

Exercise 1. SUBSPACE SUM

Suppose U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m are subspaces of a vector space V . The (subspace) sum of U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m , denoted by $U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ is defined to be the set of all possible sums from elements of U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m :

$$U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m = \{u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_m : u_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m\}$$

(NB: This is not to be confused with the (subspace) direct sum $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m = \{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : u_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m\}$.)

1.) Is $U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ a subspace of V ?

2.) Prove or give a counterexample: if U_1, U_2, W are subspaces of V such that $U_1 + W = U_2 + W$, then $U_1 = U_2$.

1.) Yes. It's clear that $U_1 + \dots + U_m \subseteq V$, because U_i is subspace. And for any $u_i \in U_i$, we have $u_{sum} = u_1 + \dots + u_m \in U_{sum} = U_1 + \dots + U_m$. If U_{sum} is a subspace of V , the vector u_{sum} in it should satisfy:
 ① $\forall \alpha, \beta \in U_{sum}, \alpha + \beta \in U_{sum}$ ② $\forall \alpha \in U_{sum}, \lambda \in F, \lambda \alpha \in U_{sum}$
 ③ $0 \in U_{sum}$, according to linear algebra.
 Since U_i is subspace, so let $u_i = 0$, we have $0 + \dots + 0 = 0 \in U_1 + \dots + U_m$, which satisfies "③".
 Since $u_{sum}^{\alpha} + u_{sum}^{\beta} = u_{\alpha}^1 + \dots + u_{\alpha}^m + u_{\beta}^1 + \dots + u_{\beta}^m = (u_{\alpha}^1 + u_{\beta}^1) + \dots + (u_{\alpha}^m + u_{\beta}^m)$ and U_i is a subspace, so $u_{\alpha}^i + u_{\beta}^i \in U_i$ ($u_{\alpha}^i, u_{\beta}^i \in U_i$), let $u_{\alpha}^i + u_{\beta}^i = u_{\alpha\beta}^i$, hence $u_{sum}^{\alpha} + u_{sum}^{\beta} = u_{\alpha\beta}^1 + \dots + u_{\alpha\beta}^m \in U_1 + \dots + U_m = U_{sum}$, i.e., for $\forall \alpha, \beta \in U_{sum}, \alpha + \beta \in U_{sum}$, which satisfies "①".
 Since $\lambda(u_1 + \dots + u_m) = \lambda u_1 + \dots + \lambda u_m$ ($\lambda \in F$) and $\lambda u_i \in U_i$, hence $\lambda(u_1 + \dots + u_m) = \lambda u_1 + \dots + \lambda u_m \in U_1 + \dots + U_m = U_{sum}$, i.e., for $\forall \alpha \in U_{sum}, \lambda \in F$, we have $\lambda \alpha \in U_{sum}$, which satisfies "②".

So in conclusion, $U_1 + \dots + U_2 + \dots + U_m$ is a subspace of V .
 2) Proof: As we all know, a vector space is a subspace of itself. Assume that U_1 and U_2 are different subspaces of W , which are also subspaces of V . Hence according to question 1), we have:

$$\begin{cases} U_1 \subseteq W \subseteq V \\ U_2 \subseteq W \subseteq V \\ U_1 \neq U_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} U_1 + W = W \text{ is a subspace of } W \\ U_2 + W = W \text{ is a subspace of } W \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $U_1 + W = U_2 + W$, but $U_1 \neq U_2$, which means " $=$ " is not true if W has at least two different subspaces, such as $W = \mathbb{R}^3$.

Exercise 2. NORMS

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Prove that the following are norms:

$$1.) (\ell^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\text{-Norm}) \|x\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|;$$

$$2.) (L^\infty([0, 1])\text{-Norm}) \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)|.$$

Proof: A norm should satisfy: $\|x\| \geq 0, \forall x \in V, \|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|ax\| = |\alpha| \|x\|, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in V \\ \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|, \forall x, y \in V \end{array} \right.$$

1.) ① It's clear that $\sum_i |x_i| \geq 0, \forall x \in V$ and " $=$ " iff $x = 0$.

$$\textcircled{2} \|ax\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |ax_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha| |x_i| = |\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = |\alpha| \|x\|_1, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \|x+y\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i+y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i| + |y_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|$$

$$= \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1, (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

So in conclusion, $\|x\|_1$ is a norm.

