# TeamRoom Plus

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

#### Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project	DFDL 1.0
Meeting Date	10-Jan-12 (Tues)
Meeting Time	15:00 - 16:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 09-Jan-12

#### OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 10 January 2011

#### Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key details.

#### 1. Inconsistent naming of text bidi properties

See e-mail - the names could be more consistent

# **2. Property documentFinalTerminatorCanBeMissing not flexible enough** See e-mail - unable to handle the case where final terminator is tolerated when parsing but must not be output. Does DFDL 1.0 need to handle this?

#### 3. UTF-8 in DFDL really does mean UTF -8 and not CESU-8 etc

See e-mail - needs to be clarified.

#### 4. ISO 10646 on its own not sufficient to represent all characters ?

See e-mail - Mike is proposing some updated words to cover this.

#### 5. Possible change of time for call .

Can attendees make 1 hour later?

6.AOB

#### Minutes

#### **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

#### Attendees

Steve Hanson (IBM) (co-chair)

Apologies

Minutes

**IBM TeamRo** 

#### Meeting closed

#### Next call Tues 17th Jan 2012

#### Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

#### Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

View: ResultDocs

#### Next action: 159

# Actions raised at this meeting

No Action

# **Current Actions:**

No	Action
123	DFDL tutorial (Steve) 13/10: Draft of first 3 chapters has been written and will be distributed to WG 10/11: Posted to grid forge here (http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16106?nav=1), work continuing at IBM to define a standard example-based chapter framework and to author additional chapters. Contributors welcome! 17/11: Steve, Stephanie and Alan had a meeting to discuss the best structure for the tutorial
	and decide which examples to use throughout. The meeting raised more questions. Further

	discussions will be held.
	24:11: The list of topics to be covered in the remaining lessons has been produced and a
	lesson template. Alan will write lesson 4
	01/12: Alan has started lesson 4 which covers fixed and variable fields and arrays.
	08/12: Alan has almost completed lesson 4. Will send out for review. 15/12: First draft of lesson 4 is available for review. Alan to send to Bob and Joe.
	22/12: Alan has distributed drafts for tutorials on Basic Structure and Optional/Repeating
	elements. Please review
	12/01: Alan distributed a tutorial for choices and updated the others. Alan and Steve
	reviewed them and updated versions will be sent soon. Should start on the 'representation'
	tutorials soon.
	19/01: The tutorials for basic structure, optional/arrays and choices have be updated.
	Please review. The tutorial for text elements should be available soon.
	26/01: No comments received about 3 tuorials distributed last week. Alan is still working on
	Text representation.
	02/02: Steve has sent comments on three tutorials. Alan to send updated versions by the
	end of the week. Alan has also distributed the first part of the tutorial on text representation
	and would like feedback.
	09/02: Steve had reviewed tutorials 3,4,5 and updated versions have been distributed. Joe
	reviewed lesson on text elements.
	Main points. Using 'represented as text' is confusing. Examples are too cluttered. Suggest
	simple targeted examples but still build up to final complete schema
	23/02: New versions distributed and Steve has commented.
	02/03: Alan has published the final versions of tutorials 4,5,6 and is working on text
	respresentations. There was some discussion about the detail that needs to be covered.
	Should limit it to 'common usage' and refer to the spec for details of edge cases.
	09/03: Alan distributed an update to the text tutorial. Please review.
	30/03: Steve has spent half a day tidying up lessons 1 to 6 and has uploaded them as pdfs to gridforge. They are now more coherent, and many inconsistencies and errors fixed.
	Ownership of draft lessons (text properties, binary properties, advanced features) has been
	passed to Steve. Also need to make a schema available for the examples.
	13/04: Steve is working on the text properties tutorial.
	04/05: No progress
	18/05: No progress
	01/06: No progress
	08/06: No progress
	15/06: This is on hold until Steve clears up spec issues and other workload. Steph has
	looked at the later lessons, and noted that they are more direct compared to the more wordy
	earlier lessons.
	28/06: On hold.
	28/06: On hold.
	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress 
	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman)
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update
132	<ul> <li>28/06: On hold.</li> <li>29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress</li> <li>10/01: No progress</li> <li>Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman)</li> <li>08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM</li> <li>15/12: no progress</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish.</li> </ul>
132	<ul> <li>28/06: On hold.</li> <li>29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress</li> <li>10/01: No progress</li> <li>Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman)</li> <li>08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM</li> <li>15/12: no progress</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish.</li> <li>19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish</li> </ul>
132	<ul> <li>28/06: On hold.</li> <li>29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress</li> <li>10/01: No progress</li> <li>Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman)</li> <li>08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM</li> <li>15/12: no progress</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish.</li> <li>19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish</li> <li>26/01: no update</li> </ul>
132	<ul> <li>28/06: On hold.</li> <li>29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress</li> <li>10/01: No progress</li> <li>Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman)</li> <li>08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM</li> <li>15/12: no progress</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish.</li> <li>19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish</li> <li>26/01: no update</li> <li>02/02: No update</li> </ul>
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 09/02: no update
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 02/02: No update 09/02: no update
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 02/02: no update 03/02: no update 03/02: no update 03/02: no update
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 02/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. There was discussion about what licence the XSD would be published under and how that would effect
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 02/02: no update 03/02: no update 03/02: no update 03/02: no update
132	28/06: On hold.  29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress  10/01: No progress Publishing DFDL xsd (Suman) 08/12: Agreed that it should be made available. Suman has started the approval process in IBM 15/12: no progress 22/12: no update 12/01: Suman is getting approval from IBM to publish. 19/01: Waiting to get IBM approval to publish 26/01: no update 02/02: No update 02/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. There was discussion about what licence the XSD would be published under and how that would effect use in products. Suman to investigate

