

1. The solution to HDTLV system is given by $\mathbf{x}(t) = \phi(t, t_0) \mathbf{x}_0$, $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > t_0$
 where $\phi(t, t_0) := \begin{cases} I & t = t_0 \\ A(t-1)A(t-2)\cdots A(t_0+1)A(t_0), & t > t_0 \end{cases}$, because $\phi(t+1, t_0) = A(t) \phi(t, t_0)$.

And for DLTI system the state transition matrix is simply given by:
 $\phi(t, t_0) = A^{t-t_0}$ since $A(t) := A$.

Going back to the nonhomogeneous case, to verify this conclusion, note that at $t=t_0$ we get $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$. Taking $t=t+1$ of each side with respect to time, we obtain: $\mathbf{x}(t+1) = \phi(t+1, t_0) \mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t+1} \phi(t+1, \tau+1) B(\tau) u(\tau)$

$$= A(t) \phi(t, t_0) \mathbf{x}_0 + \phi(t+1, t+1) B(t) u(t) + A(t) \sum_{\tau=t_0}^{t+1} \phi(t, \tau+1) B(\tau) u(\tau)$$

$$= A(t) \mathbf{x}(t) + B(t) u(t)$$
, which verifies the conclusion in the question. And the expression for $y(t)$ is obtained by direct substitution of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ in $y(t) = C \mathbf{x}(t) + D u(t)$.

2. If $J = PAP^{-1}$ be the jordan normal form of $A \Rightarrow A = P^{-1}JP$,

$A^t = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} J_1^t & & & \\ & J_2^t & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_n^t \end{bmatrix} P$, where J_i are the Jordan blocks of A and

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow J_i^t = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i^t & t\lambda_i^{t-1} & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-2}}{(t-2)! 2!} & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-3}}{(t-3)! 3!} & \cdots & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-n_i+1}}{(t-n_i+1)! (n_i-1)!} \\ 0 & \lambda_i^t & t\lambda_i^{t-1} & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-2}}{(t-2)! 2!} & \cdots & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-n_i+2}}{(t-n_i+2)! (n_i-2)!} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i^t & t\lambda_i^{t-1} & \cdots & \frac{t! \lambda_i^{t-n_i+3}}{(t-n_i+3)! (n_i-3)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t! \lambda_i^{t-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i^t \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence :

- ① When all the eigenvalues of A have magnitude strictly smaller than 1, then $J_i^t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore $A^t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $x(t) = A^{t-t_0}x_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence the H-DLTI system is asymptotically stable.
- ② When all the eigenvalues of A have magnitude smaller or equal to 1 and all the Jordan blocks corresponding to eigenvalues with magnitude equal to 1 are $|X|$, then all the J_i^t remain bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and consequently, A^t remains bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $x(t) = A^{t-t_0}x_0$ is uniformly bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence the H-DLTI system is marginally stable.
- ③ Since when "2" A^{t-t_0} converge to zero exponentially fast and therefore $\|A^t\|$ converges to zero exponentially fast (for every matrix norm); i.e. there exist constants $c, \lambda > 0$ such that $\|A^t\| \leq c\lambda^t, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, for a submultiplicative norm, we have:
$$\|x(t)\| = \|A^{t-t_0}x_0\| \leq \|A^{t-t_0}\| \|x_0\| \leq c\lambda^{t-t_0} \|x_0\|, \forall t \geq t_0$$
, this means that asymptotic stability and exponential stability are equivalent concepts for H-DLTI systems and "2":= "3".
- ④ When at least one eigenvalue of A has magnitude larger than 1 or magnitude equal to 1, but the corresponding Jordan block is longer than $|X|$, then A^t is unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence $x(t) = A^{t-t_0}x_0$ is unbounded and the system is unstable.

3. The equivalence between condition 1, 2, and 3 has already been proved in "2". We prove that condition 2 \Rightarrow condition 4 by showing that the unique solution is given by $P := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} A^T Q A^t$. To verify this is so, four steps are needed.

① The sum is well defined (i.e., it is finite). This is a consequence of the fact that the system is exponentially stable, and therefore $\|A^T Q A^t\|$ converges to zero exponentially fast as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

② The matrix P solves the equation. To verify this, we compute

$$A^T P A - P = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (A^T A^T Q A^t A - A^T Q A^t).$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (A^{T(t+1)} Q A^{t+1} - A^T Q A^t)$$

$$= A^T Q A^t \Big|_0^{\infty} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A^T Q A^t - A^{T(0)} Q A^0. \text{ Since } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A^t = 0$$

because of asymptotic stability and that $A^0 = I \Rightarrow A^T P A - P = -Q$.

