

Asymptotic Behavior of the Number of Interval Sums

Abstract

An asymptotic formula is found for the number of interval sums for a class of sequences $(f(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where f is measurable, locally bounded away from 0 and ∞ , eventually monotonic and regularly varying with index $\alpha > 1$. This generalizes and extends results of O'Sullivan et al. on interval sums of prime powers.

The main theorem provides an asymptotic formula for the interval sum counting function

$$S(x) = \#\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid i \leq j, f(i) + f(i+1) + \cdots + f(j) \leq x\}.$$

That is

$$S(x) \sim n^2 C(\alpha) \quad n = n(x) \rightarrow \infty$$

where $x \sim \frac{nf(n)}{\alpha+1}$, $x \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$C(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1})}.$$

The proof relies on classical results from the theory of regular variation, in particular the uniform convergence theorem and Potter's bound. The function $S(x)$ can be split into a sum of s_i that count the number of intervals of length i . The main idea in the proof is that s_i can be related to the inverse of

$$\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x$$

which allows us to relate $S(x)$ asymptotically to

$$n^2 \int_0^1 \phi^{-1}(t) dt.$$

The integral can be calculated using standard substitution and using analytically extended beta-function, which completes the proof.

1 Introduction

Interval sum is sum of consecutive terms in a sequence. Determining the asymptotic behavior of the count of interval sums less than x has been investigated for primes and prime powers [3, 4, 5]. Moser [3] determined asymptotic behavior of interval sums of primes. Tongsomporn [5] et al. derived strict bounds for the number of interval sums of prime squares. O’Sullivan et al. [4] found asymptotic upper and lower bounds for the number of interval sums of prime powers.

To the best of our knowledge, no asymptotic formula is known for more general sequences. An asymptotic formula is found for the number of interval sums for a class of sequences $(f(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where f is measurable, locally bounded away from 0 and ∞ and eventually monotonic with index $\alpha > 1$. This greatly generalizes O’Sullivan et al.’s work and provides exact asymptotic for the number of interval sums of prime powers.

Our main theorem provides an asymptotic for the interval sum counting function

$$S(x) = \# \left\{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid i \leq j, f(i) + f(i+1) + \cdots + f(j) \leq x \right\}. \quad (1)$$

That is

$$S(x) \sim n^2 C(\alpha) \quad n = n(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad (2)$$

where $x \sim \frac{nf(n)}{\alpha+1}, x \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$C(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1})}. \quad (3)$$

The proof relies on classical results from the theory of regular variation, in particular the uniform convergence theorem and Potter’s bound [1]. The function $S(x)$ can be split into a sum of s_i that count the number of intervals of length i . The main idea in the proof is that s_i can be related to the inverse of

$$\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x \quad (4)$$

which allows us to calculate the exact coefficient in the asymptotic.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines our notation. Section 3 first proves basic lemmas about asymptotics, then a lemma that calculates the integral of the inverse of ϕ , and finally a lemma that relates ϕ and s_i . Section 4 proves the main theorem 1 and one technical lemma bounding the sum of s_i for small i .

2 Notation

- The function f is assumed to satisfy following criterion: measurable, eventually monotonic, locally bounded away from 0 and ∞ and regularly varying with index α (see definition 1 or [1]).
- $S(x) = \# \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid i \leq j, f(i) + f(i+1) + \dots + f(j) \leq x\}$ – the number of interval sums below x .
- $s_k(x) = \# \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid j - i = k - 1, f(i) + f(i+1) + \dots + f(j) \leq x\}$ – the number of interval sums of length k below x .
- $f(x) \sim g(x), x \rightarrow a$ – meaning $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$. The part " $x \rightarrow a$ " is often omitted, when it is clear from context.
- $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ – meaning $\limsup \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq 1$
- $f(x) \gtrsim g(x)$ – meaning $\liminf \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \geq 1$.
- sums of the form $\sum_{i=x}^y F(i)$ are denoted with $\sum_{x \leq i < y} F(i)$.
- $f(x) = O(g(x))$ – there exists $C > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for all sufficiently large x .
- $f(x) = o(g(x))$ – shorthand for

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$$

- The function $n = n(x)$ is defined implicitly by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(i) \leq x < \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(i) \tag{5}$$

3 Preliminaries

Definition 1. Function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is **regularly varying** with **index** α if for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} = \lambda^\alpha. \tag{6}$$

Definition 2. Function $L: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is **slowly varying** if for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(\lambda x)}{L(x)} = 1. \tag{7}$$

Since f is measurable and regularly varying with index α , we have $f(x) = x^\alpha L(x)$, for slowly varying L (see Theorem 1.4.1 in [1]).

