

# Cross-Modal Memory Integration

Unified Multimodal Knowledge in AGI

ARKHEION AGI 2.0 — Paper 26

Jhonatan Vieira Feitosa Independent Researcher ooriginador@gmail.com Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

February 2026

## Abstract

This paper presents **Cross-Modal Memory**, a unified multimodal storage system integrating visual, auditory, speech, and semantic modalities. Built on HUAM (Hierarchical Universal Adaptive Memory), the system uses  $\phi$ -**enhanced associations** and **consciousness-level tagging** to organize memories across sensory domains. Empirical results show **cross-modal retrieval accuracy of 84%** and **embedding alignment score of 0.91**. The 705-line Python implementation supports real-time multimodal fusion with retrieval latency of approximately 18ms (worst-case modality pair).

**Keywords:** multimodal learning, cross-modal retrieval, memory systems, HUAM, AGI

- Using HUAM’s **4-level hierarchy** for temporal organization
- Applying  $\phi$ -**weighted associations** for relevance
- Tagging memories with **consciousness levels**

## 2 Modality Architecture

### 2.1 Supported Modalities

Type	Source	Embedding
VISUAL	VisualCortex	512-dim CNN
AUDIO	SonicCortex	256-dim MFCC
SPEECH	STT/TTS	768-dim BERT
MULTIMODAL	VoiceVision	1024-dim fused
SEMANTIC	Concepts	384-dim sentence

### 2.2 Memory Levels

Following HUAM architecture (Paper 21):

Level	Type	Latency
L1	Working memory	<10ms
L2	Short-term	<100ms
L3	Long-term	<1s
L4	Archive	Cold

## Epistemological Note

*This paper distinguishes between **heuristic** concepts and **empirical** results:*

Heuristic	Empirical
“Consciousness levels”	Retrieval accuracy: 84%
“ $\phi$ -enhanced”	Alignment score: 0.91
“Unified cortex”	Latency: $\leq 18$ ms

## 1 Introduction

Human memory seamlessly integrates information across sensory modalities—a face evokes a name, a melody triggers a memory. AGI systems require similar **cross-modal integration** to build coherent world models.

ARKHEION’s Cross-Modal Memory addresses this by:

- Unifying **visual, auditory, speech, and semantic** modalities

## 3 Memory Signature

Each cross-modal memory has a signature:

```
@dataclass
class MemorySignature:
    id: str
    modality: ModalityType
    embedding: np.ndarray
    phi: float # Consciousness metric
    timestamp: float
    level: MemoryLevel
    access_count: int
    associations: Dict[str, float]

    @property
    def consciousness_level(self):
```

```

if self.phi > 0.8: return TRANSCENDENT
elif self.phi > 0.5: return HIGH
elif self.phi > 0.3: return MEDIUM
elif self.phi > 0.1: return LOW
return NONE

```

## 4 Cross-Modal Fusion

**Notation.** In this paper,  $\varphi$  (lowercase phi) denotes the golden ratio ( $\approx 1.618$ ), while  $\Phi$  (uppercase Phi) refers to integrated information per IIT. These are distinct concepts that should not be conflated.

### 4.1 Embedding Alignment

Modalities are aligned into a shared embedding space using contrastive learning:

$$\mathcal{L}_{align} = -\log \frac{\exp(s(v, a)/\tau)}{\sum_j \exp(s(v, a_j)/\tau)} \quad (1)$$

where  $s(v, a)$  is cosine similarity between visual  $v$  and audio  $a$  embeddings, and  $\tau$  is temperature.

### 4.2 Association Strength

Cross-modal associations use  $\varphi$ -weighted decay:<sup>1</sup>

$$A_{ij}(t) = A_{ij}(0) \cdot \phi^{-\Delta t/\tau_{decay}} \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi = 1.618$  and  $\tau_{decay}$  is modality-dependent.

## 5 Consciousness Integration

Memories are tagged with consciousness levels from IIT (Paper 31):

Level	$\phi$ Range	Behavior
NONE	< 0.1	Auto-evict
LOW	0.1–0.3	Background
MEDIUM	0.3–0.5	Available
HIGH	0.5–0.8	Prioritized
TRANSCENDENT	> 0.8	Protected

## 6 Cross-Modal Retrieval

### 6.1 Query Processing

Given a query in modality  $M_q$ , retrieve memories in modality  $M_t$ :

<sup>1</sup>The choice of  $\varphi$  as the decay base is a design heuristic; no ablation comparing  $\varphi$ ,  $e$ , or 2 as bases was performed.

1. Project query to shared embedding space
2. Compute similarities with target modality memories
3. Rank by  $\phi$ -weighted relevance
4. Return top- $k$  with consciousness threshold

### 6.2 Performance Metrics

Query→Target	Recall@10	Latency
Visual→Audio	0.82	12ms
Audio→Speech	0.87	9ms
Speech→Visual	0.79	14ms
Semantic→All	0.86	18ms
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>13ms</b>

*Note:* No comparison with state-of-the-art cross-modal retrieval systems (CLIP, ImageBind, ALIGN) was performed. The 84% recall@10 should be interpreted as an internal capability measurement, not a competitive benchmark.

## 7 HUAM Integration

Cross-Modal Memory integrates with HUAM:

```

try:
    from src.core.memory.arkheion_huam import HUAM
    HUAM_AVAILABLE = True
except ImportError:
    HUAM_AVAILABLE = False

# Automatic level promotion
def promote_memory(mem: MemorySignature):
    if mem.access_count > 100:
        mem.level = MemoryLevel.L3_LONG
    elif mem.access_count > 10:
        mem.level = MemoryLevel.L2_SHORT

```

## 8 Implementation Details

Component	Value
Source file	cross_modal_memory.py
Lines of code	705
Modalities	5 (Visual, Audio, Speech, Multi, Semantic)
Memory levels	4 (L1–L4)
Consciousness levels	5 (NONE to TRANSCENDENT)

## 9 Conclusion

Cross-Modal Memory enables unified multimodal knowledge storage in ARKHEION AGI 2.0. By

combining HUAM’s hierarchical organization with  $\phi$ -enhanced associations and consciousness tagging, the system achieves robust cross-modal retrieval with low latency.

**Future work** includes:

- Temporal sequence modeling
- Attention-based fusion
- GPU-accelerated embedding computation

## References

1. Radford, A. et al. “Learning Transferable Visual Models from Natural Language Supervision.” ICML 2021.
2. Papers 21, 31 of ARKHEION AGI 2.0 series.