

# Common Themes in Player Feedback on D&D Online/Co-op Games

## Introduction

Official Dungeons & Dragons (D&D) video games – from classic MMOs like **Neverwinter** and **Dungeons & Dragons Online (DDO)** to co-op titles like **Neverwinter Nights, Baldur's Gate 3, Sword Coast Legends, Dark Alliance, Dark Sun Online,** and even the idle game **Idle Champions of the Forgotten Realms** – have amassed a wealth of player feedback. By examining what players **loved** and **hated** across these titles, we can identify recurring themes. These insights are especially valuable for guiding the development of a new D&D MMORPG. Below, we summarize the praise and criticism for each game, followed by an in-depth thematic analysis covering gameplay, monetization, technical quality, tools, world design, content updates, social features, and how these games evolved over time.

# **Summary of Player Praise and Criticism by Game**

Game	Players Loved (Praise)	Players Hated (Criticism)		
<b>Neverwinter</b> (2013 MMO)	- Action Combat: Fast-paced, fluid action combat was widely praised (some called it the best MMO combat of its time) 1 . Setting & Lore: Set in the rich Forgotten Realms; popular locations and lore drew interest 2 3 . Content Amount: Lots of quests, dungeons and PvP at launch 4 .    - User-Created Content: The Foundry system (at launch) let players create quests, extending content and challenge 5 . - Performance: Highly optimized engine; ran smoothly even on lowend PCs 6 .	- Monetization/P2W: Aggressively monetized "Zen Market" with loot boxes and pay-to-win gear; best items require either real money or extreme grind 7 8. Many felt the cash shop created a "haves vs. have-nots" divide 7.     chr>- Grind and Gating: Very grindy endgame; non-paying players face "years of farming" to gear up 9. Recent updates introduced gear score gates that frustrate returning players 10 11. chr>- New Player Experience: Linear, shallow leveling with little player choice – "boring overlong tutorial" feel 12 3. chr>- Limited D&D Mechanics: Simplified classes and character building (few abilities, preset stats) disappointed those expecting deeper D&D rule fidelity 13 14. chr>- Removed Features: Beloved features were removed over time (e.g. Foundry user content, level cap changes), upsetting some long-time fans.		

G	a	r	Y	1	•

#### Players Loved (Praise)

#### Players Hated (Criticism)

# D&D Online (2006 MMO)

- True D&D Mechanics: Faithful adaptation of D&D 3.5e rules (dice rolls, multiclassing, feats) - offers enormous character build depth and "almost unlimited options" 15 16. <br>- Group Dungeon Adventures: Instanced dungeons feel like classic modules with traps, puzzles, and DM narration that "really adds to immersion" 17 . Each quest feels meaningful – not just kill X grind 18. <br>- Community & Niche Appeal: Tight-knit player base; great for small groups of friends seeking "quickly log in and experience an *adventure*" <sup>19</sup> . Known for cooperative play and role-play friendly features. <br>- Longevity: Long-running with regular quest pack additions and even a second setting (Forgotten Realms) added, showing ability to evolve.

- Clunky Newcomer Experience: Steep learning curve and lack of in-game guidance – "crazy complex and the lack of useful information turns a lot of new players off" (many rely on veterans or forums) 20 21. <br/>
<br/>
<br/>
- Dated Engine: Graphics and UI show age (game launched in 2006); some find it outdated or less polished than modern MMOs 22. <br/>
- Instancing and Limited

Exploration: The world is hub-and-instance based. Some miss an open-world feel (early critics said "not even a half-ass vision of D&D" for lack of a seamless world) 23 24 . <br>
Content Paywalls: Free-to-play model requires buying quest packs or subscribing to access all content. While not outright pay-to-win, progress can feel gated for free players, which some resent. <br/>
br>- Grind for Progress: Endgame involves significant grind (e.g. repeating dungeons and "reincarnating" characters for small gains). Can be repetitive for those not invested in long-term optimization.

- DM & Modding Tools: Unmatched

player creation tools - a "best ever"

Dungeon Master sessions 25. The

community's ability to "do as they

dream" yielded decades of content

user-made modules, and live

feature allowing custom adventures,

### Neverwinter Nights (2002)

and longevity 26 27 . <br>-**Community Content & Persistent** Worlds: Thousands of high-quality fan-made adventures and persistent world servers (some adhering closely to D&D rules) kept the game alive and fresh 28 . <br>- D&D Rule Fidelity: Uses D&D 3rd Edition rules, which many fans adore - "a well-liked version of the D&D ruleset" 29 leading to familiar classes, spells, and tactical combat. <br>-Replayability: Freedom to carry a character through many usercreated campaigns, plus multiplayer co-op with friends or role-play servers, gave NWN unparalleled replay value.

- Weak Official Campaign: The base singleplayer story was widely panned as "just terrible" - generic plot and repetitive design 30 . Players felt the game "by itself is awful" without community modules 28. <br>--Outdated Graphics/Interface: Even by early 2000s standards, NWN's graphics were considered mediocre, and today they are very dated (despite the Enhanced Edition's slight improvements). <br>- Limited Party Interaction: Unlike Baldur's Gate, NWN had a lone henchman system and less party banter, making the official campaign feel less alive. <br > - Reliance on Community: The flip side of user content focus is that quality depends on finding good modules or servers. New players may be overwhelmed figuring out which modules to play or how to join multiplayer servers. <br>- Monetization of **EE:** Some backlash toward Beamdog's **Enhanced Edition** for re-selling an old game at a higher price (and delisting the old version) 31, though this is a minor issue compared to gameplay feedback.

#### Baldur's Gate 3 (2023)

- Epic Story & Characters: A sprawling narrative with "fantastic characters" and writing that feels true to a D&D campaign - full of meaningful choices and reactive storylines (32). Players loved the dark, complex plot and companion interactions, often likening the experience to a top-tier tabletop campaign. <br>- Authentic 5E **Gameplay:** Turn-based combat faithfully adapts D&D 5th Edition rules, which fans appreciated. The tactical depth and variety of abilities/ spells were praised as "excellent" 32. <br>- Player Freedom: BG3 allows creative problem-solving (multiple ways to tackle quests) and respects player agency. This "unparalleled level of freedom" comes close to what a live DM offers (33). <br>- Co-op Fun: Up to 4-player co-op mode is "impressive" 32 - friends can experience the entire campaign together. The chaotic, unexpected moments friends create in co-op were seen as part of the fun (34). <br>- Polish & Presentation: High production values - cinematic cutscenes, voice acting, and a powerful soundtrack - made it an immersive, high-quality experience (with no microtransactions as a bonus).

- Co-op Limitations: A few found the co-op implementation clunky - e.g. only one player converses with NPCs while others watch, which can "break immersion" for them 35. Coordinating party inventory and progression in multiplayer could be cumbersome. <br>--**Performance in Act 3:** Some players reported technical slowdowns or bugs in the very late-game (large city areas), marring an otherwise smooth experience. <br>- Missing Features: A minority lamented the lack of a Dungeon Master or user-content mode (given BG3's lineage from tabletop, some hoped for a DM tool which it doesn't have). <br>- High **Complexity:** For newcomers not used to D&D, the sheer amount of options (spells, stats, etc.) can be overwhelming - though this was usually seen as a positive by fans, it might be a barrier for some in an MMO context. <br>- Expectations Management: It's hard to find major faults in BG3's reception; criticisms are mostly edge cases. One concern for MMO developers: BG3 has set very high player expectations for story and choice in a D&D game, which an MMO will be challenged to match.

