

$$P(y,x,\Delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D\Delta t}}\exp\left(-\frac{(y - x - D\Delta t F(x))^2}{4D\Delta t}\right) \tag{45}$$

which gives us the acceptance

$$A_{y\to x} = \min\left(1, \frac{|\psi_T(y)|^2 P(y,x,\Delta t)}{|\psi_T(x)|^2 P(x,y,\Delta t)}\right) \tag{46}$$

II.K VMC

This section will explain and derive the equations involved in the Variational Monte Carlo method. The whole section will assume that we have the following trial wave function, ψ_T

$$\psi_T(\vec{r}_1,\ldots,\vec{r}_N) \equiv \det(\phi_1(\vec{r}_1,\alpha),\ldots,\phi(\vec{r}_N,\alpha))\prod_{i<j}^{N}\exp\left(\frac{ar_{ij}}{1 + \beta r_{ij}}\right) \tag{47}$$

with the $\vec{r}$'s being the position of the electrons and the ϕ's being the wave function to some known system(i.e harmonic oscillator). The position r_{ij} is a relative distance $\left|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j\right|$ while α and β are variational parameters and a is a specific constant dependant of the total spin symmetry of electron i and j as

$$a = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{anti-parallel spin} \\ \dfrac{1}{3}, & \text{parallel spin} \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

This is also known as a Pade-Jastrow factor.

We also define the total Hamiltonian of the system for the quantum dot case as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_O + \hat{H}_I \tag{49}$$

with $\hat{H}_O$ being the harmonic oscillator defined in equation 6 and $\hat{H}_I$ being the Hamiltonian for the electron interactions(Coulomb interaction) defined as

$$\hat{H}_I = \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \tag{50}$$

Lastly, we work in natural units setting $\hbar = c = 1$, and all the above equations(equations 47, 48, 49 and 50) also assume natural units.

II.K.1 Expectation Value and Local Energy

Given the Hamiltonian equation 49 and a trial wave function $\Psi_T(R, \Lambda)$ and using the variational principle, as given in equation 34 the upper bound for the ground state energy E_0 if $H(r)$ is

$$E[\hat{H}(R, \Lambda)] \le \left\langle \hat{H} \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Psi_T | \hat{H} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle} \tag{51}$$

where $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_N)$ is the positions to N particles and $\Lambda = (\lambda, \ldots, \lambda_M)$ are the M variational parameters.

Now we can expand the trial wave function $\Psi_T(R, \Lambda)$ in the orthonormal eigenstates of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$(which form a complete set)

$$\Psi_T(r) = \sum_i c_i \Psi_i(r) \tag{52}$$

and the upper bound given in equation 51 is

$$E_0 \le \frac{\sum_{ij} c_i c_j^* \left\langle \Psi_j \middle| \hat{H} | \Psi_i \right\rangle}{\sum_{ij} c_i c_j^* \left\langle \Psi_j \middle| \Psi_i \right\rangle} = \frac{\sum_n a_n^2 E_n}{\sum_n a_n^2} \tag{53}$$

where the eigenequation for the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}\Psi_n = E_n \Psi_n$ was used. The expression given in equation 51 is the expectation value we evaluate in each variational step that is we choose α according to some minimization algorithm and re-evaluate the expectation value.

In order to introduce the transition probability as given in the Metropolis algorithm(see section II.H) the expectation value, equation 53, needs to be rewritten in terms of a PDF. We can define this as

$$P(R) \equiv \frac{|\Psi_T(R)|^2}{\int |\Psi_T(R)|^2 dR} \tag{54}$$

Now we observe that if we define a quantity

$$E_L(R, \Lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{\Psi_T(R, \Lambda)} \hat{H} \Psi_T(R, \Lambda) \tag{55}$$

which is the so-called local energy. The expectation value given in equation 53 can be rewritten as

$$E[H] = \int P(R) E_L(R, \Lambda) dR \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(r_i, \Lambda) E_L(r_i, \Lambda) \tag{56}$$

which is of the form given in equation 30 and N is the number of states(or Monte Carlo cycles).