	10/04 No			
	13/04: No update.			
	04/05: IBM has permission to release the DFDL model xsds to WG members only, Suman			
	has a couple more changes to make and will send to Steve for review. License clarification needed.			
	18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal. Suman will send Steve the model xsd for review.			
	01/06:			
	08/06. Awaiting response from IBM legal. Suman will send Steve the model xsd for review.			
	15/06: Steve has received the xsds (there are three of them) and will review.			
	28/06: Not reviewed yet			
	05/07: Need to fully understand what WG members are able to do with it. The real			
	usefulness is in other implementers being able to use the xsds to validate DFDL xsds so the			
	license needs to reflect this.			
	12/07: No further progress			
	12/07: No further progress 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to			
	actively use the xsds.			
	26/07: No update			
	16/08: Steve has reviewed and sent comments to Suman. Suman has asked legal to clarify			
	re-distribution rules, taking into account OGF licensing terms and patent filing.			
	23/08: No update			
	30/08: Steve has reviewed words from IBM legal. It looks like that once the xsds are			
	published by OGF then they are free to be used under the normal OGF licensing terms.			
	Steve needs to clear all this with IBM legal in Hursley, as the DFDL mission is owned by			
	Hursley in UK.			
	20/09: Steve has mailed IBM Hursley legal			
	27/09: Steve to meet with IBM Hursley legal			
	4/10: No further progress			
	18/10: Steve has met with legal, can publish the xsds after tidy up. Will create OGF info			
	document.			
	1/11: No further progress			
	29/11: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this			
	6/12: Waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.			
	13/12: Still waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.			
	10/01: IBM legal process complete. Steve has sent xsds to Mike who has reviewed and			
	spotted a couple of errors which Steve has fixed.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Same as 132			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update.         09/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM         process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.         15/06: No change.			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Same as 132 09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though. 13/04: No update. 04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. 18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal. 01/06: 08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing. 15/06: No change. 			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Same as 132 09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though. 13/04: No update. 04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. 18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal. 01/06: 08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing. 15/06: No change.  19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Same as 132 09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though. 13/04: No update. 04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. 18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal. 01/06: 08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing. 15/06: No change.  19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s).			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing .         15/06: No change.            19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s).         26/07: No update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         23/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.         13/04: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM         process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.         15/06: No change.            19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s).         26/07: No update         16/08: Same issue as action 132			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/03: No update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update.         09/03: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM         process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has         the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.         15/06: No change.               19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow         users to actively use the format xsd(s).         26/07: No update         16/08: Same issue as action 132         23/08: No update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/02: no update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update.         09/03: No update.         09/03: No update.         09/03: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM         process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has         the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.         15/06: No change.               19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow         users to actively use the format xsd(s).         26/07: No update         16/08: Same issue as action 132         23/08: No update         30/08: As action 132			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman)         19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to         publish         26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman         02/02: no update         09/03: No update         02/03: Same as 132         09/03: No update.         09/03: No update.         04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM         process to permit publication.         18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.         01/06:         08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has         the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.         15/06: No change.               19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow         users to actively use the format xsd(s).         26/07: No update         16/08: Same issue as action 132         23/08: No update			
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman 02/02: no update 09/02: no update 23/02: no update 02/03: Same as 132 09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though. 13/04: No update. 04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication. 18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal. 01/06: 08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing. 15/06: No change.  19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s). 26/07: No update 16/08: Same issue as action 132 23/08: No update 30/08: As action 132 20/09: Steve has mailed IBM Hursley legal			