- Disappointing DM Mode: Billed as a

#### - Concept and Co-op Campaign:

The idea of a 4-player co-op RPG set in the Sword Coast, with one player as Dungeon Master, was very appealing. Some players did enjoy the casual hack-and-slash gameplay and found the official campaign "not too terrible" for a one-time playthrough (36). <br>- Accessibility: Compared to hardcore CRPGs, SCL had a more accessible, consolefriendly real-time combat system. A few players looking for an action-RPG style loot crawl found it "fun... a solid loot crawl" in some reviews (37). <br/>
<br/>
dr>- Dragon Age Influence: The game's UI and design took cues from Dragon Age, which some appreciated (it made the game familiar to fans of that series). <br>-Post-launch Free DLC: The developers attempted to appease players by releasing a free expansion ("Rage of Demons") and additional DM tools after launch, which was a goodwill gesture (though arguably too late).

spiritual successor to NWN, the DM tools were extremely limited. No custom maps (only a few pre-made maps or random dungeons), very few object and trigger options, and inability to craft stories beyond stringing combat encounters. One DM described it as "pointless" because you "can't even design the layout" - you just hit randomize until it looks passable 38 39. This "false marketing promise" was the biggest letdown 40 . <br>- Not True D&D: Despite</br> using D&D 5e terminology, the mechanics were "D&D in name only" (36). Core classes like monk or paladin were missing at launch, rules were heavily simplified, and many felt it "has nothing at all to do with 5th edition" beyond lore names 41 42 . <br>- Shallow **Gameplay:** Many likened SCL to a mediocre Diablo clone - "boring, repetitive and mindless" combat with little strategy 43. Encounters were combat-only, and quests were forgettable, leading to monotony. <br>--Technical & AI Issues: Players reported numerous bugs and pathfinding problems. Party AI was poor - e.g. companions sometimes failed to follow orders, causing frustration 44. The camera was also criticized as "wonky" 44 . <br>- Short-lived Support: The game's online servers were shut down just a couple years after release. Promised features (like mod support) never materialized, leaving buyers feeling burned.

## Sword Coast Legends (2015)

#### Dark Alliance (2021)

- Iconic Characters & Setting: Players were excited to play as Drizzt Do'Urden and companions in Icewind Dale. The initial premise and character intro cutscenes were wellreceived, "selling the premise" with cool CGI scenes 45 . <br>- Co-op Hack-and-Slash: In a casual setting with friends, some found mindless co-op fun in slaying hordes of D&D monsters together. The idea of a 3rdperson co-op action RPG in D&D was seen as great potential 46. <br>--Visuals & Art Style: A few players praised the environmental art and enemy designs. The dark fantasy aesthetics and music captured the D&D vibe at times (when technical issues didn't distract). <br>- Postlaunch Patches: The developers did release updates adding two new playable characters and some improvements. Dedicated fans acknowledged these efforts, though it wasn't enough to fully redeem the game.

- Poor Combat Feel: "No real weight" to combat - attacks often felt like they didn't connect, hit detection was off, and animations were janky 47 48. The inconsistent, floaty melee mechanics made combat unsatisfying. Ranged combat was also unsatisfying (the archer's animation was notoriously bad - "one of the most embarrassing animations" seen 48 49 ). <br>- Bugs and Glitches: Launched in a **buggy** state – enemies would glitch or not react to being hit, characters could clip through the world, and online play suffered lag 50 51. Technical polish was far below acceptable, leading to frustration and mockery by reviewers (called "truly awful" by some) 52 . <br>- Repetitive & Shallow: Missions followed a formulaic loop (linear maps with mobs and a boss, repeated over and over). The game "forgoes anything interesting... leaning into an unnecessary grind", feeling more like a dull "games-as-service" grind than a story-driven adventure 53 54. Little narrative or character development during gameplay, despite the rich IP. <br>--Lack of Character Customization: Only four preset characters (no creating your own hero) and very limited ability to build them differently. This baffled players expecting at least some D&D-style character progression. <br>- Balancing and Systems: Odd design choices like a harsh stamina system that punished certain characters, and inconsistent difficulty (some enemies were damage sponges, others trivial). Overall, the gameplay systems felt poorly thought out and "insubstantial" 55.

#### - Beloved Characters Collection:

Allows players to collect dozens of famous D&D characters (from Drizzt to Strahd, as well as characters from novels, streams, etc.). Fans enjoyed assembling their "champions of the Forgotten Realms" and seeing fun cross-overs. <br/>
Strategic Idle Gameplay: For an idle clicker, it has surprising strategy – formation placement and team synergies matter. This puzzle-like optimization aspect is compelling to many, providing a "batshit insane" level of theorycrafting for those who enjoy it

Idle Champions (2017)

56 . <br>- Frequent New Content: The game is updated constantly with new champions, time-limited events, and challenges. There's always a new event every few weeks, which keeps dedicated players engaged longterm. <br>- Casual & Free-Friendly: As a free-to-play game, you can make progress without paying (albeit slowly). Many players treat it as a light, background game something to check into daily without heavy time pressure. The developers also give out lots of free loot codes via streams and social media, which the community appreciates 57.

- Heavy Grind and Slow Progress: By design as an idle game, progress becomes extremely slow later on unless you reset loops frequently or invest money. New players often hit a wall where advancement feels "dreadfully slow" 58 . Fully gearing characters through free play can take a very long time, leading some to frustration. <br>--Aggressive Monetization: While you can unlock everything for free over time, the game continually offers real-money purchases - from loot chests and buff potions to **season passes** (a newer addition) <sup>59</sup>. Some feel these are "pay-to-win" or at least pay-to-progress shortcuts. The need to buy Familiars (auto-clicking pets) for a reasonable experience was a common gripe (seen as "almost forced to buy for comfort") 59 . <br>--Repetitive Gameplay: Being an idle clicker, the core gameplay loop (watching monsters die, resetting, and doing it again for incremental gains) is not for everyone. It can become monotonous and lacks the active engagement of a traditional MMO or RPG, which some players ultimately grow tired of 60 . <br>
- No Social/Co-op Aspect: Despite being branded a D&D game, it's purely singleplayer. There's no co-op or guild system - an oddity that some D&D fans note. Interaction with others is limited to sharing strategies outside the game (e.g. on Reddit). For an MMORPG developer, this highlights that community features are crucial - Idle Champions misses that mark entirely. <br>--Complexity Creep: Over years, layers of new systems (patrons, blessings, legendaries, etc.) have piled on, which can overwhelm newcomers. It's challenging for new players to figure out what to focus on without consulting guides, as the game itself doesn't tutorialize all these systems well.

Citations: Specific examples and quotes in the table are referenced in the detailed analysis below.

