Finding a home for OpenAMP

Felix Rubinstein Stefano Stabellini

01/09/2019





Goals

- > Create a vendor neutral ground for collaboration among different companies and individuals
- > Formalize the structure and jointly to decide how formal do we need to be
- > Define the project leadership structure, its responsibilities, and transparent processes to join the leadership team



Current Status

- > No governance
- > No mailing lists
 - >> It should not be owned by any one company
- > No CI loop and testing infrastructure
 - >> Same as the mailing list: it shouldn't be owned by any one company
 - >> Lava CI provides just an infrastructure
- > No financial support possible
 - >> Not possible to expense anything on behalf of the project
 - Example: TravisCI, conference venues, internships, mailing list, web site
 - >> Members cannot help the project by donating money to it
- > No easy way for non-Linaro members to participate



Leadership Team and Project Governance

- > Responsible for the overall oversight and coordination efforts
- > Establish transparent procedures to:
 - >> join the leadership team
 - >> add or remove maintainers
 - contributor anyone who contributes code, documentation or any other technical effort
 - maintainer contributor who has the ability to accept code and docs changes
 - >> define a release process
 - define a security process
- > Budget planning
 - >> do we need a budget for OpenAMP?
- Coordinating events and marketing
 - >> do we need it?
- > Code of Conduct



Linux Foundation

> We reached out but have never heard back



Linaro community division

> Offers

Code hosting, wiki, docs hosting, authentication, code reviews, tracker, front page website, mailing list, IRC

> Pros

- >> Many are already familiar with it
- >> It covers all our needs

> Cons

- >> More expensive than other options
- >> Tied to the services it offers
- >> \$2.5K per anum fee per company
- additional \$5K \$80K for project resources

> Example projects

- DeviceTree.org
- >> ARM Trusted Firmware



Software Freedom Conservancy

A not-for-profit charity that helps promote, improve, develop, and defend Free, Libre, and Open Source Software projects

> Offers

- >> Limited (some form of) legal and financial management for the open source project
- >> Help in writing governance documents for a vendor-neutral project

> Pros

- Cheaper, as cheap as '0'
- >> Project governance, legal and open-source finance experts
- >> Bring your own services: code hosting, wiki, website all up to us
- >> Tax free donations

> Cons

- >> Tax free donations
- Cost: 10% of donations

> Example Projects:

- >> QEMU
- >> CircleCI



Lightweight, no foundation solution

- > Continue with current settings
 - >> use free resources as much as possible
 - >> reuse anything Linaro can offer
- > Create our own project governance
- > Establish leadership team

> Pros

- >> cheap
- >> bring your own free services: code hosting, wiki, website all up to us

> Cons

- >> hard for non-Linaro members to participate
- >> no legal entity
- >> reliance on existing corporate resources
- >> no budget
- what about mailing list and CI loop?



Conclusion

> Decide how formal we want to be

- >> budget?
- >> legal entity?

> Choose right option based on above:

- >> More comprehensive services -> Linaro (bigger budget, legal entity)
- >> Fewer, targeted services -> Software Freedom Conservancy (smaller budget, legal entity)
- >> Minimal services -> Lightweight solution (no budget, no legal entity)