③ The matrix P is symmetric and positive-definite. Symmetry comes from the fact that $P^T = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (A^T Q A^t)^T = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} A^{Tt} Q^T A^t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} A^{Tt} Q A^t = P$. To check that P is positive-definite, we pick an arbitrary (constant) vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

and compute $z^T P z = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} z^T A^{Tt} Q A^t z = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} w(t)^T Q w(t)$, where $w(t) := A^t z$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Since Q is positive-definite, we conclude that $z^T P z \geq 0$.

Moreover, $z^T P z = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} w(t)^T Q w(t) = 0$, which can only happen if $w(t) = A^t z = 0$, $\forall t \geq 0$, from which one concludes that $z = 0$, because A^t is nonsingular. Therefore P is positive-definite.

④ No other matrix solves this equation. To prove this by contradiction, assume that there exists another solution \bar{P} ; i.e., $A^T \bar{P} A - \bar{P} = -Q$ and

$A^T \bar{P} A - \bar{P} = -Q$. Then $A^T(P - \bar{P})A - (P - \bar{P}) = 0$. Multiplying this equation on the left and right by A^{Tt} and A^t , respectively, we conclude that $A^{Tt}A^T(P - \bar{P})AA^t - A^{Tt}(P - \bar{P})A^t = 0, \forall t \geq 0$. On the other hand, $A^{T(t+1)}(P - \bar{P})A^{t+1} - A^{Tt}(P - \bar{P})A^t = \Delta(A^{Tt}(P - \bar{P})A^t) = 0$, and therefore $A^{Tt}(P - \bar{P})A^t$ must remain constant for all times. But, because of stability, this quantity must converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so it must be always zero. Since A^t is nonsingular, this is possible only if $P = \bar{P}$.

The implication that condition 4 \Rightarrow condition 5 follows immediately, because if we select $Q = I$ in condition 4, then the matrix P that solves (3) also satisfies (4).

To prove that condition 5 \Rightarrow condition 2, let P be a symmetric positive-definite matrix for which (4) holds and let $Q := -(A^T P A - P) > 0$. Consider the evolution of the signal $v(t) = X(t)^T P X(t)$, $\forall t \geq t_0$. In this case, along solutions to the system, we have $v(t+1) = X'(t+1)^T P X(t+1) = X'(t)^T A^T P A X(t)$, and (3) guarantees that $v(t+1) = X'(t)^T (P - Q) X(t) = v(t) - X'(t)^T Q X(t)$, $\forall t \geq 0$. From this we conclude that $v(t)$ is nonincreasing and, with a little more effort, that it actually decreases to zero exponentially fast.

$$\begin{aligned}
 4. \text{ Since } \phi(0, t) = \phi(t, 0) &= \frac{1}{e^{-t} \cos^2 t + e^{-t} \sin^2 t} \begin{bmatrix} e^{2t} \cos 2t & -e^{2t} \sin 2t \\ e^{2t} \sin 2t & e^{2t} \cos 2t \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} \cos 2t & -e^{-t} \sin 2t \\ e^{2t} \sin 2t & e^{2t} \cos 2t \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \phi(t, t_0) = \phi(t, 0) \phi(0, t_0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{t \cos zt} & e^{-zt} \sin zt \\ -e^{t \sin zt} & e^{zt} \cos zt \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t_0} \cos zt_0 & -e^{-t_0} \sin zt_0 \\ e^{zt_0} \sin zt_0 & e^{zt_0} \cos zt_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \phi(t, t_0) \Rightarrow A(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \phi(t, t_0) \phi(t_0, t)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \phi(t, t_0) \phi(t_0, 0) \phi(0, t)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^{t \cos zt} - ze^{t \sin zt} & ze^{-zt} \sin zt + ze^{-zt} \cos zt \\ -e^{t \sin zt} - ze^{t \cos zt} & -ze^{-zt} \cos zt - ze^{-zt} \sin zt \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{t \cos zt} & -e^{t \sin zt} \\ e^{zt \sin zt} & e^{zt \cos zt} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3 \sin^2 zt & z - 3 \sin zt \cos zt \\ -(z + 3 \sin zt \cos zt) & 1 - 3 \cos^2 zt \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad |\lambda I - A| = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda - 1 - 3 \sin^2 zt)(\lambda - 1 - 3 \cos^2 zt) + (z - 3 \sin zt \cos zt)(z + 3 \sin zt \cos zt) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda - 1)^2 + 3(\lambda - 1) + (3 \sin zt \cos zt)^2 + 4 - (3 \sin zt \cos zt)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda - 1)^2 + 3(\lambda - 1) + 4 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda - 1 = \frac{-3 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2}$$