## **Gameplay Systems & D&D Mechanics**

**Depth vs. Accessibility:** A core tension in D&D games is how faithfully to implement the pen-and-paper rules. Players generally **love** when a game captures the depth of D&D's mechanics – but only if it's done in a fun, comprehensible way. For example, *Dungeons & Dragons Online* won praise for offering true D&D complexity: multi-class builds, feat selections, ability scores, etc. The ability to deeply customize characters (even *"reincarnate"* for bonus stats and try a new build) gives DDO immense replayability <sup>16</sup> <sup>61</sup>. Hardcore fans adored this *"almost limitless"* build freedom. Similarly, *Neverwinter Nights* and *Baldur's Gate 3* stick closely to D&D rules (3rd and 5th Edition, respectively), which earned them respect. BG3's turn-based combat system and rich spell selection feel like real D&D encounters, and players appreciated that authenticity alongside the tactical fun – the combat was widely deemed "excellent" <sup>32</sup>.

However, complexity can alienate newcomers if not handled gently. Some DDO veterans acknowledge the game can be "crazy complex" and poorly explained for new players [2]]. Newbies struggle without external help because DDO drops a ton of systems on you with minimal guidance. This suggests a new MMO should aim for **smart onboarding** – preserve depth, but tutorialize it better (perhaps through a more gradual learning curve or in-game codex).

In contrast, games that strayed too far from D&D mechanics drew ire from fans. Sword Coast Legends is a cautionary tale: it used D&D names but simplified everything to a generic action-RPG formula. As one player put it, "it's D&D in name only" 42. Lacking core classes and the flexible systems that make D&D special, SCL failed to satisfy either D&D purists or action game fans. Neverwinter (the MMO) took a different approach – it streamlined D&D rules into an action combat format. It eschewed things like turn-based tactics or a vast ability list in favor of a "soft-targeting action-based combat system" with a limited hotbar 62 13. This made it easy to pick up, and many players did enjoy the fast, hack-and-slash feel 9. But some D&D enthusiasts found it too far removed from tabletop – "not even a half-ass vision of D&D" according to one early critic 23. The lack of meaningful choice in character building (few powers, auto-stat allocation) was a common complaint 13. For a new MMORPG, the lesson is to find the right balance: offer the variety and meaningful decisions of D&D, but adapt them to an MMO in a way that isn't overwhelming. Players should feel like they have unique builds and agency, without needing a PhD in rules to play.

**Combat and Role Systems:** Opinions on **combat style** varied by game but certain trends emerge. Action-oriented combat with real-time dodging and aiming (as in Neverwinter MMO or Dark Alliance) can be very engaging – *when executed well*. Neverwinter's combat was lauded as *"fast, fun, and fluid"* by many 63 1, showing that an action-MMO take on D&D can work and even set a standard. By contrast, Dark Alliance attempted similar real-time action but was panned because attacks felt weightless and unpolished 47. Players clearly notice and care about *responsiveness*: smooth animation, proper hit feedback, and balanced mechanics are crucial. "Weighty" combat that makes swords and spells feel impactful will be appreciated, whereas floaty or buggy combat will quickly earn scorn (Dark Alliance's issues in this area dominated its feedback).

Another aspect is the presence (or absence) of traditional **party roles**. D&D itself has the holy trinity (tank/ healer/DPS in a loose sense), but some games leaned into it more than others. Neverwinter's MMO, despite the action gameplay, kept distinct roles and group content that required cooperation – players liked that *"tanks still need to get aggro...healers are required to keep everyone alive"*, making group combat feel like a true co-op challenge <sup>64</sup>. In contrast, if an online D&D game is too solo-able or lacks cooperative class synergies, fans may feel it's missing the spirit of a party-based adventure. For instance, early DDO was notoriously

tough to solo and encouraged grouping for success (which some loved as it felt like classic D&D), though over time they added solo options. A new MMO should ensure that **teamplay is rewarding** – players tend to enjoy needing each other's strengths, as long as every class is fun to play.

Pacing and Progression: Feedback indicates that pacing of leveling and progression systems is important. Neverwinter's developers experimented heavily here (even reducing level cap from 60→20 to align with D&D levels). The reception was mixed: some liked not having to grind trivial kills (since XP came from story milestones) <sup>65</sup>, but others felt the journey was too on-rails and sterile, saying "it's like driving down a highway...you remember very little about the trip" <sup>66</sup>. The removal of side content in leveling (e.g. optional dungeons) drew criticism <sup>12</sup> – players missed having choices in how to level. The takeaway is that variety in progression (side quests, exploration, alternative activities) helps prevent a new player experience from feeling like a chore. The leveling game should neither be a meaningless grind nor an over-streamlined tutorial that lacks adventure. Striking a balance – perhaps a shorter leveling span (so newcomers aren't lost for 100 hours) with optional side challenges and social dungeons – could satisfy both camps.

Finally, **endgame progression** needs to be rewarding without feeling like a cynical grind. Players praised DDO's unique *reincarnation* system, which gives hardcore folks something to strive for indefinitely, but it's purely optional. On the other hand, Neverwinter's endgame got flak for its *astral diamond grind* and gear score gating that essentially forces players into repeated grinds (or the cash shop) <sup>67</sup> <sup>10</sup>. Idle Champions is an extreme case of grind – deliberately so as an idle game – and many enjoyed the optimization aspect, but many also quit once the novelty wore off, citing *"time consuming for minimal reward"* <sup>60</sup>. The new MMO should ensure that *progress feels meaningful*, not just a hamster wheel to push monetization (more on that next).

#### **Monetization Models and Fairness**

When it comes to **monetization**, the D&D gaming community has been vocal: overly aggressive cash-grabs can poison player sentiment, whereas fair models are welcomed and can even be a selling point. The **spectrum** covered by these games is broad, from completely free-to-play titles with microtransactions (Neverwinter MMO, Idle Champions, DDO's hybrid model) to premium buy-once games (Baldur's Gate 3, NWN, Dark Alliance) – and each has its pitfalls and advantages as evidenced by player feedback.

Free-to-Play and Microtransactions: The most common complaints center on pay-to-win or pay-to-progress mechanics. Neverwinter's **Zen Market** became almost a byword for bad F2P practices: it sells "just about anything you need to maximize your character" 68 7, from gear and loot boxes to even gameplay boosts. While the developers allow earning shop currency in-game, players pointed out that in practice the grind is so steep that the best items are effectively out of reach without paying 67. This created a lasting stigma that "most gamers would consider the Zen Market pay-to-win" 7. It also breaks immersion – constant cash shop reminders and lockbox pop-ups annoyed players who just wanted to dwell in the fantasy world 69. The lesson: avoid intrusive P2W elements. A D&D MMO will thrive best if players feel the game respects their time and isn't shaking them down at every turn. Cosmetic microtransactions or reasonably priced expansions are one thing; selling max-level power or endless gambling boxes is met with far less tolerance (especially after years of industry-wide backlash to such practices).

Idle Champions, as a F2P idle game, follows a model of "it's technically free, but you can pay to speed things up." Some players defended it as "not pay to win…pay to progress faster" 58 – noting there's no PvP and you can't truly "win" an idle game. However, even in that community, certain monetization moves caused unrest.