	4/10: No further progress					
	18/10: Steve has met with legal, can publish the xsds after tidy up. Will create OGF info document.					
	1/11: No further progress.					
	 29/11: Steve has a version of the default format available, waiting for one last IBM legal					
	procedure.					
	6/12: Waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.					
	13/12: Still waiting for one last IBM legal procedure. OK to review iwhat we have so far though, so Steve sent out an xsd containing a single defineFormat and a single					
	defineEscapeScheme.					
	The spec in section 19 makes it clear that any such xsds are essentially examples, so this					
	xsd will be treated as such. It will be in a 'DFDL example' namespace and we will publish as					
	OGF information document on GridForge. Reviewed the properties and changed occursCountKind to 'parsed'. (Noted that 'implicit' is					
	better but that is subject of an errata not yet folded back into the spec).					
	Stayed with lengthKind 'delimited' with a comma separator so that the xsd would actually					
	model a real single record CSV stream.					
	Steve to improve the example usage section in the prolog to include namespaces and example data, and run some real CSV tests.					
	10/01: IBM legal process complete. Steve has updated the xsd as above and used it to					
	model CSV data. Steve to formalise as an OGF document. Spec issue : Parsing : 'missing' v 'empty', role of initiators , default values (All)					
140	01/06: See minutes.					
_	08/06: Still under discussion. Tim has sent Mike a selection of data formats to guide the					
	discussion.					
	15/06: Not discussed - an extra call has been scheduled to go through this. 28/06: A series of extra calls are being held between Mike, Steve, Tim and Steph.					
	05/07: Next extra call is Wed 6th July - Steve to send invite					
	12/07: Two more calls held. Next call is Wed 13th July.					
	19/07: More calls held, next call is Fri 22nd July. 26/07: More calls held, good progress					
	16/08: Steve will set up next call for when Tim has returned from holiday					
	23/08: Two more calls scheduled for this week, remaining issues: separator suppression,					
	empty strings, sparse arrays (see action 136) 30/08: Call held earlier today. Still remaining - separator suppression (matrix); sparse					
	arrays; empty strings; empty value delimiter policy. Steve to summarise where we have got					
	so far before remaining items are tackled.					
	20/09: Steve has summarised where we are with action 140, which Tim and Mike have					
	reviewed. 2 hours call planned for Thursday. 27/09: Calls held, progressing the separator suppression behaviour					
	4/10: More calls held, progressing separator suppression, sparse arrays (see action 136)					
	and emptyValueDelimiterPolicy behaviour					
	18/10: All issues now in a single document, call held earlier today. Next call Thursday. 1/11: Extra calls still ongoing					
	8/11: Extra calls still going, when action 140 document complete will send to Steph for					
	15/11: Mike is verifying the action 140 conclusions by writing an algorithm in SCALA which can be ultimately be used in Daffodil					
	22/11: Call to be held next week					
	29/11: Next call 30/11					
	6/12: Next call 7/12 13/12: Had call earlier today, making good progress. Next call first week of Jan.					
	10/01: Next call this week					
145	Provide a 'dispatch' way of discriminating a choice for better performance of the					
	envelope/payload use case (Steve, Mike, Suman) 12/7: See minutes. Need to choose a proposal and flesh out.					
	19/07: Waiting for proposals					
	26/07: Waiting for proposals					
	16/08: Waiting for proposals. Suman added to action.					
	1					