2.) ① It's clear that $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)| \geq 0$ and " $=$ " iff $f(t) \equiv 0$.

$$\textcircled{2} \|af\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |af(t)| = |\alpha| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)| = |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty$$

$$\textcircled{3} \|f_1 + f_2\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f_1(t) + f_2(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} (|f_1(t)| + |f_2(t)|) = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f_1(t)| + \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f_2(t)| = \|f_1\|_\infty + \|f_2\|_\infty$$

So in conclusion, $\|f\|_\infty$ is a norm.

Exercise 3. COMPARING NORMS

Prove the inequality on the norms of \mathbb{R}^n , $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_2$.

Proof: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{xx^T} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \|x\|_1 \quad (1)$

Consider the Cauchy Inequality: $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$, let $y = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| \leq \|x\| \|y\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{n \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \leq \sqrt{n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \Rightarrow \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2 \quad (2)$$

(1) is clear because $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + R (R \geq 0)$

So in conclusion, $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$.

Exercise 4. INNER PRODUCTS

1.) For any finite-dimensional, positive definite matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, prove that $\langle x, y \rangle_Q = x^T Q y$ defines an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

2.) If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines an inner product, then prove that $\sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ defines a norm on V .

Proof: \mathbb{R}^n inner Product should satisfy: $\begin{cases} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \\ \langle ax+by, z \rangle = a\langle x, z \rangle + b\langle y, z \rangle \\ \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \equiv 0 \text{ iff } x = 0 \\ (\forall x, y, z \in V, a, b \in \mathbb{R}) \end{cases}$

1.) $x^T Q y = q_{11}x_1y_1 + q_{12}x_1y_2 + \dots + q_{1n}x_1y_n$ So it's easy to know that if we
+ $q_{21}x_2y_1 + q_{22}x_2y_2 + \dots + q_{2n}x_2y_n$ exchange x and y , the value of
+ ... $+ q_{nn}x_ny_1 + q_{n2}x_ny_2 + \dots + q_{nn}x_ny_n$ $x^T Q y$ won't change, i.e. $x^T Q y = y^T Q x$
Hence, $\langle x, y \rangle_Q = \langle y, x \rangle_Q$.

And $\langle ax+by, z \rangle_Q = (ax+by)^T Q z = z^T Q (ax+by) = z^T Q ax + z^T Q by$
 $= az^T Q x + bz^T Q y = a\langle z, x \rangle_Q + b\langle z, y \rangle_Q = a\langle x, z \rangle_Q + b\langle y, z \rangle_Q$

And $\langle x, x \rangle_Q = x^T Q x$ is a quadratic form of x , since Q is a positive

define matrix, hence $x^T \alpha x \geq 0$, \Leftrightarrow iff $x=0$. By the way, $x, y \in R^n$.
 So in conclusion, $\langle x, y \rangle_\alpha$ defines a inner product on R^n .

2.) let " \leq " to be X , $f(x) = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$:

① since $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$, \Leftrightarrow iff $x=0$, hence $f(x) \geq 0$ and \Leftrightarrow iff $x=0$.

$$\textcircled{2} f(ax) = \sqrt{\langle ax, ax \rangle} = \sqrt{\alpha \langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 \langle x, x \rangle} = |\alpha| \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = |\alpha| f(x)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ Since } \langle x + \lambda y, x + \lambda y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \lambda \langle x, y \rangle + \lambda \langle y, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle y, y \rangle \\ \geq 0 \quad (\forall x, y \in V, \forall \lambda \in C)$$

if $y \neq 0$, let $\lambda = -\frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle}$, we have: $\langle x, x \rangle - \frac{\langle y, x \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle x, y \rangle$

$$- \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle y, x \rangle + \left| \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \right|^2 \langle y, y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - \frac{2 \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} + \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\langle y, y \rangle} \geq 0$$

$$\text{hence } \langle x, x \rangle - \frac{2|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\langle y, y \rangle} + \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\langle y, y \rangle} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \geq |\langle x, y \rangle|^2.$$

$$\text{Since } f(x+y) = \sqrt{\langle x+y, x+y \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle}$$

$$f(x) + f(y) = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} + \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle}$$

$$\text{hence } [f(x+y)]^2 = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$[f(x) + f(y)]^2 = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + 2\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle} \\ \geq \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + 2|\langle x, y \rangle|$$

$$\text{let } \langle x, y \rangle = a + bi, \text{ then } \langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = a - bi$$