For instance, the introduction of **Season Passes** in 2022 spurred debate; veterans mentioned this added a new monetized layer when existing layers still needed work <sup>70</sup>. Idle Champions also illustrates a point about **quality of life paywalls**: players felt "almost forced" to buy *Familiars* (auto-click pets) to avoid repetitive strain, as doing certain actions manually was too tedious without them <sup>59</sup>. Charging for convenience features can breed resentment; it's better to improve the base experience for everyone and monetize truly extra or cosmetic perks.

By contrast, *Dungeons & Dragons Online* offers an interesting middle ground. It transitioned from subscription to a hybrid F2P, where much of the content (dungeons, classes, races) is behind either a paywall or a grindwall. The community generally doesn't label DDO pay-to-win – you're mostly paying to unlock more game, not straight-up power. Some even say "store items aren't particularly important" to success <sup>59</sup>. Still, new players can feel bewildered by what's free and what's not, and without spending they might hit content droughts unless they grind "favor" (reputation) to unlock adventures. The takeaway here is **transparency and fairness**: if a new MMO is F2P, it should clearly communicate what's free and ensure that free players have a satisfying (if more limited) experience. Ideally, spending money should feel like a way to support the game and get *fun extras*, not a mandatory tax to enjoy endgame or compete.

**Buy-to-Play and DLC:** On the flip side, games like Baldur's Gate 3 show the goodwill earned by a premium model. BG3 charged a full game price and **no microtransactions at all** – a fact many players welcomed with relief. It proved that a high-quality, complete D&D experience can be profitable without recurring microtransactions (BG3 broke sales records). For an MMO, outright avoiding ongoing monetization is unrealistic, but it could follow the MMO examples that use subscriptions or paid expansions *instead of* cash shops. For instance, players in 2007 defended DDO's then-subscription model by noting it gave regular new modules "for free" to subscribers, in lieu of selling expansions separately <sup>71</sup>. There's a nostalgia for the days of expansions rather than cash shops or season passes.

**Community Blowback:** It's important to note how strongly monetization practices can dominate the community discourse. Neverwinter's reputation, for instance, is *still* marred by the "pay-to-win" label years after launch. Many potential players ask "is it worth playing or is it P2W?" on forums, showing how that first impression stuck. Idle Champions similarly sees debates on whether it's too greedy or not. And Sword Coast Legends, while not having microtransactions (it was buy-to-play), lost trust by over-promising and underdelivering content – effectively people felt *ripped off* paying for a D&D game that turned out so sparse. The developers tried to recover by giving away DLC and features for free post-launch, but the damage was done (and the studio closed). The trust of a D&D audience is a precious commodity: WotC (the IP owner) and any developer need to uphold that trust with a **player-first business model**. A new MMORPG that openly communicates its monetization, avoids exploitative mechanics (like loot boxes), and demonstrates value for money (through substantial updates or fair rewards) will earn far more long-term loyalty – a critical factor for an MMO's lifespan.

# **Technical Stability and Performance**

Nothing sinks an online game's reputation faster than technical failures, and the D&D titles illustrate this in stark terms. **Polish and stability** are themes that surfaced repeatedly in player feedback, both as praise and scorn.

On the positive side, players appreciate games that run well **even on modest systems**. Neverwinter (Cryptic's MMO) was highlighted as "a masterpiece of optimization" – able to achieve 60 FPS on low-end

machines while still looking decent <sup>6</sup> . This earned goodwill, as it made the game accessible to a broad audience without heavy requirements. Similarly, NWN (being an older game) could run on a toaster, and its Enhanced Edition ensured compatibility with modern OSes, which the community appreciated. DDO, despite its age, still chugs for some in high-end raids due to an old engine, but generally it's stable; its issues are more about dated graphics than crashes. The key for a new MMO is to **invest in performance**: a D&D game should ideally scale well and avoid scenarios where epic battles turn into slideshow frame-rates or disconnects.

On the flip side, several of these games launched with serious technical issues that colored all feedback thereafter:

- **Dark Alliance (2021)** was notorious for bugs at launch. Players encountered enemies standing idle and not reacting to being hit, characters falling through the map, and frequent frame drops <sup>51</sup>. Online play, which should have been the heart of the co-op, had severe lag and connectivity hiccups <sup>50</sup>. This led to scathing reviews (some called it one of the "worst games" of the year). Even those who might have forgiven mediocre design could not overlook the technical mess. The lesson is clear: **don't release until it's ready**. An MMO especially cannot afford a disastrous launch reputation, as first impressions in a persistent game determine whether players stick around.
- **Sword Coast Legends** also suffered from bugs, though less egregious than Dark Alliance. Reports of *"wonky"* controls and camera, party members not following commands, and other glitches popped up frequently 44. Combined with its other issues, this gave the impression of an under-budget, under-tested product.

Even **Neverwinter (MMO)**, despite overall stability, had some early bugs (like a broken auction house at launch <sup>72</sup>) and, more critically, *exploits*. In its first months, exploits ran rampant – from XP-farming loopholes in the Foundry to methods of duplicating loot or trivializing bosses <sup>73</sup> <sup>74</sup>. Players who didn't use these felt profoundly disadvantaged and feared that cheaters kept ill-gotten gains. This highlights another aspect of technical quality: **security and fairness**. An online game must be vigilant about exploits and botting, especially an MMO where the in-game economy and progression can be wrecked by such cheats. Dark Sun Online (1996) provides a retro example – it was essentially destroyed by hackers due to a peer-to-peer architecture that let players cheat stats easily <sup>75</sup> <sup>76</sup>. Modern MMOs won't repeat that exact mistake, but they must plan for cheat prevention from day one to maintain a healthy game environment.

On a more content-related technical note, *scalability* of content systems matters too. Neverwinter's user-generated content tool (Foundry) was beloved, but it had to be neutered reward-wise because players found exploits (like spawning mobs that couldn't fight back to power-level) 77. Eventually, the whole system was removed, partly due to maintenance burden. That removal itself upset players who had created and enjoyed Foundry quests. This suggests that while ambitious features are great, they need the technical support to handle player creativity without breaking the game. A modern equivalent might be offering player-designed dungeons but with careful moderation or instanced balancing so they can't be abused for loot.

In summary, to win players' hearts (and avoid their wrath), a new D&D MMORPG should **launch in a polished state** – stable servers, smooth performance, and thoroughly tested mechanics. If issues do arise, rapid transparent fixes are essential. Players will forgive some hiccups (no online game is perfect on day one) if they see the team addressing them head-on. But they won't stick around long if basic functionality or

fairness is in question. In the long run, consistent technical updates (engine improvements, UI enhancements, etc.) also show commitment – e.g., Beamdog's ongoing patching of NWN:EE kept that community happy by squashing even decades-old bugs. The foundation of *fun* in an MMO can only stand on a solid technical base.

## **Dungeon Master & Player-Created Content Tools**

One of the most unique aspects of D&D is the presence of a **Dungeon Master (DM)** orchestrating the game. Naturally, video games can't have a live human DM for every party (apart from special cases), but several D&D titles have tried to empower players to become DMs or content creators. The reception of these tools is telling: when done well, they become the stuff of legend; when done poorly, they become a cautionary tale.

