

Heterogeneous GPU Acceleration

ROCm/HIP Optimization for AMD Radeon Hardware

Jhonatan Vieira Feitosa Independent Researcher ooriginador@gmail.com Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

February 2026

Abstract

We present a heterogeneous GPU acceleration system for ARKHEION AGI 2.0 cognitive workloads, optimized for AMD Radeon RX 6600M (gfx1030) hardware with ROCm 6.2. The system achieves **6.2×–10× speedup** over CPU baselines on tensor operations, **224 GB/s memory bandwidth** utilization, and **28 compute units** parallelism. We implement unified acceleration across CUDA-equivalent HIP kernels, SIMD vectorization, and Smart Access Memory (SAM). The paper distinguishes between "GPU" as hardware reality (empirical) and vendor-specific marketing terms like "infinity cache" (heuristic branding).

Keywords: GPU acceleration, ROCm, HIP, CUDA, parallel computing, AMD, ARKHEION AGI

Epistemological Note

*This paper distinguishes between **heuristic** concepts and **empirical** results.*

Heuristic: Vendor marketing, heterogeneous

Empirical: 28 CUs, 224 GB/s, 6–10× faster

We measure actual hardware performance (bandwidth, throughput, latency), not marketing slogans. Terms like "heterogeneous" describe architectural patterns, not magical properties.

1 Introduction

Modern AI workloads demand massive parallel computation:

- Neural training: matrix multiply (GEMM) dominates

- Quantum simulation: vector operations on 2^n states
- Holographic encoding: wavelet transforms
- Consciousness metrics: entropy calculations

GPUs provide 100–1000× more compute than CPUs for these tasks. However, AMD ROCm ecosystem lags NVIDIA CUDA in tooling maturity, requiring careful optimization.

Module Scale: The GPU acceleration subsystem comprises 4 Python integration files (2K LOC) plus 67 C++/HIP native source files (29K LOC), with 22 dedicated test files and 24 Python-accessible GPU functions.

1.1 Hardware Context

AMD Radeon RX 6600M (Mobile)

- Architecture: RDNA 2 (gfx1030)
- Compute Units: 28 (1792 stream processors)
- Base/Boost Clock: 2177 / 2382 MHz
- Memory: 8GB GDDR6
- Memory Bandwidth: 224 GB/s
- Peak FP32: 10.8 TFLOPS
- TDP: 100W

Comparison: NVIDIA RTX 3060 Mobile (similar price):

- 3840 CUDA cores, 12GB GDDR6, 360 GB/s, 12.7 TFLOPS

AMD offers 67% memory capacity but 62% bandwidth of NVIDIA equivalent.

2 Background

2.1 ROCm vs CUDA

ROCm (Radeon Open Compute) is AMD’s GPU compute platform:

- HIP: CUDA-like programming model
- MIOpen: cuDNN equivalent for deep learning
- rocBLAS: cuBLAS equivalent for linear algebra
- Open-source toolchain

PyTorch ROCm: AMD maintains PyTorch fork with HIP backend.

2.2 Memory Hierarchy

Table 1: GPU Memory Hierarchy

Level	Size	BW	Latency
Registers	256KB	–	1 cycle
L1 Cache	128KB	2TB/s	4 cycles
L2 Cache	4MB	1TB/s	40 cycles
VRAM	8GB	224GB/s	200 cycles
System RAM	16GB	25GB/s	400+ cycles

Optimization goal: maximize L1/L2 cache hits, minimize VRAM ↔ RAM transfers.

2.3 SIMD Vectorization

AMD GPUs execute in wavefronts (64-wide SIMD):

$$Throughput = CUs \times Clock \times Ops/Cycle \quad (1)$$

For FP32: $28 \times 2.382 \times 64 \approx 4,265$ GFLOPS (FP32 theoretical peak).¹

3 Implementation

3.1 Unified Acceleration API

```
class UnifiedGPUManager:
    def __init__(self):
        self.detect_devices()
        self.select_backend()
        self.allocate_memory()
```

¹AMD officially rates the RX 6600M at 5.40 TFLOPS FP32, considering 1,792 stream processors at $2 \times \text{clock}$ for FMA operations.

```
def execute(self, kernel, data):
    if rocm_available:
        return self.hip_execute(
            kernel, data)
    elif cuda_available:
        return self.cuda_execute(
            kernel, data)
    else:
        return self.cpu_fallback(
            kernel, data)
```

Automatic backend selection based on availability.

3.2 Memory Management

Smart Access Memory (SAM): AMD’s resizable BAR technology allowing CPU direct access to full 8GB VRAM.

Measured Benefit:

- CPU → GPU: 12.8 GB/s (SAM) vs 8.5 GB/s (baseline)
- +50% transfer bandwidth

Implementation:

```
def transfer_with_sam(data):
    if sam_available:
        # Direct CPU access to VRAM
        vram_ptr = map_vram_to_cpu()
        memcpy(vram_ptr, data, len(data))
    else:
        # Traditional PCIe transfer
        gpu.copy_to_device(data)
```

3.3 HIP Kernel Example

Matrix multiplication kernel (simplified):

```
__global__ void matmul_kernel(
    float* A, float* B, float* C,
    int M, int N, int K) {

    int row = hipBlockIdx_y * 16 +
        hipThreadIdx_y;
    int col = hipBlockIdx_x * 16 +
        hipThreadIdx_x;

    float sum = 0.0f;
    for (int k = 0; k < K; ++k) {
        sum += A[row*K + k] * B[k*N + col];
    }
    C[row*N + col] = sum;
}
```

Note: The simplified kernel shown above omits the shared-memory tiling optimization for brevity; the production implementation uses 32×32 tile blocking.

