# DELIVERING AND MEASURING DATA QUALITY IN UK NATIONAL STATISTICS

# Marta F. Haworth and Jean Martin Office for National Statistics, UK

Email: marta.haworth@ons.gov.uk jean.martin@ons.gov.uk

#### **Abstract**

A new Framework for UK National Statistics was launched in June 2000. Key objectives are to improve the quality, timeliness and relevance of official statistics to customers within government and the wider community, and to demonstrate that the statistics are produced to the best professional standards as well as minimising the burden on those whose supply the information on which they are based. The emphasis on quality presents major challenges to methodologists in developing methods and tools to deliver high quality statistics. The methodologists are also contributing to the development of measures and indicators of quality to establish whether statistical products and services meet the required standards and to evaluate the progress and effectiveness of new developments and quality improvements.

The paper describes the quality strategy for UK National Statistics and the various approaches to delivering quality products and services. A number of specific projects to improve quality and to provide better measures of both output and process quality are described.

Keywords: data quality, process quality, output quality

#### Introduction

Following a wide-ranging public debate about UK official statistics, a Framework for UK National Statistics was launched in June 2000 (http://www.statistics.gov.uk.). The core values are quality, efficiency and public confidence in UK National Statistics. The aim is to provide an accurate, timely, comprehensive and meaningful picture of the economy and society to support public debate, research, decision making and the formulation and monitoring of economic and social policies. The **quality** of National Statistics is thus key to meeting this aim.

This paper describes the methodological work currently being undertaken to deliver and measure data quality for National Statistics. This is set in the context of the overall UK National Statistics Quality Strategy and the Programme of National Statistics Quality Reviews launched in 2000. The measures of data quality include both those for data sources such as surveys and those for more complex outputs. The paper also discusses quality reporting which is being developed within the European quality management framework.

#### **National Statistics**

In the UK National Statistics are produced by staff working both in the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and in other government departments and agencies under the UK devolved statistical system. The term 'National Statistics' refers to the collective set of outputs produced within this system. All public access databases and publications produced by ONS and many key public interest statistics produced by other government departments are included.

#### **UK Statistics Commission**

The UK Statistics Commission has been set up as part of the National Statistics Framework in order to provide independent, reliable and relevant advice on National Statistics and an additional safeguard on the quality and integrity of National Statistics. Its role is to be a source of high quality independent advice on statistical issues. The Commission plays a key role in advising on the quality, quality assurance and priority setting for National Statistics.

#### The Importance of Quality

Since the launch of National Statistics, there have been strong drivers for strengthening the focus on quality. In addition to the implementation of National Statistics Quality Strategy (see below), the UK Statistics Commission has put the measurement and reporting of reliability of National Statistics outputs at the top of the list of issues in which it takes particular interest.

For the UK Office for National Statistics, the implementation of a new business strategy will lead to its transformation to a quality statistical organisation. The key objectives of the new arrangements are to improve quality, timeliness and relevance to customers within both government and the wider community; also to demonstrate that official statistics are produced to the best professional standards while minimising the burden on those who supply the information on which they are based. The strong focus on minimising respondent burden and compliance costs, and on minimising non-response, demands continuing improvement in data collection methods and questionnaire design, and on editing procedures which involve recontacting respondents.

New developments have also been taking place at European level, through the setting up of an EU Leadership Expert Group on Quality. This group has produced specific recommendations on how quality should be taken forward within the European Statistical System (ESS) as a whole. A list of dimensions of output data quality has been developed for use in the ESS. These are:

- Relevance
- Accuracy
- Timeliness
- Accessibility and clarity
- Comparability
- Coherence
- Completeness

From the above it is clear that quality of statistical products has more dimensions then just accuracy and that some dimensions can be difficult to measure. In practice the main emphasis is on accuracy and timeliness which can be measured quantitatively. Other components of the quality may require qualitative assessments.

# **National Statistics Quality Strategy**

The National Statistics Quality Strategy aims to deliver business excellence, to be responsive to user needs, to respect respondents, particularly with regards to maintaining the confidentiality of the information they provide, and to have a strong user focus for National Statistics outputs and services. The strategy has three components:

- Protocols for the National Statistics Code of Practice
- Building quality up front: quality management
- National Statistics quality reviews

More detailed quality strategies and quality plans are being developed as part of implementation of the strategy.

# National Statistics Code of Practice Protocols

A Code of Practice for National Statistics has been drafted and is awaiting ministerial approval. This is to be underpinned by a series of protocols which are currently under development. These are statements which will describe to users the standards they can expect from National Statistics and set out the practice that should be followed by those working to deliver National Statistics.