**Neverwinter Nights (2002)** set the gold standard with its Aurora Toolset and DM Client. Players consistently cite it as "the best game ever for player tools [for] custom-building and DM'ing in a multiplayer D&D setting" <sup>25</sup>. This power to create entire adventures – design maps, write dialogue, script events – and then actively DM live for friends, was revolutionary. It effectively allowed the community to extend the life of the game indefinitely (20+ years and counting). Fans built everything from classic module remakes to persistent world servers with their own rules and stories. The **longevity** NWN gained is directly tied to these tools; as one fan pointed out, the game's graphics may be outdated, but "the players are given the reins to do as they dream," and that has kept it alive when so many other games died <sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup>. The lesson: robust user-content tools can dramatically increase an RPG's lifespan and foster a devoted community – if you trust players with creative freedom.

Neverwinter Nights also demonstrated how DM tools can facilitate **shared storytelling** online. Many groups essentially played D&D campaigns within NWN, with a human DM controlling NPCs and monsters in real-time. For an MMORPG, implementing something like a "DM mode" for user-run events or custom dungeons could tap into that creativity. Even a lighter-weight scenario creator (like Foundry was) can yield huge amounts of content and give players a sense of ownership in the world.

That said, *poorly realized* DM tools can backfire. The starkest example is **Sword Coast Legends**. It promised a modern, user-friendly DM mode, but players felt "misled" when they discovered how limited it was <sup>38</sup> <sup>39</sup>. Instead of a toolbox, it was more like a boardgame tile flip – you couldn't craft your own maps or stories, just adjust prefab dungeons on the fly. As one DM lamented, "the lack of objects is ridiculous, the lack of triggers makes it pointless" – you couldn't do basic things like place traps where you wanted or script events <sup>39</sup>. The frequent refrain was: *Neverwinter Nights let us do way more over a decade earlier.* SCL's failure in this regard not only disappointed would-be creators, but also may have hurt the game's content longevity since players couldn't fill the gap by making their own adventures. The take-away: if you include DM or editing tools, **they must be sufficiently powerful and flexible** to spark creativity. Half-measures that only allow trivial modifications will be judged harshly, especially in comparison to NWN's legacy. It's better to be upfront if a game won't have DM tools than to advertise one that under-delivers – SCL got "scathing reviews" partly because it raised and then crushed hopes for a true NWN successor <sup>42</sup>.

The **Neverwinter MMO** tried a different approach with its **Foundry** system. This was a user-friendly quest editor that launched with the game, allowing players to publish their own quests and campaigns for others to play. Initially, this was *hugely* popular – it was listed among the game's pros (an *"amazing Foundry system"* for player-made adventures) <sup>63</sup> . It empowered a storytelling sub-community within the MMO. However, as

noted, it suffered from exploitation issues (people designing XP-farm missions) and perhaps moderation overhead. Eventually, Cryptic removed the Foundry entirely (around 2019), citing technical and resource challenges. Many players were saddened by its removal – it was a distinguishing feature that gave Neverwinter a bit of that tabletop creativity. The new MMO could learn from this: a revival of a **Foundry-like UGC system** – modernized to prevent exploits – could be a massive draw. Perhaps integrating rewards in a smart way (curated content gets official recognition, or rewards capped to discourage farming) might make it sustainable. Given the D&D community's creativity (just look at the homebrew content for the tabletop game), tapping into that in the MMO could yield endless fresh content and engagement.

One more aspect of player tools is **modding**. While not officially supported in most online games, it's worth noting that Baldur's Gate 3, being primarily single-player/co-op, has a budding mod scene (though no official DM mode). NWN and NWN2 had huge mod communities. Even if an MMO can't allow mods that alter the live game, offering robust API or UI customization can be beneficial. For example, letting players script addons or customize their interface (like WoW does) can enhance the community's ability to fill QoL gaps and share tools.

In summary, player content creation is a **huge positive theme** when done right: players *love* the freedom to create, share, and essentially co-DM their own adventures in a D&D world. It leads to praise, loyalty, and a unique identity for the game. But delivering such tools requires commitment – half-baked implementations will only draw negative comparisons to past successes. A new MMO that can crack this (perhaps with a modern spin like collaborative dungeon building or custom campaign servers) could capture the best of what made NWN enduringly popular.

# World Design and Content (Story, Lore, Exploration)

A recurring question for D&D digital games is: do they capture the **world and story** that make D&D compelling? Players have voiced what they value: a rich setting that feels true to D&D lore, meaningful stories and quests (not just fetch-and-kill fillers), and a world that invites exploration and adventure.

**Use of D&D Lore:** One strong positive across many titles was the use of familiar **campaign settings and characters**. Neverwinter (MMO) benefits from being set in the beloved Forgotten Realms city of Neverwinter and its surroundings. Players enjoyed seeing iconic locales like the Underdark, Icewind Dale, Chult, etc. added over time 2. The "great campaign setting" is specifically cited as a pro 78. Similarly, Idle Champions and Dark Alliance leverage popular characters (Drizzt & companions, Bruenor, etc.), which generated initial excitement – fans love interacting with or playing as their favorite heroes. Baldur's Gate 3, while telling an original story, heavily references Forgotten Realms lore (Mindflayers, Baldur's Gate city, famous NPCs like Volo), which grounds it in the D&D universe and delighted lore enthusiasts. The takeaway: lean into the **IP's rich lore**. A new MMO should take players to legendary locations, feature famous NPCs (in a way that makes sense), and incorporate the deep history of D&D worlds. This creates instant engagement – players feel "*Tm adventuring in the world I've imagined from the books."* 

However, simply name-dropping lore isn't enough; the **story execution** matters enormously. Baldur's Gate 3 set a new bar with its storytelling – complex, branching, and character-driven. Players raved that its narrative was "compelling from start to finish", with companions that felt alive and a story that responded to their choices 33. This kind of narrative depth is rarely achievable in an MMO due to scale, but it signals that modern audiences (even MMO players) have high expectations for story quality. In contrast, games that skimped on story got dinged. Sword Coast Legends' campaign was considered serviceable at best, but

many found it forgettable and generic. Dark Alliance was blasted for essentially *lacking* story – after a brief intro cutscene, it devolves into disconnected missions with minimal narrative context (critics called it *"insubstantial"* in plot and world-building <sup>55</sup>). The new MMO doesn't need to have a single-player RPG level of narrative, but it should aim to have **lore-rich questlines** and maybe an overarching epic storyline that respects D&D themes. Quests with choice and consequence (where possible) or at least interesting NPCs and twists will stand out. DDO, for instance, has many self-contained quests that are like mini-stories (some with voice-acted DM narration), which players enjoyed as giving a *"real adventure"* feel <sup>18</sup>. Those little narratives made dungeon runs more than just xp grinds.

**Exploration vs. Instancing:** This is an area of divided opinions. Traditional MMORPG players often expect a vast, open world to roam. D&D games have often taken a different route, focusing on instanced adventures (like modules). DDO launched with only instanced dungeons and city hubs – no contiguous overworld – which some criticized as not feeling like a true world. "T'd love to see Eberron itself developed, not just instance everything," one player wrote, suggesting even a large public wilderness area would help <sup>79</sup>. In response, DDO later added explorable wilderness zones and raids in big outdoor areas, which many players welcomed. Neverwinter (MMO) is more hub-based with zone maps; not a seamless world like WoW, and some players noted it feels a bit fragmented (also relatively small zone maps due to the action focus).