Production optimizations applied:

- Shared memory tiling (32×32)
- Coalesced memory access
- Loop unrolling

4 Experiments

4.1 Tensor Operations

Test: Matrix multiply (4096×4096 FP32)

Table 2: GEMM Performance

Backend	Time	GFLOPS	Speedup
CPU (NumPy)	1.85s	74	$1.0\times$
GPU (rocBLAS)	0.30s	458	$6.2\times$
Direct Kernel Launch ²	0.18s	763	$10.3\times$

Direct Kernel Launch bypasses Python wrappers for $1.7\times$ additional gain over rocBLAS.

4.2 Memory Bandwidth

Test: Copy 1GB data host \leftrightarrow device

Table 3: Memory Bandwidth (GB/s)

Direction	Baseline	SAM
Host \rightarrow Device	8.5	12.8
Device \rightarrow Host	8.2	12.5
Device \rightarrow Device	218	224

SAM improves PCIe transfers by 50%. Intra-device bandwidth near theoretical 224 GB/s.

4.3 Quantum Simulation

Test: 16-qubit state vector ($2^{16} = 65536$ complex)

Table 4: Quantum Gate Performance

Gate	CPU	GPU	Speedup
Hadamard	5.0ms	0.8ms	$6.2\times$
CNOT	7.2ms	1.1ms	$6.5\times$
QFT	45ms	6.5ms	$6.9\times$

Consistent $6\text{--}7\times$ speedup on vectorized operations.

4.4 Neural Network Training

Test: NeRF model (256×256 resolution)

Table 5: NeRF Training (100 epochs)

Metric	CPU	GPU
Time/epoch	42s	6.8s
Total time	70min	11.3min
VRAM usage	–	6.9GB
Power (avg)	45W	85W

$6.2\times$ training speedup at $1.9\times$ power cost (2.1 J/epoch efficiency).

4.5 Holographic Compression

Test: Wavelet transform (4096×4096 image)

Table 6: Wavelet Transform Performance

Backend	Time	Speedup
CPU (SciPy)	125ms	$1.0\times$
GPU (CuPy)	22ms	$5.7\times$

5 Discussion

5.1 ROCm Maturity

Strengths:

- Open-source stack
- Good PyTorch integration
- Improving rapidly

Weaknesses:

- Installation complexity
- Limited framework support vs CUDA
- Spotty documentation
- Driver stability issues

Verdict: ROCm is production-ready for PyTorch workloads but requires expertise.

5.2 AMD vs NVIDIA

For ARKHEION AGI:

- Quantum sim: Both adequate ($6\times$ speedup)
- Neural training: NVIDIA 20–30% faster
- Price: AMD 15% cheaper (RX 6600M vs RTX 3060)
- Open-source: AMD superior

Decision: AMD chosen for cost and open ecosystem, accepting performance gap.

5.3 Optimization Impact

Table 7: Cumulative Optimizations

Technique	Gain
Baseline GPU	$4.2\times$
+ Memory coalescing	$5.1\times$
+ Shared memory	$6.2\times$
+ Loop unrolling	$7.8\times$
+ Direct Kernel Launch	$10.0\times$

Each optimization layer compounds. Final $10\times$ from 5 techniques.

6 Limitations

1. **8GB VRAM:** Limits model size (16-qubit max, 512×512 NeRF)
2. **Mobile GPU:** 100W TDP lower than desktop (150W+)
3. **ROCm support:** Not all libraries work (e.g., cuDF missing)
4. **Driver bugs:** Occasional hangs requiring re-boot
5. **Windows ROCm:** Experimental, use Linux

7 Conclusion

We achieved $6.2\text{--}10\times$ GPU acceleration on AMD RX 6600M (gfx1030) using ROCm 6.2, PyTorch, and custom HIP kernels. Key results:

- GEMM: 763 GFLOPS ($10\times$ vs CPU)

- Memory BW: 224 GB/s (near theoretical)
- Quantum gates: $6.5\times$ avg speedup
- NeRF training: 70min \rightarrow 11min

ROCm Verdict: Production-ready for PyTorch but requires Linux + expertise.

Future Work: Explore multi-GPU ($2\times$ RX 6600M), tensor cores emulation, and sparse tensor optimization.

8 References

1. AMD. (2024). *ROCm Documentation*. <https://rocm.docs.amd.com>
2. NVIDIA. (2023). *CUDA C++ Programming Guide*.
3. Kirk, D. B., & Hwu, W. W. (2016). *Programming Massively Parallel Processors*. Morgan Kaufmann.
4. PyTorch Team. (2024). *PyTorch ROCm Support*. <https://pytorch.org/get-started/rocm-support/>
5. Hennessy, J. L., & Patterson, D. A. (2017). *Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach*. 6th ed.