### Building Quality Up Front: Quality Management

This is at the heart of delivering quality in National Statistics and includes:

- User focus and user consultation
- Quality process design leading to quality results
- Provision of indicators of quality to accompany statistics
- Quality evaluation and reporting
- Review and continuous improvement
- Documentation
- Management tools and the use of the Business Excellence Model
- Risk management

## National Statistics Quality Reviews

A programme of National Statistics Quality Reviews of key outputs has been implemented. The reviews have independent inputs and bring together producers, independent experts and users. The reports of the reviews are published and a formal response on behalf of the National Statistician is also made publicly available. In each case implementation plans are developed by the business area responsible for the relevant statistics. The business areas are responsible for introducing the recommended changes and improvements. The plan is that key reviews will cover all main outputs within a period of about five years.

## **Standardised Tools Leading to Quality Results**

Further initiatives to improve quality include the development and use of common tools and methods which are key ingredients to providing high quality statistics and services. Variation in tools and processes often leads to variation in product characteristics with potential risks to

output quality. The use of common tools improves efficiency while the quality assurance of tools and techniques facilitates documentation, training of new staff, internal staff moves and changes in processes or process re-engineering. ONS is carrying out a major project to improve its statistical infrastructure, standardising the use of best tools and methods to deliver quality results and greater efficiency.

# **Quality improvement initiatives**

In this section we provide some examples of specific initiatives carried out by ONS to improve various aspects of quality.

## Harmonising concepts, definitions and classifications

Some seven years ago ONS embarked on a major initiative to harmonise concepts and definitions in common use on government household surveys. This was in response to the recognition that minor differences between surveys was a threat to coherence of survey estimates from different sources. The differences were also a threat to the quality of information collected in surveys since in general the same interviewers, working on a range of different surveys, had to learn all the minor differences in definitions and individual questions between the different surveys, which increased the chances of some interviewers making mistakes.

The initiative covered the harmonisation of both inputs and outputs. A booklet was produced (GSS, 1996) (now a web publication - ref.) documenting the agreed harmonised concepts and definitions, classifications, individual questions and output variables to be used across all major government surveys. Clearly many surveys had to introduce changes to conform to the agreed harmonised standards. Such surveys are carried out for a range of government departments and by a number of different survey organisations, so getting agreement to changes from the key stakeholders was a major undertaking and implementation had to be phased in gradually depending on the constraints on individual surveys. There are two sets of standard questions: the primary set applies to all surveys (basic demographic information, household composition, economic activity etc) while the secondary set covers those which apply only to particular groups of surveys. Some surveys ask more detailed versions of the questions but need to be able to collapse the detail to form the standard variable which should then be comparable across surveys.

The range of topics covered by the harmonisation initiative has increased over time, with an interdepartmental committee co-ordinating agreement on new areas for harmonisation and implementation arrangements. Further topics for inclusion are under review and updates are agreed when necessary (e.g. to take account of major changes in classifications, external changes which affect questions etc.)

Initially this initiative applied only to household surveys. Attention was, however, paid to designing questions for the 2001 Census so that as far as possible the outputs would be comparable with the harmonised survey definitions, although the different requirements of the Census and the constraints of the short self-completion form used necessitated some compromises.

More recently attention has turned to the business surveys with the acceptance in principle of the goal of having a library of standard definitions and questions for use across the range of surveys. These currently have many minor differences in definitions and questions used for key concepts such as income and turnover. Not only is this inefficient in terms of questionnaire design and processing, but also confusing for the large businesses which are in the samples for a number of different surveys. Thus harmonisation of definitions and questions can be expected to lead to improvements in survey data quality and in the coherence of estimates.

# Improving questionnaire design

ONS, like many other NSIs, set up a cognitive question testing laboratory some years ago. Initially most of the work was carried out to develop and test questions for the 2001 Census and for some household surveys. A well established unit which develops and tests questions and survey instruments for household surveys has been in operation for around six years and attention is now being extended to business surveys, again mirroring experiences in other NSIs. Some early work done on surveys of employers revealed the need to examine not just understanding of questions on forms but the whole process of data collection, from the point of arrival in the organisation of a survey letter or questionnaire, to what the business has to do to supply information and make a return to ONS. A facility to provide expertise in sound principles of question and questionnaire design and testing methods for business surveys is now under development.

A further aspect of questionnaire design for business surveys is the plan to create customised questionnaires which take account of features of the responding unit (industrial sector, size of establishment, information from previous rounds of data collection). This is intended to improve data quality and reduce the burden on respondents by asking them to respond only to relevant questions.