On the other hand, instancing has its **advantages**: it allows for tailored, story-rich experiences without other players interfering. Many DDO fans defend instancing because it creates that tabletop module vibe – your party against the dungeon, no outsiders. One DDO reviewer noted that while the heavy instancing "takes away a bit of the feeling of a whole open world," the instances themselves are "very well designed" and **fun with a group**, "especially...much like the pen & paper version" <sup>80</sup>. This trade-off means a new MMO should perhaps do both: provide a sense of a large, persistent world **and** instanced story dungeons. Modern design could involve big social hubs and exploration zones (for that living-world feel), combined with instanced quests for important story beats (to control narrative and difficulty). Players generally hated feeling boxed into a tiny experience (e.g., Sword Coast Legends had small, linear maps everywhere – described as basically Diablo-style maps). But they also hate a world that feels empty or generic just for the sake of size. A focused world with distinct regions, interactive environments, and secrets to discover would likely be more appreciated than a giant but shallow map.

**Content Variety and Cadence:** World design also ties into the variety of content. Feedback shows that players love a mix of content types: combat, puzzles, social hubs, etc. DDO at launch was combat-heavy, but over time they added more puzzle-solving and diverse objectives in quests, which players enjoyed. Some of the best-regarded DDO quests involve clever mechanics (e.g., solving a murder mystery, navigating traps with careful timing, etc.). This aligns with D&D's idea that not every challenge is a fight. The *technical stability* section noted how adding new content without regard to progression (a Steam forum comment about a low-level puzzle being too hard for newbies 81) can be an issue – so maintaining a learning curve is key.

As for **cadence**, players notice when a game's world stops growing. Neverwinter (MMO) has done well to push out new "Modules" (expansions) regularly – keeping the Sword Coast's locations coming and new stories. This kept lore enthusiasts engaged (e.g., Ravenloft module, Undermountain, Baldur's Gate tie-ins, etc.). DDO also releases mini-expansions and quest packs exploring various D&D settings (recently even the Feywild, Saltmarsh, etc.), which long-time players praise: "some of the best quests they have made in years" came from new packs [82]. Idle Champions, while not a world per se, continuously references new modules/ campaigns in its adventure updates, which keeps D&D lore fans hooked ("oh, now we're doing Icewind Dale: Rime of the Frostmaiden content!"). The clear message: don't let the world stagnate. A new MMO should plan

out a pipeline of D&D locales and story arcs to introduce over time, to both satisfy content-hungry players and show that the game is living and breathing. But it must balance that with quality – players will remember a great quest line or well-crafted expansion fondly, whereas a rushed, buggy one will do more harm than good.

Finally, an interesting point from older feedback: "It's not what it isn't, it's what it is." One forum poster in 2007 defended DDO by saying he enjoys it for what it offers, instead of hating it for not being some imagined perfect D&D MMO <sup>83</sup>. That implies that a game doesn't need to be *everything* to everyone. It should pick a world design approach and excel at it. If the new MMO is going to be heavily instanced but story-rich, it can own that and deliver top-notch dungeon experiences. If it's going for more open-world sandbox, then make that world dynamic and immersive. The worst outcome is to aim for all and achieve none (like SCL, which tried a little story, a little random dungeon, and mastered neither).

## **Social Features and Cooperative Play**

Given D&D's essence as a cooperative multiplayer experience, the **social aspect** of these games is paramount. Players have voiced both joy and frustration with how these games handle grouping, communication, and the overall community experience.

**Co-op Gameplay:** For many, playing with others is the whole point of a D&D game. When implemented well, it's a huge positive. *Baldur's Gate 3*, despite being primarily a single-player RPG, included a robust 4-player co-op that many found *"impressive"* <sup>32</sup>. Players recounted hilarious and memorable moments of chaos and teamwork, saying the unpredictability of co-op *"adds an extra layer of chaos that will grow on you"* <sup>84</sup>. The takeaway is that **shared experiences enhance enjoyment** – whether it's the thrill of triumphing in a tough battle with friends or the laughter when a party member's wild decision goes wrong.

However, BG3's co-op also highlighted some **logistical challenges**: managing dialogues and story when multiple players are present. Some co-op partners felt like "hitchhikers" during big conversations because only one could converse with NPCs at a time <sup>35</sup>. In an MMO, where everyone will be handling quests together, it's worth designing mechanics so that *everyone feels involved*. Perhaps group dialogue voting systems, shared cutscenes, or at least the ability for others to see and influence important choices.

In MMOs like Neverwinter and DDO, the focus is on group dungeon runs, raids, and guild activities. **Team synergy** was enjoyed – e.g., in Neverwinter, having the classic tank/dps/healer roles made dungeons feel like true team efforts <sup>64</sup>. DDO's content often requires puzzle coordination or splitting the party, fostering communication. But players hate when the game's **tools for grouping** are lacking. Neverwinter at launch lacked a proper vote-kick system or loot-roll controls in pickup groups, which led to griefing (leaders could kick before loot to steal it) <sup>85</sup>. This was cited as a "bad" aspect <sup>85</sup>. It shows that little social design oversights can cause big headaches. A new MMO must have robust **group management tools**: fair loot distribution systems, ways to handle trolls, and easy grouping mechanics (LFG finders, etc.). When grouping is smooth, players tend to form positive social bonds; when it's cumbersome or abusable, it breeds toxicity.

**Guilds and Community:** A strong guild system and community events can greatly enhance an MMO's longevity. Neverwinter's recent state shows "healthy player base" with guilds forming **alliances**, active chat channels, and helpful veterans answering questions <sup>86</sup>. Players there noted "everyone was friendly and willing to answer questions" for newbies <sup>87</sup>, which is fantastic for retention. Features like guild "boons" (buffs for being in a guild) also encourage joining communities <sup>88</sup>. DDO, while smaller in population, also has

long-standing guilds and even server-wide events (the community rallies around seasonal *Hardcore League* challenges, for example). The new MMO should invest in **guild features** – not just the basics, but things like guild housing or shared goals that give groups reasons to work together. Also, official support for community events (contests, GM-run encounters, etc.) can foster that tabletop feel of a DM guiding a world event.

**Roleplaying:** Some segment of the D&D game audience deeply enjoys roleplaying their characters. NWN was a haven for roleplay thanks to private persistent world servers and DM support. Neverwinter MMO and DDO have pockets of RP communities (on specific servers or instances) – for instance, DDO's lore-accuracy and social hubs (taverns) provide a decent backdrop, and the devs even put in slash commands for dice rolls to facilitate RP. Players love when the game's *atmosphere* supports roleplay – e.g., emotes, the ability to walk instead of run, sit in taverns, etc. It costs little to include those things and it can attract the creative players who keep forums and fan content alive.

**Toxicity and Newcomer Friendliness:** As noted, DDO's complexity can be a barrier, but an even bigger danger is an *elitist community*. One forum thread noted there were "too many elitists" worrying about cookie-cutter builds, which could drive off new players <sup>89</sup> . It's not unique to DDO – any MMO can develop a rift between veterans and newbies if not careful. A solution is both cultural and mechanical: promote a culture of mentoring (perhaps through mentor systems or rewards for helping new players) and ensure that matchmaking or scaling allows newcomers to participate without being berated for low stats.