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$x \sim \frac{n^{\alpha+1}L(n)}{\alpha+1} = \frac{nf(n)}{\alpha+1} \quad (8)$$

for $\alpha > -1$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Karamata's theorem (see proposition 1.5.8 in [1] or Proposition 1 in the appendix). \square

Lemma 2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $k, l > 0$ such that $k - l > \varepsilon$. If $\alpha > 1$ and*

$$\sum_{i=nl}^{nk} f(i) < x \quad (9)$$

then uniformly in k and l

$$\sum_{i=nl}^{nk} f(i) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha+1} L(n) n^{\alpha+1} (k^{\alpha+1} - l^{\alpha+1}). \quad (10)$$

Proof. From the definition of n it follows that $k \leq l + 1$. Since f is eventually increasing

$$\sum_{i=nl}^{nk} f(i) > n(k-l)f(nl) > n\varepsilon f(nl). \quad (11)$$

Using Potter's bound (see Theorem 1.5.6 [1] or Theorem 2 in the Appendix) and Lemma 1

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} n^{\alpha+1} L(n) \gtrsim n\varepsilon f(nl) \quad (12)$$

$$= n^{\alpha+1} \varepsilon l^\alpha L(nl) \quad (13)$$

$$\gtrsim \varepsilon n^{\alpha+1} L(n) l^\alpha \frac{1}{2^{\max(l, l^{-1})}}. \quad (14)$$

Thus

$$l \lesssim \max \left(\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(\alpha+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}, \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon(\alpha+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \right). \quad (15)$$

Now l and k are bounded above and below, so we can use the uniform convergence theorem (see Theorem

1.2.1 in [1] or Theorem 1 in the Appendix)

$$\sum_{i=nl}^{nk} f(i) \sim \sum_{i=nl}^{nk} i^\alpha L(n) \quad (16)$$

$$= L(n) \sum_{i=nl}^{nk} i^\alpha \quad (17)$$

$$\sim L(n) \int_{nl}^{nk} t^\alpha dt \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} L(n) n^{\alpha+1} (k^{\alpha+1} - l^{\alpha+1}). \quad (19)$$

Since the uniform convergence theorem applies uniformly this convergence is also uniform. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i > \varepsilon n$, then $s_i(x)$ has the following asymptotic*

$$s_i(x) \sim n\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \quad (20)$$

where $\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x$.

Proof. Let $l, k > 0$ be such that $n(k - l) = i$ and

$$\sum_{j=nl}^{nk} f(j) \leq x < \sum_{j=nl+1}^{nk+1} f(j) \quad (21)$$

Clearly it follows that l and k are unique up to producing the same range of integer indices in the sum.

Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 1

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} L(n) n^{\alpha+1} (k^{\alpha+1} - l^{\alpha+1}) \sim \sum_{j=nl}^{nk} f(j) \sim x \sim \sum_{j=1}^n f(j) \sim \frac{n^{\alpha+1} L(n)}{\alpha+1}. \quad (22)$$

Thus

$$\frac{n^{\alpha+1} L(n)}{\alpha+1} (k^{\alpha+1} - l^{\alpha+1}) \sim \frac{n^{\alpha+1} L(n)}{\alpha+1} \quad (23)$$

$$k^{\alpha+1} - l^{\alpha+1} \sim 1 \quad (24)$$

$$k - l \sim (1 + l^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - l \quad (25)$$

$$i \sim n((1 + l^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - l) \quad (26)$$

$$ln \sim n\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right). \quad (27)$$

Lemma 4 proves that ϕ^{-1} is well defined. Since $s_i(x)$ counts the number of intervals of length i , we get

$$s_i(x) = nl \sim n\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right). \quad (28)$$

□

Lemma 4. *The function $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, $\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x$ is a strictly decreasing bijection, has an inverse and*

$$\int_0^1 \phi^{-1}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1})} \quad (29)$$

for $\alpha > 1$.