Since it's obvious $\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = 2\alpha \leq$

$$2|(x, y)|^2 = 2\sqrt{a^2+b^2} \geq 2|ab|$$

Hence $[f(x+y)]^2 \leq [f(x) + f(y)]^2 \Rightarrow f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$

And if $y=0$, it's obvious that $f(x+0) = f(x) + f(0)$.

So in conclusion, $f(x)$ satisfies all the conditions of a norm, which means $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defines a norm on V .

Exercise 5. LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS I

Given $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, determine if the following maps (involving matrix multiplication) from $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are linear:

- 1.) $X \mapsto AX + XB$;
- 2.) $X \mapsto AX + BXC$; and,
- 3.) $X \mapsto AX + XBX$.

Solution: A linear map should satisfy: $f(\alpha v + \beta u) = \alpha f(v) + \beta f(u)$
 (α, β, v, u)

* let X to be replaced by $\alpha v + \beta u$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.) f(\alpha v + \beta u) &= A(\alpha v + \beta u) + (\alpha v + \beta u)B \\ &= \alpha Av + \beta Au + \alpha vB + \beta uB = \alpha(Av + vB) + \beta(Au + uB) \\ &= \alpha f(v) + \beta f(u), \text{ hence this is a linear map.} \end{aligned}$$

$$2.) f(\alpha v + \beta u) = A(\alpha v + \beta u) + B(\alpha v + \beta u)C$$

$$= \alpha Av + \beta Au + (\alpha Bv + \beta Bu)C$$

$$= \alpha Av + \beta Au + \alpha BvC + \beta BuC$$

$$= \alpha(Av + BvC) + \beta(Au + BuC) = \alpha f(v) + \beta f(u),$$

hence this is also a linear map.

$$\begin{aligned}3.) f(\alpha v + \beta u) &= A(\alpha v + \beta u) + (\alpha v + \beta u)B(\alpha v + \beta u) \\&= \alpha Av + \beta Au + (\alpha v + \beta u)(\alpha Bv + \beta Bu) \\&= \alpha Av + \beta Au + \alpha^2 v Bv + \beta \alpha v Bv + \alpha v \beta Bu + \beta^2 u Bv \\&= \alpha(Av + \alpha v Bv) + \beta(Au + \beta u Bu) + \alpha \beta v Bv + \beta^2 u Bv \\&\neq \alpha f(v) + \beta f(u) = \alpha(Av + v Bv) + \beta(Au + u Bu)\end{aligned}$$

So this is not a linear map.

Exercise 6. RANGE AND NULL SPACES

Given finite dimensional spaces V and W , and a linear operator $A: V \rightarrow W$. Let $\text{im}(A)$ and $\ker(A)$ respectively denote the range space and null space (or kernel) of A . Prove the following:

- 1.) The spaces $\text{im}(A)$ and $\ker(A)$ are subspaces;
- 2.) [Rank-Nullity Theorem] $\dim V = \dim \text{im}(A) + \dim \ker(A)$.

Proof:

1.) $\text{im}(A) = \{w \in W : \text{there exists } v \in V \text{ s.t. } Av = w\}$, since $\text{im}(A) \subseteq W$, hence $\text{im}(A)$ is a subspace of W iff $\begin{cases} \emptyset \neq \text{im}(A), \\ \forall \alpha, \beta \in \text{im}(A), (\alpha + \beta) \in \text{im}(A) \\ \forall \lambda \in F, \lambda \in \text{im}(A), \lambda \alpha \in \text{im}(A) \\ \exists 0 \in \text{im}(A) \end{cases}$

Since $0 \in V$ and $0 \in W$, hence $A0 = 0 \in \text{im}(A)$.