II.K.2 Analytical Expression for Local Energy

We use the Metropolis algorithm to find an estimate for the expectation value to the energy. In this expression we have a so-called local energy defined as

$$E_L = \frac{1}{\psi_T} \hat{H} \psi_T \tag{57}$$

This expression shows up in the integrand as the multiplied function to the PDF which is used in the Metropolis algorithm.

II.K.3 Two Electron Case

We start by finding the local energy in the case with two electrons. The trial wave function is in this case(related to equation 7) using equation 47

$$\psi_T(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = A \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}\left(r_1^2 + r_2^2\right)\right) \exp\left(\frac{ar_{12}}{1 + \beta r_{12}}\right) \tag{58}$$

Using the definition of the trial wave function, equation 55 and the total Hamiltonian(equation 49) the local energy with equation 55 is

$$E_L = \frac{1}{\psi_T}\left(\hat{H}_O\psi_T + \hat{H}_I\psi_T\right) \tag{59}$$

we solve the first part $\hat{H}_O\psi_T$

$$\hat{H}_O\psi_T = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\nabla_1^2 - \nabla_2^2 + \omega^2\left(r_1^2 + r_2^2\right)\right)\psi_T \tag{60}$$

Starting with the Laplacian for electron 1 and solving the second derivative with respect to x_1 we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_1^2} = A \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}\left(r_2^2 + y_1^2\right)\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{12}}}\right)\right] \tag{61}$$

Starting with the first derivative in the exponential we get

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_1^2\right] = -\alpha\omega x_1 \\[2mm] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{12}}}\right] = \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial\psi_T}{\partial x_1} = \left(-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)\psi_T \tag{62}$$

meaning equation 61 is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_1^2} &= A \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}\left(r_2^2 + y_1^2\right)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right]\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{12}}}\right) \\[2mm] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[\left(-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})}\right)\psi_T\right]\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Using the product rule for differentiation and starting with the first expression we get that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right] = -\alpha\omega + \frac{a}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)^2(1 + 3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}^3(1 + \beta r_{12})^3} \tag{64}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_1^2} &= \psi_T\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right] + \left(-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)\frac{\partial\psi_T}{\partial x_1} \\[2mm] &= \left[-\alpha\omega + \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)^2(1 + 3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}^3(1 + \beta r_{12})^3} + \left(-\alpha\omega x_1 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)^2\right]\psi_T\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

The second derivative with respect to y_1 yields with a similar derivation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial y_1^2} = \left[-\alpha\omega + \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{a(y_1 - y_2)^2(1 + 3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}^3(1 + \beta r_{12})^3} + \left(-\alpha\omega y_1 + \frac{a(y_1 - y_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)^2\right]\psi_T \tag{66}$$

The second derivatives with respect to x_2 and y_2, are derived in a similar manner, only we get a change in signs when differentiating r_{12}. This gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_2^2} = \left[-\alpha\omega + \frac{a}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)^2(1 + 3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}^3(1 + \beta r_{12})^3} + \left(\alpha\omega x_2 + \frac{a(x_1 - x_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)^2\right]\psi_T \tag{67}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial y_2^2} = \left[-\alpha\omega + \frac{a}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{a(y_1 - y_2)^2(1 + 3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}^3(1 + \beta r_{12})^3} + \left(\alpha\omega y_2 + \frac{a(y_1 - y_2)}{r_{12}(1 + \beta r_{12})^2}\right)^2\right]\psi_T \tag{68}$$

gathering equations 65, 66, 67 and 68 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_1^2 + \nabla_2^2)\psi_T &= \frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial y_2^2} \\
&= \left[-4\alpha\omega + \frac{4a}{r_{12}(1+\beta r_{12})^2} - \frac{2a(1+3\beta r_{12})}{r_{12}(1+\beta r_{12})^3} + \alpha^2\omega^2\left(r_1^2 + r_2^2\right) - \frac{2a\alpha\omega r_{12}}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2} + \frac{2a^2}{(1+\beta r_{12})^4} \right]\psi_T \quad (69) \\
&= \left[\alpha^2\omega^2\left(r_1^2 + r_2^2\right) - 4\alpha\omega - \frac{2a\alpha\omega r_{12}}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2} + \frac{2a}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2}\left(\frac{a}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2} + \frac{1}{r_{12}} - \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta r_{12}}\right) \right]\psi_T \quad (70)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the local energy(equation 59) is finally

$$
E_L = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2\left(1 - \alpha^2\right)\left(r_1^2 + r_2^2\right) + 2\alpha\omega - \frac{a}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2}\left(\frac{a}{(1+\beta r_{12})^2} - \alpha\omega r_{12} + \frac{1}{r_{12}} - \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta r_{12}}\right) + \frac{1}{r_{12}} \quad (71)
$$