Proof. Taking the derivative of ϕ

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} x^{\alpha} - 1 \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\left((1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\right)^{\alpha}} - 1 \quad (31)$$

$$< 1 - 1 = 0 \quad (32)$$

where the last inequality follows from $(1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} > x$. Because the derivative of ϕ is negative everywhere, ϕ is strictly decreasing. Now ϕ is a bijection, because $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0$, hence has an inverse.

Since ϕ is a strictly decreasing bijection

$$\int_0^1 \phi^{-1}(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x) dx. \quad (33)$$

Next we show that the integral converges. Since $x^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$ is increasing with a decreasing derivative, we have $(1 + y)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} < y^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$. Thus

$$\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x < \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} x^{-\alpha}, \quad (34)$$

so the integral converges.

Doing a change of variables $t = \frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{1 + x^{\alpha+1}}$, $x = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$, we get

$$dx = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} (1-t)^{-2} dt \quad (35)$$

and

$$\phi(x) = (1 + x^{\alpha+1})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} - x \quad (36)$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} (1-t^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}). \quad (37)$$

Putting it together

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x)dx = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}-1} (1-t^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}) \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} (1-t)^{-2} dt \quad (38)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}) dt. \quad (39)$$

Let $g_r(t) = (1-t)^{r-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}})$ and $g(t) = (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}})$. Since for each $r > 0$, $g_r(t) \rightarrow g(t)$, $r \rightarrow 0$ pointwise and $|g_r(t)| < |g(t)|$, we can use the dominated convergence theorem. Thus

$$\int_0^1 (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}) dt = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}) dt. \quad (40)$$

Let B be the beta function (see NIST DLMF § 5.12.1 [2])

$$B(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^1 t^{z_1-1} (1-t)^{z_2-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(z_1)\Gamma(z_2)}{\Gamma(z_1+z_2)} \quad (41)$$

The beta function can be analytically extended using Pochhammer's integral (see NIST DLMF § 5.12.12 [2]).

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x)dx = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}) dt \quad (42)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{r-\frac{2}{\alpha+1}-1} (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} - t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}) dt \quad (43)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}, r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1}\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\alpha+1}, r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1}\right) \right). \quad (44)$$

Using the gamma expression for beta functions we get

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x)dx = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1} + r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1})} - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha+1} + r - \frac{2}{\alpha+1})} \right) \quad (45)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(-\frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{\alpha+1})} - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(-\frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(r)} \right). \quad (46)$$

Since $\Gamma(t) = \frac{\Gamma(1+t)}{t}$ we have $\Gamma(t) \sim \frac{1}{t}$, $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(-\frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(r)} = 0 \quad (47)$$

so the expression simplifies to

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x)dx = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(-\frac{2}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{\alpha+1})} \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1})} \quad (49)$$

where the last equality follows from $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)$. □

One can see the graph of $C(\alpha)$ in the appendix figure 1.

4 Proof of the Main Theorem

Lemma 5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n\varepsilon} s_i(x) = O(n^2)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}, \quad (50)$$

where the $O(n^2)$ term is independent of ε .

Proof. Since

$$x \geq f(i) + \cdots + f(i+k-1) \geq kf(i) \quad (51)$$

$$f^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \geq i, \quad (52)$$

it follows that $s_k(x) \leq f^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$. The inverse of a regularly varying function is regularly varying with index $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ (see Theorem 1.5.12 of [1] or Theorem 3 in the Appendix). Let $f^{-1}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tilde{L}(x)$. We get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n\varepsilon} f^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{i}\right) \sim \int_1^{n\varepsilon} f^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dt = x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_1^{n\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dt. \quad (53)$$

By Potter's bound

$$\tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \leq M\tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{\delta}, \quad (54)$$

where M is the constant from Potter's bound and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})$. Now we can bound the integral

$$x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_1^{n\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dt \leq x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} M\tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) n^{\delta} \int_1^{n\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\delta} dt. \quad (55)$$

By definition

$$f^{-1}(f(n)) \sim n \quad (56)$$

$$n\tilde{L}(n^{\alpha}L(n))L(n)^{1/\alpha} \sim n \quad (57)$$

$$\tilde{L}(n^{\alpha}L(n))L(n)^{1/\alpha} \sim 1. \quad (58)$$

Using the relation between x and n obtained in Lemma 1

$$\tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \sim \tilde{L}(n^{\alpha}L(n)). \quad (59)$$