# Improving cost effectiveness of editing

On household surveys the need for and therefore the cost of editing reduced dramatically with the move from paper interviewing instruments to computer assisted interviewing. The computerised interviewing instrument automatically routes interviewers to the next appropriate question; checks on consistency of answers can be programmed to run during the interview leaving only the most complex editing to be carried out in the office. ONS was an early adopted of CAI and had completed the transition of all major surveys to this mode of interviewing by the mid 90s. On business surveys, by contrast, most data collection is still based on paper questionnaires although touch-tone data entry and Internet based data collection are becoming more prevalent. Editing paper questionnaires accounts for a large proportion of business survey costs (up to 40%) and frequently involves re-contacting respondents to check their answers which both takes time and resource and increases the burden on businesses. Thus improving the efficiency of editing without adverse effects on data quality is being given high priority.

ONS has been carrying out work to evaluate the potential of introducing two changes to editing procedures: automatic editing to deal with common, easily recognisable errors; and selective editing to target for editing cases which will have most impact on survey estimates. This approach involves, for each case which fails one or more validation checks, computing a score which is designed to reflect the importance of editing that record. Cases with scores above a pre-

determined threshold are selected for scrutiny and editing (often involving recontacting the business) while those below the threshold are accepted without further scrutiny. Both approaches have been tested and are being implemented on most business surveys in the course of the next few years.

## **Measuring and Reporting Quality**

Measuring quality is important in order to establish whether statistical products and services meet the required standard, to evaluate progress and effectiveness of new developments and for quality improvement programmes. It is also a valuable tool for ensuring that statistical processes meet user needs and priorities, and deliver quality results. Quality reports and declarations are important as a means of communicating the quality standard of statistics, their strengths and limitations.

Measuring quality across statistics is a complex undertaking, with few commonly accepted guidelines or standards. Quality as a concept is not easy to define with clarity. The ESS list of output quality attributes provides a framework for data quality assessment, although for some of the attributes this poses considerable difficulties of measurement. Documenting aspects of survey processes is also a valuable component of quality reporting – the UK has developed a Statistical Quality Checklist (GSS, 1997) to aid producers. This is designed for application mainly in the context of surveys and covers issues which should be addressed in reports: objectives, design, coverage, data collection, processing, estimation and analysis.

In addition, guidelines have been prepared for documenting processes so as to ensure that practices are regularly evaluated and continuously improved. A major new initiative is to build a standards and guidance database: a source of information on current practices for operational use by survey managers and analysts, and as a tool for disseminating and developing guidance on best practice.

In the past measurement of quality concentrated on attempting to estimate accuracy in terms of mean square error - a combination of measures of bias and variance. The estimate of variance is still a key feature of quality measurement but poses major methodological challenges in obtaining robust measures for the more complex statistics. The recognition of the difficulty of measuring other sources of error satisfactorily has lead to attempts to develop measures of less direct aspects of quality, and in particular on the measurement of the quality of processes which are relevant to assessing output quality. The interest in output quality goes beyond surveys and data sources, and encompasses the full range of the National Statistics outputs.

ONS has carried out a number of projects to develop better measures of both output and process quality which are described below.

## Variance estimation for complex statistics

Estimates of sampling variance are recognised measures of the impact of sample design on survey precision and are thus key measures of output quality. However, methodological work in this area faces some difficult challenges. (Platek and Sarndall, 2001). Specifically, variance estimation for the Average Earnings Index, the Index of Production and the Consumer Price

Index (both levels and changes) has to solve complex computational problems and assessing overall accuracy has not been possible, although significant progress has been made on coverage aspects.

# Accuracy Assessment of National Accounts Statistics

There is also a continuing demand for producing quality indicators for National Account statistics. This is a task of even greater complexity. In the UK, the approach has traditionally been based on analysis of revisions; in addition some assessments of relative quality of different National Accounts indicators (usually in terms of banding) have been published in the past.

More recently in response to growing user demands for quality indicators for National Accounts a European Task Force was set up to review accuracy assessment for National Accounts. The European Court of Auditors' proposed measure for the accuracy of GNP was by means of confidence intervals. However, the Task Force concluded that the confidence interval approach was not feasible and instead recommended focusing on quality measurement through processes which lead to the resulting statistical estimates. The Task Force has proposed a tabular analysis which quantifies the adjustments made by type (validation, conceptual, exhaustiveness, balancing) and source (surveys/censures, administrative data, models/extrapolation and other). Specific aspects of compilation are also included. Such tabular analysis has to be completed during compilation of the accounts. The UK is planning to produce such a table for its National Accounts publication for 2002 which will refer to estimates for 2000. Some other European countries will also be piloting this approach. In addition, further work has been done on presenting revision analysis and comparisons of estimates with and without balancing.

