

# **PeaPod - Design Report**

Primary Written Deliverable for the Deep Space Food Challenge Phase 1

Jayden Lefebvre - Lead Engineer  
jayden.lefebvre@mail.utoronto.ca

Nathan Chareunsouk, Navin Vanderwert, Chris Lansdale - Design Engineers

Revision 0.1

University of Toronto Agritech

June 9th, 2021

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Design Abstract</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Design Report</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Description . . . . .	2
2.2	Innovation . . . . .	2
2.3	Adherence to Constraints . . . . .	2
2.4	Performance Criteria . . . . .	2
2.4.1	Acceptability . . . . .	2
2.4.2	Safety . . . . .	2
2.4.3	Resource Inputs and Outputs . . . . .	2
2.4.4	Reliability and/or Stability . . . . .	3
2.5	Terrestrial Potential . . . . .	3
2.5.1	Customer-facing Food Service . . . . .	3
2.5.2	Crowdsourced Research . . . . .	3
2.5.3	De-centralized Production . . . . .	4

# **1 Design Abstract**

## **2 Design Report**

### **2.1 Description**

An automated and isolated aeroponic crop growth system, able to generate any environment from a combination of independent environment parameters, with both environment and crop growth data collection.

Operations concept follows Figure 1:

### **2.2 Innovation**

Wide, continuous, precise control Environment optimization for output metrics

### **2.3 Adherence to Constraints**

Power Consumption Heating/cooling Lighting

### **2.4 Performance Criteria**

#### **2.4.1 Acceptability**

**Process**

**Food Products**

#### **2.4.2 Safety**

**Process**

**Products**

#### **2.4.3 Resource Inputs and Outputs**

**Inputs**

## **Outputs**

## **Optimization**

### **2.4.4 Reliability and/or Stability**

#### **Process Reliability Input and Output Stability**

## **2.5 Terrestrial Potential**

### **2.5.1 Customer-facing Food Service**

At present, a restaurant requires either a local supplier or a substantial amount of outdoor space (and labour) to serve fresh produce. Both of these are cost-prohibitive, and the latter is entirely impossible in many situations. Local suppliers' high costs are the result of a few things:

- Limited seasonal availability
- Frequent transport need
- High costs with little demand

PeaPod has the potential to reduce these barriers in a cyclic way. Partnerships between local suppliers and restaurants will provide these restaurants with space- and time-efficient PeaPod units with the purpose of generating both produce and data. The increase in produce will reduce the frequency at which suppliers need to make deliveries, while the data produced will let suppliers maximize output. Over time, this can increase efficiency to the point where local suppliers can provide produce at a lower price.

### **2.5.2 Crowdsourced Research**

Due to PeaPod's automated nature, off-site research is a feasible method of collecting data. As a result, universities and other institutions can save costs related to space and energy usage by subsidizing PeaPods to consumers, schools, or even restaurants. Users would receive sets of parameters within which to grow crops, and the data would be sent back to the institution. The user can use the produce, at the cost of space and energy, while the institution continues to provide

parameters with which to grow. The end result is a massive set of data, conducted in identical conditions in different places, verified by comparison with the myriad devices conducting the same tests.

### **2.5.3 De-centralized Production**

Many crops are only feasible in certain climates, making global transport a necessity to sell them worldwide. This reduces freshness, necessitates various preservatives, and increases carbon consumption. By upscaling PeaPod technology to a farm scale, it becomes possible to produce climate-bound crops in any location. This creates region-based farms that can produce a tremendous variety of crops, vastly reducing transport needs and making it easier to have a local food diet.