II.K.4 General Case

For the general case with N electrons(still closed shell) the local energy is defined as in equation 59, but with ψ_T defined as in equation 47. The Laplacian in this case would then be

$$
\nabla_N^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nabla_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2}\right) \quad (72)
$$

that is a sum over the single-particle spacial Laplacians.
We define a new function $g(\vec{r}, \beta)$ as the Jastrow-factor

$$
g(\vec{r}, \beta) \equiv \prod_{i<j} \exp\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}}}\right) \quad (73)
$$

and apply equation 72 to the trial wavefunction ψ_T giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_N^2 \psi_T^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nabla_k^2\left[\det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))g(\vec{r}, \beta)\right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(2\left(\frac{\partial \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial g(\vec{r}, \beta)}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial g(\vec{r}, \beta)}{\partial y_k}\right) + \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))\nabla_k^2 g(\vec{r}, \beta) + g(\vec{r}, \beta)\nabla_k^2 \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))\right) \quad (74)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used a short-hand $\det(\Phi)$ defined as

$$
\det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha)) \equiv \det(\phi_1(r_1), \ldots, \phi_N(r_N)) \quad (75)
$$

and used the product rule for differentiation.
Starting by solving $\nabla_k^2 \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))$ and write out the determinant with the Laplace expansion given in section II.F.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_k^2 \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha)) &= \nabla_k^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{ij}C_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nabla_k^2\left(\Phi_{kj}C_{kj}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(2\left(\frac{\partial C_{kj}}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial \Phi_{kj}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial C_{kj}}{\partial y_k}\frac{\partial \Phi_{kj}}{\partial y_k}\right) + C_{kj}\nabla_k^2 \Phi_{kj} + \Phi_{kj}\nabla_k^2 C_{kj}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{kj}\nabla_k^2 \Phi_{kj} \quad (76)
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter equality is due to the fact that the cofactor to row k is not dependant on the position of particle k meaning the differential is zero for all k.
The single particle wavefunctions are given by the Harmonic oscillator wave functions, but with the added variational parameter α in the exponential meaning we have

$$
\Phi_{kj} = \phi_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(r_k, \alpha) = H_{n_{x_k}}\left(\sqrt{\omega}x_k\right) H_{n_{y_k}}\left(\sqrt{\omega}y_k\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}\left(x_k^2 + y_k^2\right)\right) \quad (77)
$$

The expression to be solved for the k-th particle is thus

$$\nabla_k^2 \Phi_{kj} = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}y_k^2\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}\left(H_{n_{x_k}}\left(\sqrt{\omega}x_k\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_k^2\right)\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_k^2\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2}\left(H_{n_{y_k}}\left(\sqrt{\omega}y_k\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}y_k^2\right)\right) \tag{78}$$

Solving the differential for x_k and similarly y_k by substituting $s = \sqrt{\omega}x_k = \sqrt{\omega}y_k$ and labeling $n_x, n_y \to n$ and defining

