



## Air Quality Index on Nov 18, 2017 @ 04:00 PM

(Average of past 24 hours)

City	Air Quality	Index Value	Prominent Pollutant	Based on number of monitoring stations
Agra	Very Poor	361	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Ahmedabad	Very Poor	302	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Ajmer	Moderate	189	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Alwar	Moderate	134	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>
Amritsar	Moderate	177	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>
Aurangabad	Moderate	139	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
Bengaluru	Satisfactory	66	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , O <sub>3</sub>	3
Bhiwadi	Poor	272	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Chandrapur	Satisfactory	73	PM <sub>10</sub> , CO	2
Chennai	Satisfactory	54	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , CO	3
Delhi	Poor	298	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	13
Durgapur	Satisfactory	62	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
Faridabad	Very Poor	305	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>
Gaya	Moderate	153	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1

### Possible Health Impacts

Good	Minimal impact
Satisfactory	Minor breathing discomfort to sensitive people
Moderate	Breathing discomfort to the people with lungs, asthma and heart diseases
Poor	Breathing discomfort to most people on prolonged exposure
Very Poor	Respiratory illness on prolonged exposure
Severe	Affects healthy people and seriously impacts those with existing diseases

### Notes

\* AQI is not calculated for today's bulletin for Kota, Panchkula, Rohtak as data was not available.

# Some stations have data available at 3 PM.

\* In case of a city with multiple monitoring locations, average value is used to indicate air quality. Air quality may show variations across locations, and averaging is not a scientifically sound approach. However, for the sake of simplicity this method is being followed. For AQI of monitoring locations, website (<http://cpcb.nic.in>) may be referred.



## Air Quality Index on Nov 18, 2017 @ 04:00 PM

(Average of past 24 hours)

City	Air Quality	Index Value	Prominent Pollutant	Based on number of monitoring stations
Ghaziabad	Very Poor	364	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Gurgaon	Very Poor	310	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Haldia	Satisfactory	71	CO	1
Hyderabad	Satisfactory	88	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub>	6
Jaipur	Moderate	198	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	3 <sup>#</sup>
Jodhpur	Very Poor	327	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Kanpur	Very Poor	334	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>
Lucknow	Very Poor	337	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	3
Ludhiana	Moderate	157	NO <sub>2</sub>	1
Mandi Gobindgarh	Poor	271	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Moradabad	Very Poor	333	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Mumbai	Moderate	148	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
Muzaffarpur	Poor	225	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Nagpur	Satisfactory	84	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>

### Possible Health Impacts

Good	Minimal impact
Satisfactory	Minor breathing discomfort to sensitive people
Moderate	Breathing discomfort to the people with lungs, asthma and heart diseases
Poor	Breathing discomfort to most people on prolonged exposure
Very Poor	Respiratory illness on prolonged exposure
Severe	Affects healthy people and seriously impacts those with existing diseases

### Notes

\* AQI is not calculated for today's bulletin for Kota, Panchkula, Rohtak as data was not available.

# Some stations have data available at 3 PM.

\* In case of a city with multiple monitoring locations, average value is used to indicate air quality. Air quality may show variations across locations, and averaging is not a scientifically sound approach. However, for the sake of simplicity this method is being followed. For AQI of monitoring locations, website (<http://cpqb.nic.in>) may be referred.



## Air Quality Index on Nov 18, 2017 @ 04:00 PM

(Average of past 24 hours)

City	Air Quality	Index Value	Prominent Pollutant	Based on number of monitoring stations
Nashik	Moderate	195	O <sub>3</sub>	1
Navi Mumbai	Satisfactory	86	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
NOIDA	Poor	288	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	2
Pali	Very Poor	303	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Patna	Poor	246	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Pune	Moderate	108	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
Rajamahendravaram	Satisfactory	84	O <sub>3</sub>	1
Solapur	Moderate	112	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Thane	Moderate	139	PM <sub>10</sub>	1
Thiruvananthapuram	Moderate	125	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Tirupati	Satisfactory	67	PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>
Udaipur	Poor	298	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Varanasi	Poor	267	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1
Vijayawada	Satisfactory	93	CO	1

### Possible Health Impacts

Good	Minimal impact
Satisfactory	Minor breathing discomfort to sensitive people
Moderate	Breathing discomfort to the people with lungs, asthma and heart diseases
Poor	Breathing discomfort to most people on prolonged exposure
Very Poor	Respiratory illness on prolonged exposure
Severe	Affects healthy people and seriously impacts those with existing diseases

### Notes

\* AQI is not calculated for today's bulletin for Kota, Panchkula, Rohtak as data was not available.

# Some stations have data available at 3 PM.

\* In case of a city with multiple monitoring locations, average value is used to indicate air quality. Air quality may show variations across locations, and averaging is not a scientifically sound approach. However, for the sake of simplicity this method is being followed. For AQI of monitoring locations, website (<http://cpqb.nic.in>) may be referred.



## Air Quality Index on Nov 18, 2017 @ 04:00 PM

(Average of past 24 hours)

City	Air Quality	Index Value	Prominent Pollutant	Based on number of monitoring stations
Visakhapatnam	Good	37	O <sub>3</sub>	1 <sup>#</sup>

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Particulate Matter (<2.5 micron size); PM<sub>10</sub>: Particulate Matter (<10 micron size); O<sub>3</sub>: Ozone; CO : Carbon Monoxide; NO<sub>2</sub>: Nitrogen Dioxide

### Possible Health Impacts

Good	Minimal impact
Satisfactory	Minor breathing discomfort to sensitive people
Moderate	Breathing discomfort to the people with lungs, asthma and heart diseases
Poor	Breathing discomfort to most people on prolonged exposure
Very Poor	Respiratory illness on prolonged exposure
Severe	Affects healthy people and seriously impacts those with existing diseases

### Notes

\* AQI is not calculated for today's bulletin for Kota, Panchkula, Rohtak as data was not available.

# Some stations have data available at 3 PM.

\* In case of a city with multiple monitoring locations, average value is used to indicate air quality. Air quality may show variations across locations, and averaging is not a scientifically sound approach. However, for the sake of simplicity this method is being followed. For AQI of monitoring locations, website (<http://cpcb.nic.in>) may be referred.