It's worth noting how **voice chat** or modern social tech might play in. None of these older games had built-in voice (players used external VOIP). A new MMO could integrate easy voice channels for parties or push-to-talk in groups, etc., to simulate the around-the-table experience. That could be a selling point for those who want a more immersive cooperative session (though text chat remains important for general interactions and roleplay).

Finally, consider **cross-platform and community size**. Idle Champions is single-player, but its availability on web/mobile means it has a wide reach and a subreddit etc. The new MMO likely will consider launching on PC and possibly consoles. Cross-play would ensure the community isn't fragmented. Players generally love having a bigger pool of friends to play with, as long as the interface and controls are optimized for all platforms (Neverwinter MMO and DDO are PC-first; Neverwinter did go to console with some success, and BG3 showed demand on console as well). The social experience should be seamless regardless of platform.

In summary, the common theme is that players **cherish the camaraderie** and shared triumphs D&D games can offer, and they respond best when the game actively facilitates that. Smooth group formation, incentives for positive social behavior (like guild rewards, mentor bonuses), and integration of communication tools all help. When these are lacking, the community itself tries to fill the void (through external tools, forums, etc.), but the game that does it well will stand out. D&D is ultimately about *friends on an adventure* – an MMO version must double down on enabling friends (and strangers who become friends) to have great adventures together.

# **Content Updates and Evolution Over Time**

Looking at how each game **evolved over time** reveals themes about ongoing support, changes in direction, and how those were received. A new MMORPG isn't just judged on day one – its ability to keep players

engaged year after year is critical. Players have voiced appreciation for games that grew gracefully, and frustration with those that stagnated or took "wrong turns."

Consistent Content Updates: One of the most praised aspects for the long-running MMOs is the steady flow of new content. Neverwinter, since 2013, has released numerous free expansions ("Modules"). Players cite that "Cryptic Studios has consistently added new content" over ten years <sup>2</sup>, leveraging the rich lore to keep people coming back for more adventures <sup>90</sup>. This has likely helped maintain a "healthy player base" as noted in reviews <sup>78</sup>. DDO, even older, still in 2024 is releasing quest packs and the occasional expansion – something its community celebrates ("great new quest pack…some of the best quests in years" was said of a recent update <sup>82</sup>). The lesson is straightforward: an MMO needs a live team committed to regular, quality updates. These don't always have to be huge expansions; even small frequent additions (new dungeons, events, characters) can keep the world feeling fresh. Idle Champions uses this strategy in a different genre – dropping new champions or time-gated events constantly to keep the treadmill going. While an MMO should be careful about quality vs. quantity, a cadence (perhaps quarterly major updates with smaller interim events) would align with what these communities have come to expect.

**Responding to Feedback:** How devs handle player feedback over time is crucial. For example, Baldur's Gate 3 had a lengthy Early Access where Larian Studios actively incorporated player feedback into the final product, resulting in a much more polished game at launch – this earned them trust and goodwill. MMO players likewise want to feel heard. Neverwinter's history is mixed here: they did make big changes (like the level cap reduction to 20 to align with D&D rules) which some applauded conceptually <sup>14</sup>, but the execution left some cold (the leveling revamp "misses the mark" in making it fun <sup>12</sup>). They also removed systems like Foundry which caused backlash, though likely for technical reasons. DDO has been generally good at niche fan service – e.g., when players long requested certain classic D&D modules, Standing Stone Games delivered (Ravenloft, Saltmarsh, etc.).

A cautionary example is Sword Coast Legends: post-launch, the devs tried to pivot based on feedback – they announced free DLC to add the Drow race, new missions, and improved DM tools (they even did some of it), but the userbase had largely left and the publisher pulled the plug. The takeaway is **you only get one launch** to capture an audience; massive course-corrections after the fact may come too late if the initial impression was poor. It's better to launch with a solid core and then expand, rather than launch half-baked hoping to fix later.

**Monetization Shifts:** Evolution isn't just content – it can be business model changes too. DDO's F2P transition in 2009, for instance, actually revitalized the game by bringing in new players. But any time monetization is tweaked, there's risk. Idle Champions adding a Season Pass was a monetization evolution that got mixed reactions (some knee-jerk hate, some acceptance that it's cosmetic) <sup>70</sup>. Neverwinter adding a Battle Pass-like system in recent years similarly drew some grumbles but is par for the MMO course now. The key is to ensure these changes **add value** and don't negate players' past investments. One reason Neverwinter's level squish (80 down to 20) got flak is it instantly invalidated years of progress and gear for veterans (one returning player found his old level 50 character now level 20 and locked out of content due to low gear score – "I don't feel like doing it all over again" he said <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>). That kind of evolution can drive loyal players away. Thus, any major systemic changes (levels, stats, etc.) in a live MMO must be handled with extreme care and generous compensation to avoid the perception of "the grind I did was pointless."

**Community and Population Trends:** A game's evolution is also marked by how it handles population ups and downs. Neverwinter and DDO have smaller pops compared to giants, but they fostered *niche* 

communities that are self-sustaining. Both games eventually merged servers or took measures to ensure players could still find groups. The introduction of *alliances* in Neverwinter (allowing multiple guilds to chat and team up) is one smart adaptation to a shrinking community – and players indeed noted that it helped keep social activity high. Idle Champions, being single-player, sidestepped this, but it has to fight "player fatigue" as an idle game – hence the layering of more features to keep the hardcore engaged. A new MMO should plan for the long game: maybe launch with multiple servers, but have tech ready for cross-server play or mergers if needed. Showing the community that the game can adapt to population changes (without harming remaining players' experience) will bolster confidence.

**End-of-Life and Legacy:** It's worth noting how games end or persist. Dark Sun Online (1996) ended after 2 years due to its platform shutting down and technical woes <sup>91</sup>. Dark Alliance (2021) is effectively a dead game now; servers still exist for co-op, but no further support – it will be remembered mostly for its failure. In contrast, NWN from 2002 still has active players due to community-run servers and the Enhanced Edition support. Even if one day official servers of an MMO close, having tools for players to host or continue the game (if feasible) leaves a better legacy. City of Heroes' example of private servers resurrecting a game shows that passionate communities don't want their worlds to vanish. While not immediately relevant, thinking about mod support or private shards (maybe far down the line) could be something a D&D MMO considers to preserve its world as long as there are fans.

In essence, players generally **love** a game that shows *growth* and *respect for its community over time*. When they see new adventures coming, their favorite classes getting love, and their feedback acknowledged, they stick around and even return after breaks. Conversely, if a game is stagnant, or makes changes that feel like a betrayal (paywalls, drastic unwanted revamps), players will voice their discontent or leave. The D&D brand especially carries an expectation of *continual storytelling* – just as tabletop campaigns evolve week after week, year after year, a D&D MMO should aim to deliver ongoing tales and improvements for the long haul.