Since $\lambda v \in V, \lambda w \in W$, hence $A(\lambda v) = \lambda w \in \text{im}(A)$. (Assume $Av = w$)

Since $(\alpha v + \beta w) \in V, (\alpha w + \beta v) \in W$, hence $A(\alpha v + \beta w) = (\alpha w + \beta v) \in \text{im}(A)$ (Assume $Av = w_\alpha$, $Bv = w_\beta$)

So in conclusion, $\text{im}(A)$ is a subspace of W .

$\ker(A) = \{v \in V : Av = 0\}$. Since $A0 = 0$, hence $0 \in \ker(A)$. Since $A(\alpha v) = \alpha Av = \alpha 0 = 0$, hence $\alpha v \in \ker(A)$. Since $A\beta = 0, AB = 0 \Rightarrow A(\alpha + \beta) = 0$, hence $\alpha, \beta \in \ker(A), (\alpha + \beta) \in \ker(A)$. So in conclusion, $\ker(A)$ is a subspace.

2)

Assume $\dim(V) = n$, $\dim(W) = m$, so that $A = A_{n \times m}$. We know that $\ker(A) = N(A)$ (nullspace) and $\text{im}(A) = C(A)$ (column space). Hence in order to prove $\dim V = \dim \text{im}(A) + \dim \ker(A)$, we should prove that:

$n = \dim C(A) + \dim N(A)$. Since $\dim C(A) = \text{rank}(A)$ is the number of pivot columns and $\dim N(A)$ is the number of free variables, i.e. the number of non-pivot columns. Hence it obvious that $\dim C(A) + \dim N(A) = n = \text{pivot} + \text{non-pivot columns}$. So in conclusion, we prove $\dim(V) = \dim \text{im}(A) + \dim \ker(A)$.

Exercise 7. MATRIX NORM

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Prove that the induced matrix norm $\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$ and $\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$

$$\text{Proof: } \|AX\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| x_j \leq \|X\|_1 \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}| = \|X\|_1 \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$$

$\because \|A\|_1 = \sup_{X \neq 0} \frac{\|AX\|_1}{\|X\|_1} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$. Now we should prove " $=$ " can be satisfied: assume that when $j=P$, $\frac{\|AX\|_1}{\|X\|_1}$ get the maximum value and let $x_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j=P \\ 0, & j \neq P \end{cases}$ so that $\|X\|_1 = 1$ and $\frac{\|AX\|_1}{\|X\|_1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|}{\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ip}|} = \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$

so that there is at least one X can satisfy $\|A\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$, which means $\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$.

$$2.) \|AX\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| x_j \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j| = \|X\|_\infty \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

Hence $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{X \neq 0} \frac{\|AX\|_\infty}{\|X\|_\infty} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$. Assume $\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{pj}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| = R$ and let $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T$, where:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & a_{pi} \geq 0 \\ -1, & a_{pi} < 0 \end{cases}, \text{ so that } \|x\|_2 = 1 \text{ and } \|Ax\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|_2 \geq \|A\|_2.$$

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} y_j \right| = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{pj}|$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|.$$

So in conclusion, $\|A\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$.

Exercise 8. PSEUDO-INVERSE

Suppose a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is decomposed in the form

$$A = U \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^*$$

where U and V are unitary matrices and Σ is an invertible $r \times r$ matrix (the singular value decomposition could be used to produce such a decomposition). Then the “Moore-Penrose inverse”, or pseudo-inverse of A , denoted by A^+ , can be defined as the $n \times m$ matrix

$$A^+ = V \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^*.$$

(You can invoke it in Matlab with `pinv(A)`)

- 1.) Show that A^+A and AA^+ are symmetric, and that $AA^+A = A$ and $A^+AA^+ = A^+$. (These four conditions actually constitute an alternative definition of the pseudo-inverse.)
- 2.) Show that when A has full column rank then $A^+ = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$ and that when A has full row rank then $A^+ = A^*(AA^*)^{-1}$.
- 3.) Show that of all x that minimize $\|y - Ax\|_2$ (and there will be many if A does not have full column rank), the one with smallest length $\|x\|_2$ is given by $\hat{x} = A^+y$.