$$\begin{aligned} e(x_k) &\equiv \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}x_k^2\right)\\ e(y_k) &\equiv \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\omega}{2}y_k^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Using the product rule for differentiation and equation 5 for the derivative of the Hermite polynomials with the recursion relation given in equation 3 for $H_n(s)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\left(H_n(s)e(s)\right) &= \omega\left(\frac{\partial^2 H_n}{\partial x^2} - 2\alpha s\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \alpha\left(\alpha s^2 - 1\right)H_n(s)\right)e(s)\\ &= \omega\left(8n(n-1)H_{n-2}(s) - 4n\alpha sH_{n-1}(s) + \alpha(\alpha s^2 - 1)(2sH_{n-1}(s) - 2(n-1)H_{n-2})\right)e(s)\\ &= \omega\left[2(n-1)\left(4n - \alpha\left(\alpha s^2 - 1\right)\right)H_{n-2}(s) + 2\alpha s\left(\alpha s^2 - 1 - 2n\right)H_{n-1}(s)\right]e(s)\\ &= \omega\left[2(n-1)\left(4n - \alpha\left(\alpha s^2 - 1\right)\right)H_{n-2}(s) + \alpha\left(\alpha s^2 - 1 - 2n\right)(H_n(s) + 2(n-1)H_{n-2}(s))\right]e(s)\\ &= \omega\left[2n(n-1)(2-\alpha)\frac{H_{n-2}}{H_n} + \alpha\left(\alpha s^2 - 1 - 2n\right)\right]H_n(s)e(s) \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

We have in the latter step used equation 3 to find H_{n-1}.
Defining

$$\Theta_{n_{x_k}n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) \equiv 2\omega(2-\alpha)\left[n_{x_k}\left(n_{x_k} - 1\right)\frac{H_{n_{x_k}-2}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} + n_{y_k}\left(n_{y_k} - 1\right)\frac{H_{n_{y_k}-2}}{H_{n_{y_k}}}\right] + \alpha\omega\left(\alpha\omega r_k^2 - 2\left(n_{x_k} + n_{y_k} + 1\right)\right) \tag{81}$$

gives

$$\nabla_k^2 \Phi_{kj} = \Theta_{n_{x_k}n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha)\Phi_{kj}(r_k, \alpha) \tag{82}$$

which gives that equation 76 is

$$\sum_{k=1}^N C_{kj}\nabla_k^2\Phi_{kj} = \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))\sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_{n_{x_k}n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) \tag{83}$$

The Laplacian for g is derived as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k^2 g(\vec{r}, \beta) &= \nabla_k^2 \prod_{i<j}\exp\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}}}\right)\\ &= g(\vec{r}, \beta)\sum_{j\neq k}\nabla_k^2\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{kj}}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

and solve for the k-th part of the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k^2\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{kj}}}\right) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{kj}}}\right) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2}\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{kj}}}\right)\\ &= \frac{2a}{r_{kj}(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2} - \frac{a(1 + 3\beta r_{kj})}{r_{kj}(1 + \beta r_{kj})^3} + \frac{a^2}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^4}\\ &= \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2}\left(\frac{1}{r_{kj}} - \frac{2\beta}{1 + \beta r_{kj}} + \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

We have just reused the results from equations 62 and 66 in the differentiation above.
We define a new function

$$Q(r_k, \beta) \equiv \sum_{j\neq k}\frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2}\left(\frac{1}{r_{kj}} - \frac{2\beta}{1 + \beta r_{kj}} + \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2}\right) \tag{86}$$

and insert this into equation 84 yielding

$$\nabla_k^2 g(\vec{r}, \beta) = g(\vec{r}, \beta)Q(r_k, \beta) \tag{87}$$

For the cross-term in equation 74 we need the single derivatives. Starting with $\det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha))$ and using a similar approach as in equation 76 with equation 80 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{kj}}{\partial x_k} &= \sqrt{\omega} \left(2n \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n} - \alpha s \right) H_n(s) e(s) \\
&= \Phi_{kj} \sqrt{\omega} \left(2n_{x_k} \frac{H_{n_{x_k}-1}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} - \alpha \sqrt{\omega} x_k \right) \\
&= \Phi_{kj} \left(\frac{n_{x_k}}{x_k} + \frac{n_{x_k}(n_{x_k}-1)}{x_k} \frac{H_{n_{x_k}-2}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} - \alpha \omega x_k \right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{88}
$$

For $g(\vec{r}, \beta)$ we have with equations 84 and 85

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} g(\vec{r}, \alpha) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\prod_{i<j} \exp \left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}}} \right) \right) \\
&= g(\vec{r}, \beta) \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{kj}}} \right) \\
&= g(\vec{r}, \beta) \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{a(x_k - x_j)}{r_{kj} \left(1 + \beta r_{kj} \right)^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{89}
$$