#### Conclusion

Across these officially licensed D&D online and co-op games, player feedback converges on several clear themes. When the games succeeded, it was because they captured the *spirit of D&D*: offering deep character customization, cooperative adventuring, imaginative worlds and the freedom for players to shape their experience (whether through choices, user-generated content, or roleplay). Players loved feeling truly *immersed* in the lore of the Forgotten Realms or Eberron, teaming up with friends (or a friendly DM) to conquer dungeons, and having the ability to continue adventuring through community creations or steady official expansions.

When the games fell short, it was often due to deviations from those values – shallow or restrictive gameplay that didn't feel like D&D, aggressive monetization that broke immersion or fairness, technical failures that undermined epic adventures, or neglect of social and creative features that normally bind the D&D community. Broken promises (as with Sword Coast Legends' DM mode) or blatant cash-grabs (Neverwinter's early P2W store) not only drew player ire but also serve as warnings for future developers.

For a new MMORPG set in the D&D universe, the implications are straightforward: **embrace what makes D&D unique and beloved**, and avoid the pitfalls that players have repeatedly called out. That means designing robust gameplay systems that reward creativity and teamwork, implementing a fair business model that players can respect, ensuring the game is polished and secure, empowering the community

with tools (for content creation, guilds, events, etc.), crafting a world rich with story and things to explore, and committing to a long-term evolution that listens to player feedback.

As seen with the enduring popularity of titles like Neverwinter Nights and the recent triumph of Baldur's Gate 3, if you give players a compelling world and the freedom to enjoy it together – **and** treat them fairly – they will happily adventure in your realms for years, creating their own legends along the way. In short, the next D&D MMO should aim to be the platform where the *best* of tabletop meets the *best* of online gaming. Achieve that, and player love (and not hate) will be the common theme of its story.

**Sources:** The analysis above incorporates feedback and examples from a variety of player and critic perspectives, including forum posts, reviews, and articles for each game: e.g., **MMORPG.com's Neverwinter review** noting pros like setting and cons like pay-to-win 92 7, a **Reddit Q&A on Sword Coast Legends** highlighting the broken promises of its DM mode 38 40, a **GameSpot review of Baldur's Gate 3** praising its characters, combat and co-op 32, among many others as cited in the text above. These community insights and critiques form the basis of the summarized themes. The goal has been to preserve players' authentic praise and criticism – from lauding Neverwinter Nights' "twenty years" of longevity due to giving players the "reigns to do as they dream" 26, to lamenting Neverwinter Online's grind "that will take years... without spending money" 9 – in order to guide the development of a new, beloved D&D MMORPG.

1 4 5 6 8 72 73 74 77 85 Neverwinter: The Good, The Bad, and The Very Ugly — MMORPG.com Forums

https://forums.mmorpg.com/discussion/384956/neverwinter-the-good-the-bad-and-the-very-ugly

2 3 7 10 11 12 13 14 62 64 65 66 67 68 69 78 86 87 88 90 92 Neverwinter Re-Review | MMORPG.com

https://www.mmorpg.com/reviews/neverwinter-re-review-2000128555

9 Pros and Cons of Neverwinter?: r/MMORPG - Reddit

https://www.reddit.com/r/MMORPG/comments/6bj3gk/pros\_and\_cons\_of\_neverwinter/

15 16 17 18 19 22 61 80 Dungeons & Dragons Online Review: Is DDO Worth Playing In 2024? https://mmorpg.gg/ddo-review-2021/

20 How do the vets of DDO feel about new players and their incessant ...

https://www.reddit.com/r/ddo/comments/los52j/how\_do\_the\_vets\_of\_ddo\_feel\_about\_new\_players\_and/

<sup>21</sup> <sup>81</sup> Everything I can think of that's wrong with DDO.. from a FAN :: Dungeons & Dragons Online® Discussioni generali

https://steamcommunity.com/app/206480/discussions/0/558754899307379180/?l=italian&fp=2&ctp=14

23 24 71 79 83 Why all of the DDO hate? - Page 4 — MMORPG.com Forums

https://forums.mmorpg.com/discussion/118329/why-all-of-the-ddo-hate/p4

<sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup> <sup>29</sup> This Game is The Best and Worst. :: Neverwinter Nights: Enhanced Edition General Discussions

https://steamcommunity.com/app/704450/discussions/0/3266808619811699469/

Neverwinter Nights is dirt cheap, but the reviews are "bad"?, page 1 - Forum - GOG.com https://www.gog.com/forum/general/neverwinter\_nights\_is\_dirt\_cheap\_but\_the\_reviews\_are\_bad

32 33 Baldur's Gate 3 Review - Let Freedom Reign - GameSpot				
https://www.gamespot.com/reviews/baldurs-gate-3-review-let-freedom-reign/1900-6418131/				
34 Baldur's Gate 3 Co-Op Tested My Real-Life Friendships But Now Is				
https://screenrant.com/baldurs-gate-3-coop-multiplayer-tested-friendships-bg3/				

Immersion breaking coop, bad coop system :: Baldur's Gate 3 ... https://steamcommunity.com/app/1086940/discussions/1/3808408328768650836/

36 38 39 40 41 42 44 Why do so many people hate Sword Coast Legends? : r/DnD https://www.reddit.com/r/DnD/comments/3qtvt3/why\_do\_so\_many\_people\_hate\_sword\_coast\_legends/

37 Sword Coast Legends - Review @ HardcoreGamer - RPGWatch https://rpgwatch.com/forum/threads/sword-coast-legends-review-hardcoregamer.31213/

43 Is it really that bad? :: Sword Coast Legends General Discussions https://steamcommunity.com/app/325600/discussions/0/451851477866975384/

45 46 47 51 52 53 54 Dungeons & Dragons: Dark Alliance Review - Gamecritics.com https://gamecritics.com/c-j-salcedo/dungeons-dragons-dark-alliance-review/

48 49 50 Dungeons and Dragons: Dark Alliance is truly, truly awful (Review) | Skill Up : r/Games https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/o4ypx0/dungeons\_and\_dragons\_dark\_alliance\_is\_truly\_truly/

- Dungeons & Dragons: Dark Alliance Review Lacking in initiative https://checkpointgaming.net/reviews/2021/06/dungeons-dragons-dark-alliance-review-lacking-in-initiative/
- When to say goodbye to a game? Chasing Dings! https://chasingdings.com/2022/07/09/when-to-say-goodbye-to-a-game/
- 57 58 59 70 Is this game Pay to Win? :: Idle Champions of the Forgotten Realms General Discussions https://steamcommunity.com/app/627690/discussions/0/3376032962072508340/
- This game requires far too much manual intervention and grinding ... https://www.reddit.com/r/idlechampions/comments/z5anbk/this\_game\_requires\_far\_too\_much\_manual/
- 63 Neverwinter Game Review MMOs.com

https://mmos.com/review/neverwinter

75 76 91 The Game Archaeologist: Dark Sun Online | Massively Overpowered https://massivelyop.com/2015/10/10/the-game-archaeologist-dark-sun-online/

82 DDO 2024: In Review | Dungeons & Dragons Online Forums https://forums.ddo.com/index.php?threads/ddo-2024-in-review.16117/

89 Wow, people are just as bad in ddo as they are in wow, just not as in ... https://forums.mmorpg.com/discussion/302438/wow-people-are-just-as-bad-in-ddo-as-they-are-in-wow-just-not-as-in-a-massive-amount