Proof:

1.) $(ATA)^* = (V(\Sigma^T 0)U^*U(\Sigma 0)V^*)^*$, since U, V are unitary matrices, i.e. $U^* = U^{-1}$, so that $(ATA)^* = (V(I 0)0V^*)^*$, where I is identity matrix, hence $(ATA)^* = (V^*)^* (I 0) V^* = V(I 0) V^* = ATA$. And for AA^+ , is obvious that we can just exchange V and $\Sigma^T \Sigma$, and hence:
 $(AA^+)^* = (V(I 0)U^*)^* = U(I 0)V^* = AA^+$. So in conclusion, AA^+ and AA^+ are symmetric.

$$\text{And } AAT = U(I 0)U^* \cdot U(\Sigma 0)V = U(\Sigma 0)V = A$$

$$ATA^+ = V(I 0)V^* \cdot V(\Sigma^T 0)U^* = V(\Sigma^T 0)U^* = A^+$$

2) Since $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min(m, n)$, so if A has full column rank, we have $n \leq m$ and $A = U(\Sigma_0) V^*$ ($r=n$), $A^+ = V(\Sigma_0^{-1}) U^*$.

$$(A^T A)^{-1} A^* = (V(\Sigma_0^*) U^* U(\Sigma_0) V^*)^{-1} (V(\Sigma_0^*) U^*) \\ = (V(\Sigma_0^*) U^* U(\Sigma_0^{-1}) V^*) (V(\Sigma_0^*) U^*) = V(\Sigma_0^{-1}) U^* = A^+$$

Similarly, when A has full row column rank, we have:

$$A^*(A A^*)^{-1} = V(\Sigma_0^*) U^* (U(\Sigma_0) V^* V(\Sigma_0^{-1}) U^*)^{-1} \\ = V(\Sigma_0^*) U^* (U(\Sigma_0^{-1}) V^*) (\Sigma_0^{-1} U^*) = V(\Sigma_0^{-1}) U^* = A^+$$

3) minimize $\|y - Ax\|_2 \Leftrightarrow \min_x \|y - Ax\|_2^2 \Leftrightarrow (y - A\hat{x}) \perp A x$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle y - \hat{x}, x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in X \Leftrightarrow (y - A\hat{x})^* A = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^*(y - A\hat{x}) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^* A \hat{x} = A^* y \quad (, \text{ when } A \text{ has full column rank}, \hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^* y)$$

And when A has not full column rank, let $\hat{x} = A^+ y = A^*(A A^*)^{-1} y$, we have $A^* A \hat{x} = A^* A A^*(A A^*)^{-1} y = A^* y$, which is also a solution. So now we should just prove $\|\hat{x}\|_2 \leq \|x^+\|_2$, x^+ is my solution.

$$\begin{cases} A^* A \hat{x} = A^* y \\ A^* A x^+ = A^* y \end{cases} \Rightarrow A^* A (\hat{x} - x^+) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{x} - x^+ \in N(A^* A) = N(A)$$

if $\hat{x} \perp (\hat{x} - x^+)$, we have $\|\hat{x}\|_2^2 = \|\hat{x}\|_2^2 + \|\hat{x} - x^+\|_2^2 \geq \|\hat{x}\|_2^2$. And since from exercise 6) we know that $n = \dim N(A) + \dim C(A)$. Since A and $A^T A$ has the same n, and it's obvious $A^T A \hat{x} = 0$ and $A \hat{x} = 0$ have the same solution, so $\dim C(A)$ and $\dim C(A^T A)$ are the same. Since $\dim C(A) = \dim C(A^T)$, hence $C(A^T A) = C(A^T)$. Since $\hat{x} = A^+ y = A^*(A A^*)^{-1} y$ or $= (A^T A)^{-1} A^*$, so it's obvious that $\hat{x} \in C(A)$. Since $N(A) \perp \text{Row}(A) = C(A)$, so that $\hat{x} \perp (\hat{x} - x^+)$ is true, hence $\|\hat{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|x^+\|_2^2$. So in conclusion, $\hat{x} = A^+ y$ is the solution that has the smallest length.