For the derivative with respect to y_k the derivation is exactly as given in equations 88 and 89 above. Defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) \equiv \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{2a}{r_{kj} \left(1 + \beta r_{kj} \right)^2} &\left[\left(\frac{n_{x_k}}{x_k} + \frac{n_{x_k}(n_{x_k}-1)}{x_k} \frac{H_{n_{x_k}-2}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} - \alpha \omega x_k \right) (x_k - x_j) \right. \\
&\left. + \left(\frac{n_{y_k}}{y_k} + \frac{n_{y_k}(n_{y_k}-1)}{y_k} \frac{H_{n_{y_k}-2}}{H_{n_{y_k}}} - \alpha \omega y_k \right) (y_k - y_j) \right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{90}
$$

gives the final expression for the Laplacian for our wavefunction

$$
\nabla_N^2 \Psi_T = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\Upsilon_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) + \Theta_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) + Q(r_k, \beta) \right) \Psi_T
\tag{91}
$$

and the local energy is thus

$$
E_L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\omega^2 r_k - \Upsilon_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) - \Theta_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) - Q(r_k, \beta) \right) + \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{1}{r_{ij}}
\tag{92}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) &\equiv 2\omega(2 - \alpha) \left[n_{x_k}(n_{x_k} - 1) \frac{H_{n_{x_k}-2}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} + n_{y_k}(n_{y_k} - 1) \frac{H_{n_{y_k}-2}}{H_{n_{y_k}}} \right] + \alpha \omega \left(\alpha \omega r_k^2 - 2(n_{x_k} + n_{y_k} + 1) \right) \\
Q(r_k, \beta) &\equiv \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_{kj}} - \frac{2\beta}{1 + \beta r_{kj}} + \frac{a}{(1 + \beta r_{kj})^2} \right) \\
\Upsilon_{n_{x_k} n_{y_k}}(x_k, y_k, \alpha) &\equiv \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{2a}{r_{kj} \left(1 + \beta r_{kj} \right)^2} \left[\left(\frac{n_{x_k}}{x_k} + \frac{n_{x_k}(n_{x_k}-1)}{x_k} \frac{H_{n_{x_k}-2}}{H_{n_{x_k}}} - \alpha \omega x_k \right) (x_k - x_j) \right. \\
&\left. \qquad\qquad + \left(\frac{n_{y_k}}{y_k} + \frac{n_{y_k}(n_{y_k}-1)}{y_k} \frac{H_{n_{y_k}-2}}{H_{n_{y_k}}} - \alpha \omega y_k \right) (y_k - y_j) \right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{93}
$$

exactly as given in equations 81, 86 and 90.

II.K.5 Analytic Expression for Hessen Matrix

The Hessen matrix is needed in order to minimize with the conjugate gradient method as described in section II.D. The Hessen matrix in the case where we minimize the local energy with respect to α and β is(using equation 23)

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \beta \partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \beta^2} \end{pmatrix}
\tag{94}
$$

For the first derivative with respect to α we see that we need to find the derivative of the product between our PDF and the local energy(see section II.K.1). This is found by using the product rule and the hermiticity of the Hamiltonian, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\int \frac{|\psi_T|^2 E_L}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \right) \\
&= \int \left(\frac{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r \left(2|\psi_T| E_L \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} + |\psi_T|^2 \frac{\partial E_L}{\partial \alpha} \right) - 2|\psi_T|^2 E_L \int |\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \mathrm{d}^N r}{\left(\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r \right)^2} \right) \mathrm{d}^N r \\
&= 2 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - 2 \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{95}
$$

In the last step the hermiticity of the Hamiltonian was used.
The second derivative is then

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha^2} = 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - \langle E_L \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \frac{\partial \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha} \right)
\tag{96}
$$

We solve the derivatives individually

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\int \frac{|\psi_T| E_L \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \mathrm{d}^N r \right) \\
&= \int \left(\frac{E_L \left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 + |\psi_T| \frac{\partial E_L}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} + |\psi_T| E_L \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha^2}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} - 2 \frac{|\psi_T| E_L \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \frac{\int |\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \mathrm{d}^N r}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \right) \mathrm{d}^N r \\
&= \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|^2} \left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial E_L}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{97}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\int \frac{|\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \mathrm{d}^N r \right) \\
&= \int \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 + |\psi_T| \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha^2}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} - 2 \frac{|\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \frac{\int |\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \mathrm{d}^N r}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \right) \mathrm{d}^N r \\
&= \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|^2} \left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{98}
$$