Thus from equations 59 and 58

$$x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} M\tilde{L}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) n^{\delta} \int_1^{n\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\delta} dt \sim Mn^2 L(n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(n^{\alpha}L(n)) \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \quad (60)$$

$$= O(n^2)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}. \quad (61)$$

□

Theorem 1. *Under the assumptions and notation in 2, the function $S(x)$ has the asymptotic $n^2 C(\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 1$, where*

$$C(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha+1})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1})}. \quad (62)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From the definition of S and s_i it follows that

$$S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(x). \quad (63)$$

Splitting the sum

$$S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n\varepsilon} s_i(x) + \sum_{i=n\varepsilon}^n s_i(x). \quad (64)$$

Using Lemma 3 we get

$$\sum_{i=n\varepsilon}^n s_i(x) \sim \sum_{i=n\varepsilon}^n n\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \quad (65)$$

$$= n \sum_{i=n\varepsilon}^n \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \quad (66)$$

$$\sim n \int_{n\varepsilon}^n \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) dt \quad (67)$$

$$= n^2 \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \phi^{-1}(t) dt. \quad (68)$$

Since the integral converges

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \phi^{-1}(t) dt = \int_0^1 \phi^{-1}(t) dt \quad (69)$$

From Lemma 5 it follows that the first sum in 64 is negligible in the asymptotic, hence taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ finishes the proof. □

Corollary 1. *Number of interval sums for $f(x) = x^\alpha, \alpha > 1$ is asymptotic to*

$$x^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} (\alpha+1)^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} C(\alpha) \quad (70)$$

Proof. From theorem 1 and inverting lemma 1 it follows that

$$S(x) \sim n^2 C(\alpha) \sim ((\alpha+1)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} x^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}})^2 C(\alpha) \sim x^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} (\alpha+1)^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} C(\alpha) \quad (71)$$

□

Corollary 2. *Number of interval sums for prime powers $p^\alpha, \alpha > 1$ is asymptotic to*

$$(1 + \alpha)^2 C(\alpha) \left(\frac{x}{\log(x)} \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha+1}} \quad (72)$$

Proof. From prime number theorem it follows that $f(x) \sim (x \log(x))^\alpha$. Now using lemma 1 it follows that $x \sim \frac{1}{\alpha+1} n^{\alpha+1} \log^\alpha(n)$. It is easy to see that $n = (1 + \alpha) \left(\frac{x}{\log^\alpha(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}$ is the asymptotic inverse. By theorem 1 the corollary follows. \square

5 Convergence of the Asymptotic Formula

Figures 2 and 3 show the impact of the slowly varying part and the index on convergence, respectively. We can observe that increasing the index, slows down the convergence, and a larger growth rate in the slowly varying part corresponds to slower convergence.

See the table 1 below for relative error of the asymptotic at $x = 10^{20}$ for varying functions.

$f(x)$	relative error at $x = 10^{20}$
x^2	0.037%
x^3	0.045%
x^4	0.194%
$x^3 \log(x)$	1.433%
$x^3 \log(x)^2$	2.836%

Table 1: Relative error of the asymptotic at $x = 10^{20}$ for various functions.

These findings align with our analysis: the proofs rely on the uniform convergence theorem which gives slower convergence for larger growth rates and the convergence of the sum to integral is slower for larger indices.

6 Conclusion

The main theorem gives an asymptotic for the number of interval sums below x , namely $S(x) \sim n^2 C(\alpha)$. It remains an open question what happens in the case $\alpha \leq 1$; our methods can't handle that case since the

integral in Lemma 4 diverges. Future work could therefore investigate the case $\alpha \leq 1$, it seems fruitful to use methods of de Haan theory to investigate the case $\alpha = 1$.

7 Use of AI

AI models GPT-5 mini, GPT-5.1, Gemini 3 Flash and Gemini 3 Pro were used to improve clarity and grammar. GPT-5.1 was also used to come up with substitution ideas for the integral in lemma 4. All AI-generated content was carefully and independently verified by the author.

References

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular Variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.2.4 of 2025-03-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [3] L. Moser. On the sum of consecutive primes. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 6(2):159–161, 1963.
- [4] C. O’Sullivan, J. P. Sorenson, and A. Stahl. Algorithms and bounds on the sums of powers of consecutive primes. *Integers*, 24, 2024.
- [5] A. Tongsonporn, S. Wananiyakul, and J. Steuding. Sums of consecutive prime squares. *Integers*, 22, 2022.