## Developing better indicators of process and output quality for surveys

Given the practical and methodological difficulties in constructing comprehensive measures of output quality, ONS, like many other organisations, recognises the importance of measures and indicators of process quality on the basis that these are powerful indicators of the quality of the eventual outputs.

For household surveys ONS has been developing proposals for a number of different indicators of the quality of survey processes. (Haselden and White, 2001). These have been developed following detailed work to identify key processes and the outputs of each process, in order to develop appropriate indicators of the quality of each which might impact either on the next process in sequence or at a later point in the processing chain. Some, like response rates, are quantitative measures but many are qualitative descriptions of the processes undertaken which are likely to have an impact on quality. Examples include:

- Effectiveness of sample design in relation to cost of fieldwork
- Quality of sampling instructions
- Procedures followed to develop and test questions
- Ease for respondents of understanding and answering questions
- Accuracy of programming survey instruments
- Efficiency of allocation of work to interviewers
- Training and monitoring of interviewers
- Response rates

- Interview length
- Efficiency of interviewer work patterns
- Accuracy of data entry and coding

It will be apparent from the list that some indicators will be used mainly within the organisation to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of its survey operations but most will be provided along with other metadata to users of the survey data and results so they are in a position to judge the quality of survey data and its suitability for their intended uses.

A European research project on Model Quality Reports in Business Statistics has been set up to develop guidelines for producing quality reports for business survey outputs. (Eurostat, 1997) The study concluded that quality reports should be organised into three sections; a summary of quality assessments; the more detailed quality report and a description of the survey and its processes. Other recommendations that were made included the following points:

- Both survey managers and methodologists should be involved in the quality assessment work;
- The tools for quality assessment need to be available and shared, to save resources and to ensure that users of different surveys are presented with a similar approach to quality assessment;
- Availability of data is critical. Careful planning is needed to ensure that all of the necessary datasets are available when needed;
- Quality assessment should be part of the results process to ensure that maximum use is made of the information on quality.

The project was set up to develop a detailed description of the methods for assessing the quality of surveys with particular application in the context of business surveys. The approach was to apply these methods in some example surveys to evaluate their quality, and then to produce guidelines for producing quality reports for business survey outputs more generally.

# Defining and measuring non-response

Response rates are one of the most important and widely used measures of survey quality. Response rates are not in themselves direct measures of survey quality (they do not provide measures of bias or variance of estimates) but are important quantitative indicators of quality of survey outputs, but they actually measure the quality of a key part of the survey process rather than outputs. Comparisons hampered by inconsistencies in the ways in which response outcomes are defined and response rates are calculated. Building on work in the US to standardise response rates for RDD telephone of response rates between different surveys, survey organisations and countries has been surveys, in-person household surveys and mail surveys of named persons (AAPOR, 2000), ONS and the National Centre for Social Research have recently completed a project to standardise response outcomes and the calculation of a number of different rates for the types of in-person household surveys common in the UK, and which indicate different aspects of survey quality. These are in the process of being implemented on most major government household surveys. Initial investigations reveal similar problems of lack of standardisation in the definition and calculation of response rates on business surveys so a project has been set up to tackle these next.

#### **Conclusions**

This paper has attempted to describe the new environment for the production of high quality National Statistics for the UK. The increased emphasis on the importance of quality has lead to a number of important initiatives in developing a quality strategy, carrying out a programme of quality reviews, improving the statistical infrastructure in the ONS and in a number of methodological projects aimed at both improving various aspects of quality of statistical outputs and in developing better measures of quality so that users have appropriate information about the quality of our outputs and services.

#### References

AAPOR (2000) Standard Definitions: *Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys*. American Association of Public Opinion Research.

Eurostat (1997) Model Quality Report for Business Statistics. Eurostat.

Government Statistical Service (1997) Statistical Quality Checklist. Office for National Statistics.

Government Statistical Service (1996) Harmonised Concepts and Questions for Government Social Surveys. Office for National Statistics.

Haselden L and White A (2001) *Developing new quality indicators in social surveys*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Quality in Official Statistics, Stockholm, May 14–15 2001.

Platek R and Sandall C-E (2001) Can a Statistician Deliver? *Journal of Official Statistics*, Vol 17, No. 1.

Office for National Statistics (2000) A Framework for National Statistics.