Gathering equations 97 and 98 with equation 96 we have that the second derivative to the expectation value of the local energy with respect to α is

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha^2} = 2 \left(1 - \langle E_L \rangle \right) \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|^2} \left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 \right\rangle - 8 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - 2 \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle + 8 \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle^2
\tag{99}
$$

For beta we have the same expression as for α(just with α replaced by β).

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \beta^2} = 2 \left(1 - \langle E_L \rangle \right) \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|^2} \left(\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 \right\rangle - 8 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle - 2 \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta^2} \right\rangle + 8 \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle^2
\tag{100}
$$

As for the off-diagonal terms we have similar derivations

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} = 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - \langle E_L \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \frac{\partial \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \beta} \right)
\tag{101}
$$

Solving the derivative terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle &= \int \left(\frac{\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} E_L + |\psi_T| \frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial E_L}{\partial \beta} + |\psi_T| E_L \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} - 2 \frac{\psi_T E_L \frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \frac{\int |\psi_T| \frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \beta} \mathrm{d}^N r}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \right) \mathrm{d}^N r \\
&= \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|^2} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{102}
$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle = \int \left(\frac{\frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} + |\psi_T| \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} - 2 \frac{|\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \frac{\int |\psi_T| \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta}}{\int |\psi_T|^2 \mathrm{d}^N r} \right) \mathrm{d}^N r$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|^2} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle \tag{103}$$

Thus the off-diagonal elements(which are symmetric due to Schwarz' theorem[9]) is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} = 2 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|^2} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \right\rangle - 2 \langle E_L \rangle \left(\left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|^2} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial^2 |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$+ 4 \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle \left(\langle E_L \rangle \left(1 + \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \right) - \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \right) - 4 \left\langle \frac{1}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{E_L}{|\psi_T|} \frac{\partial |\psi_T|}{\partial \beta} \right\rangle \tag{104}$$

For the derivative of the wavefunction We find the elements individually by using Jacobi's formula as described in section II.G. We see that we need to first find the derivative to the individual elements in Φ. These are simply

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{ij}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(H_{n_{x_j}} H_{n_{y_j}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \omega}{2} r_i^2 \right) \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\omega}{2} r_i^2 \Phi_{ij} \tag{105}$$

Using Jacobi's formula the first derivative with respect to α of ψ_T is

$$\frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \alpha} = g(\vec{r}, \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (\det(\Phi))$$

$$= g(\vec{r}, \beta) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{adj}(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \alpha} \right)$$

$$= -g(\vec{r}, \beta) \frac{\omega}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{adj}(\Phi) \Phi \cdot R)$$

$$= -g(\vec{r}, \beta) \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha)) \frac{\omega}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1} \cdot R)$$

$$= -\psi_T \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2 \tag{106}$$

where we have use equation 28 and defined the elements of R as

$$R_i \equiv r_i^2 \tag{107}$$

The second derivative with respect to α is with the first derivative just

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial \alpha^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(-\psi_T \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2 \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2 \frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \alpha}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2 \right)^2 \psi_T \tag{108}$$

The derivative with respect to β follows a similar approach as in section II.K.4 giving

$$\frac{\partial \psi_T}{\partial \beta} = \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\prod_{i<j} \exp\left(\frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \det(\Phi(\vec{r}, \alpha)) g(\vec{r}, \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\sum_{i<j} \frac{a}{\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}}} \right)$$

$$= -\psi_T \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)^2} \tag{109}$$

The second derivative is thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \psi_T}{\partial \beta^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(-\psi_T \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)^2} \right) \\
&= \left(\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)^2} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{2a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)^3} \right) \psi_T
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

where we simply used the product rule for differentiation.
The final expression for the elements in the Hessen matrix is thus