\textcircled{4} Since all the eigenvalues of \$A(t)\$ have strictly negative real parts, the system is exponentially (asymptotically) stable.

$$5. (a) e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} (A)^k. \text{ Since } A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$e^{At} = I + At = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow e^{At} b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(b) W_R[0,1] = \int_0^1 e^{At} b (e^{At} b)^T dt = \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t & 1 \end{bmatrix} dt = \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t \\ t & 1 \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3}t^3 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X_f = W_R[0,1] \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha = W_R[0,1]^{-1} X_f$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = 12 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -6 \\ -6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = 12\sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) u(t) = b^T e^{A(t-t)} \alpha = [0, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix} / 12\sqrt{2}$$

$$= [-t, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix} / 12\sqrt{2} = 12\sqrt{2}(9 - qt - \frac{5}{2})$$

$$= 12\sqrt{2}(4 - qt)$$

$u(t) = b^T e^{A(t-t)} \alpha \Rightarrow \int_0^1 u(t)^2 dt = \int_0^1 \|b^T e^{A(t-t)} \alpha\|^2 dt = \alpha^T W_R[0,1] \alpha$, which is the energy required for the minimum-energy control. Hence $u(t)$ is the minimum-energy control.

$$6. C = [B \ AB \ A^2B \ A^3B]$$

①

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z\omega \\ 0 & 1 \\ -z\omega & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\omega^2 & 0 & 0 & z\omega \\ 0 & -\omega^2 & 0 & z\omega \\ 0 & -z\omega & 0 & 1 \\ -6\omega^3 & -z\omega & 0 & -4\omega^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z\omega \\ -\omega^2 & z\omega \\ -z\omega & 1 \\ -z\omega & -4\omega^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w^2 & 0 & zw \\ -3w^4 & -4w^3 & 0 & 2w^3 + zw \\ -6w^3 & -zw & 0 & 1 - 4w^2 \\ -6w^3 & zw^3 & zw & 1 + 8w^2 \end{bmatrix}, A^3 B = \begin{bmatrix}$$

Hence $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & zw & -w^2 & zw \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & zw & -w^2 & zw & -4w^2 & -zw^3 + zw \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -zw & 1 & -zw & 1 - 4w^2 \\ -zw & 1 & 0 & 1 & -zw & -4w^3 & 1 & zw^3 - zw + 8w^2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{rank}(C) = 4 = n$, hence the system is controllable.

② When the radial thruster fails, then $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & zw & 0 & zw \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & zw & 0 & zw & 0 - zw^3 + zw \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 - 4w^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -4w^2 & 0 & 1 + 8w^2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{rank}(C) = 4 = n, \text{ hence the system is still controllable.}$$

When the tangential thruster fails, then $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & 1 & 0 & 0 & b - w^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -w^2 & 0 - 4w^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & zw & 0 - zw & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -zw & 0 & -zw & 0 & zw^3 - zw \end{bmatrix}, \text{rank}(C) = 3 < 4 = n, \text{ hence the system is not controllable.}$$

3. Since $\text{rank}(A=3)$, hence $\lambda=0$ is one eigenvalue of A , which means the system can't be asymptotically stable.

7. We should prove that $\text{rank}[A - \lambda I \ B] = nk, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$[A - \lambda I \mid B] = \begin{bmatrix} -(x+d_1)I_{K \times K} & -d_2 I_{K \times K} & \cdots & -d_{n+1} I_{K \times K} & -d_n I_{K \times K} & I_{K \times K} \\ I_{K \times K} & -\lambda I_{K \times K} & \cdots & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \\ 0_{K \times K} & I_{K \times K} & \cdots & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & \cdots & I_{K \times K} & -\lambda I_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \end{bmatrix}_{nK \times (n+1)K}$$

By Using Gaussian Elimination, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & \cdots & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & I_{K \times K} \\ I_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & \cdots & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \\ 0_{K \times K} & I_{K \times K} & \cdots & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & \cdots & I_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} & 0_{K \times K} \end{bmatrix}_{nK \times (n+1)K}$$

, it's obvious that the matrix have K zero columns and nK linear independent columns, which means the rank is nK .

Hence $\text{Rank}[A - \lambda I \mid B] = nK, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, such a system is always controllable.