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha^2} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(1 - \langle E_L \rangle \right) \left\langle E_L R^2 \right\rangle - \frac{8\omega}{2} \langle E_L R \rangle \langle R \rangle - \frac{\omega^2}{2} \langle E_L \rangle \left\langle R^2 \right\rangle + 2\omega^2 \langle E_L \rangle \langle R \rangle^2
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \beta^2} = 2 \left(1 - \langle E_L \rangle \right) \left\langle E_L h^2 \right\rangle - 8 \left\langle E_L h^2 \right\rangle \left\langle h^2 \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle h^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)} \right\rangle + 8 \langle E_L \rangle \langle h \rangle^2
\tag{111}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \langle E_L \rangle}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} =
$$

where

$$
R \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2
$$

$$
h \equiv \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a}{\left(\beta + \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right)}
\tag{112}
$$

II.L   Optimization

In the method described in section II.K the heavy load in terms of calculation lies within the calculation of the determinant ratio given in equations 46 and 39. This section will derive an expression for these ratios in terms of computation time. We will in the whole section assume we only move one particle at a time in the Monte Carlo cycle.

II.L.1   Determinant Ratio

In the Metropolis algorithm we calculate a ratio of determinants in the Metropolis test. Starting by defining a Slater determinant Matrix D with entries defined as

$$
D_{ij} \equiv \phi_j(r_i)
\tag{113}
$$

where the ϕ's are defined as in section II.K.
In terms of cofactors C_{ij} we have

$$
\det(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij} C_{ji}
\tag{114}
$$

If we now take into light the mentioned assumption about moving only one particle at a time the determinant given in equation 113 only gets a change of one row.
Defining the ratio as

$$
R \equiv \frac{\det(D(x^{\text{new}}))}{\det\left(D\left(x^{\text{old}} \right) \right)}
\tag{115}
$$

Using the fact that when moving the particle at position r_i the cofactors remain unchanged and inserting in equation 114 into equation 115 we have

$$
R = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}(r^{\text{new}}) C_{ji}(r^{\text{old}})}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}(r^{\text{old}}) C_{ij}(r^{\text{old}})}
\tag{116}
$$

Since the Slater is square(closed shell), we have the following ratio ¿¿INSERT REF¡¡

$$
\det(D) = \frac{D^{\dagger}}{D}
\tag{117}
$$

inserting this into equation 116 we get

$$R = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}(r^{\text{new}})D_{ji}^{-1}(r^{\text{old}})|D|}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}(r^{\text{old}})D_{ji}^{-1}(r^{\text{old}})|D|} \tag{118}$$

Since D is ivertible ¿¿INSERT REF¡¡ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} D_{ik}D_{kj}^{-1} = \delta_{ij} \tag{119}$$

meaning the denominator in equation 118 is equal to 1 and the ratio is finally(with equation 113 inserted)

$$R = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j(r_i^{\text{new}})\phi_{ji}^{-1}(r^{\text{old}}) \tag{120}$$

We can follow a similar approach to find the ratio given in equation 39 for the quantum force. The expression is simply

$$\frac{\nabla_i |D(r_i)|}{|D(r_i)|} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla_i \phi_j(r_i^{\text{new}})\phi_{ji}^{-1}(r^{\text{old}}) \tag{121}$$

II.L.2   Inverse of Matrix

In section II.L.1 we derived a formula for calculating the ratio of determinants by the inverse of the old determinant when only one row is changed. This section gives a formula for updating the inverse of a matrix in that case. The formula is

$$D_{kj}^{-1}(r^{\text{new}}) = \begin{cases} D_{kj}^{-1}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right) - \dfrac{D_{ik}^{-1}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right)}{R} \sum\limits_{l=1}^{N} D_{il}\left(r^{\text{new}}\right) D_{lj}^{-1}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right), & j \neq i \\[2em] \dfrac{D_{ik}^{-1}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right)}{R} \sum\limits_{l=1}^{N} D_{il}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right) D_{lj}^{-1}\left(r^{\text{old}}\right), & j = i \end{cases} \tag{122}$$

as described by Sherman and Morris [3, 8].

II.M   STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Since Monte Carlo simulations can be considered to be computer experiments the resulting data can be analysed with the same statistical theory as one would with experimental data. This section will give a brief overview of some of the statistical concepts and explain the method of Blocking(for estimating the standard deviation).

II.M.1   STANDARD DEVIATION, VARIANCE AND COVARIANCE

Given a PDF $P(x)$ the mean value, variance and covariance is

$$\bar{x}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \tag{123}$$

$$\text{var}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \bar{x}_n)^2 \tag{124}$$

$$\text{cov}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{n}\sum_{kl} (x_k - \bar{x}_n)(x_l - \bar{x}_n) \tag{125}$$

for a finite size sample.

II.M.2   Central Limit Theorem

The central limit theorem states that given a PDF P_{X_n} for a sample X_n the mean value can be expressed as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{\bar{X}_n}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi\sigma(X)^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{n(x - \bar{X}_n)^2}{2\sigma(X)^2}\right) \tag{126}$$

where $\sigma^2(X)$ is the variance of the sample.

II.M.3 Statistical Error

The error in a sample is just the spread of the mean, i.e the variance of said sample. Set a finite size sample X_n the error would be

$$\sigma_X^2 = \text{var}\left(\bar{X}_n\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{ij} \text{cov}\left(X_i, X_j\right) \tag{127}$$

Using the central limit theorem we can approximate the real mean as

$$\langle x_i \rangle \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k = \bar{x} \tag{128}$$

which gives the approximative covariance

$$\text{cov}\left(X_i, X_j\right) \approx \left\langle (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \text{cov}(x) \tag{129}$$

and the error is thus

$$\sigma_X^2 = \frac{1}{n} \text{cov}(x) \tag{130}$$

We can split this equation in part giving

$$\sigma_X^2 = \frac{1}{n} \text{var}(x) + \frac{1}{n} \left(\text{cov}(x) - \text{var}(x) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \bar{x}_n) + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{k<l} (x_k - \bar{x}_n)(x_l - \bar{x}_n) \tag{131}$$

II.M.4 Autocorrelation Function

Writing the second term in our error given in equation 131 (the so-called correlation term) as a partial sums gives

$$f_d = \frac{1}{n-d} \sum_{k=1}^{n-d} (x_k - \bar{x}_n)(x_{k+d} - \bar{x}_n) \tag{132}$$

which this we can define the autocorrelation function k_d as

$$k_d \equiv \frac{f_d}{\text{var}(x)} \tag{133}$$

The sample error is now

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\tau}{n} \text{var}(x) \tag{134}$$

with τ defined as the autocorrelation time

$$\tau \equiv 1 + 2 \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} k_d \tag{135}$$

The method of blocking estimates the standard deviation given in

III SETUP

IV RESULTS

V DISCUSSION

VI CONCLUSION

VII References

[1] David J. Griffiths Chapters 2, 4 and 7, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics Second Edition*, Pearson, 2005, ISBN 0-13-111892-7.

[2] Morten Hjort-Jensen, *Computational Physics: Hartree-Fock methods and introduction to Many-Body Theory.* https://www.github.com/CompPhysics/ComputationalPhysics2/blob/gh-pages/doc/pub/basicMB/pdf, 2017

[3] Morten Hjort-Jensen, *Computational Physics: Variational Monte Carlo methods.*
     https://www.github.com/CompPhysics/ComputationalPhysics2/blob/gh-pages/doc/pub/vmc/pdf, 2017

[4] M. Taut, *Two Electrons in an External Oscillator Potential; Particular analytic solutions of a Coulomb Correlation Problem*,
     Physical Review A 48, 3561, 1993.

[5] Negele and Orland, *Quantum Many-Particle Systems*, Addison-Wesley.

[6] Fetter and Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems*, Mcgraw-Hill, 1971.

[7] Dickhoss and Van Neck, *Many-Body Theory Exposed*, World Scientific, 2006

[8] Jack Sherman and Winifred J. Morrison, *Adjustment of an Inverse Matrix Corresponding to a Change in One Element of
     a Given Matrix.*
     Annals of Mathematical Statistics 21, 1950. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729893.

[9] David C. Lay Chapter 3, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Pearson, 2011, ISBN 978-0321835144.