	10/01: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this				
46	Model NRL's bit-oriented format where complex repeating element carries a 'last' indicator (Steve, Mike)				
	19/07: NRL to send xsd and example of format.				
	26/07: Steve/Tim put forward a proposal that used a discriminator that looked at the				
	previous item's repeatBit in the array and failed if it was 0. This looks like it will work. Mike				
	to add the correct DFDL annotations to take into account both repeatBit and presentBit in a				
	single discriminator, and auto-set them using outputValueCalc.				
	A more usable solution to the 'last indicator' problem is desirable though. Noted that this has				
	a 'repeatuntil' semantic.				
	16/08: Adam and Ryan to evaluate Steve/Tim proposal as it is potentially fragile relying as it				
	does on backtracking.				
	23/08: Adam and Ryan had meeting with Boyd Fletcher and others, still evaluating to see				
	whether change to spec is needed to handle this better.				
	30/08: No update				
	20/09: Looking like a spec change would be beneficial. Adam will provide details within the				
	next month.				
	27/09: Waiting to hear from NRL. Mike to find out how they are progressing.				
	4/10: Mike will contact NRL to see how things are progressing.				
	18/10: No update - Steve & Mike to chase				
	1/11: No update				
	8/11: Update on NRL via Mike - staffing the team to carry forward the DFDL work 15/11: No update				
	22/11: No update				
	29/11: NSA still expressing an interest in Daffodil				
	6/12: No update				
	13/12: NSA held a meeting with Steve & Mike to discuss their contributing to Daffodil				
	through 2012				
	10/01:				
53	Decide which TZ scheme to adopt to extend dfdl :calendarTimeZone (Steve)				
	18/10: Need to keep as close to ICU as possible as an aid to implementers.				
	1/11: No progress				
	13/12: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this				
	10/01: Steve looking at this now				
56	Errata: Investigate ICU fallback mappings when converting from Unicode (Mike)				
	8/11: Need more information before errata can be taken				
	15/11: Action assigned to Mike				
	22/11: Mike making good progress - will send update by email. Unicode Character Mapping				
	Markup Language might be able to help here.				
	29/11: Mike has sent a detailed V001 proposal via email, Steve will comment and respond.				
	6/12: Steve has reviewed and sent response, as has Tim. Andreas Martens from IBM joined				
	the call as he has a lot of experience with ICU's encoding support and real-world				
	requirements.				
	Mike's proposal is workable but the feeling was that DFDL did not need the complexity of a				
	new annotation type.				
	It was suggested that the following was sufficient: - Parsing: Allow modeler to choose whether to Skip, Error or Substitute when bad				
	characters encountered. Substitute would use U+FFFD.				
	- Unparsing: Allow modeler to choose whether to Skip, Error or Substitute when bad				
	- Orparsing. Anow modeler to choose whether to skip, Enor or Substitute when bad				
	characters encountered. Substitute would use encoding's substitution character, modeler				
	characters encountered. Substitute would use encoding's substitution character, modeler				
	can override with this own, single, character.				
	can override with this own, single, character. Substitute behaves like Error if there is no substitution character defined.				
	can override with this own, single, character. Substitute behaves like Error if there is no substitution character defined. Note that ICU tries the encoding-defined primary mapping first, then any encoding-defined				
	can override with this own, single, character. Substitute behaves like Error if there is no substitution character defined. Note that ICU tries the encoding-defined primary mapping first, then any encoding-defined fallback mapping, then any substitution character.				
	can override with this own, single, character. Substitute behaves like Error if there is no substitution character defined. Note that ICU tries the encoding-defined primary mapping first, then any encoding-defined				

13/12: Mike's simplified proposal looks good, in summary there is one new (standard) property dfdl:encodingErrorPolicy with values 'skip', 'error', 'replace' that applies on both parsing and unparsing. There remain a few questions about invalid bytes/characters when parsing and what ICU is able to detect. Mike will experiment and report back. 10/01: Mike has done some investigation and has proposed words to cover this. This also spawned two more agenda items.

### Closed actions

No	Action			

## **Deferred** actions

No	Action			
129	Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve)			
	Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contributors and			
	interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US Nation			
	Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too.			
	17/11: no progress			
	08/12: Still no response from IBM press office			
	15/12: no progress			
	09/03: No progress			
	30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete			
	about any implementation.			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)			
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.			
	When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.			
	15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors			
	in the schema)			
	22/12: no update			
	12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.			
	19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public			
	26/01: Working on problems			
	02/02: no progress			
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action			
	23/02: Low prioity			
	09/03: Low priority			
	30/03: Deferring for now			
066	Investigate format for defining test cases (AII)			
	25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format.			
	04/12: no update			
	17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases.			
	May need some time to build a 'compliance suite'			
	24/03: No progress			
	03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided.			
	10/03: work is progressing			
	17/03: work is progressing			
31/03: work is progressing				
	14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested.			
	21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made			
	public			
	05/05: Work still progressing			
	12/05: Work still progressing			
	02/06: Work still progressing on technical and legal considerations			
1	1			

25/08: Will chase to allow Daffodil access to test cases. The WG should define how
implementation confirm that they 'conform to DFDL v1'
01/09: IBM still progressing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as it
can, ahead of a full compliance suite.
08/09: IBM still progressing
15/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
22/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
29/09:Test cases are being prepared.
06/10: Some test cases should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show
the test case information at OGF 30.
13/10: Still progressing
10/11: Legal issues cleared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally ones that fit the 'extended conformance' of NCSA Daffodil
17/11: Work is progressing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to
the WG in 2 weeks.
24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally.
01/12: Test cases should be available shortly
08/12: The test cases are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising before
publication
Stephanie gave a brief overview of the format of the test cases.
15/12: Ruth joined the call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated
and a draft read.me produced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send them
to Joe for feedback. 22/12: Test cases were sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the
Daffodil parser
12/01: All current tests use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe
suggested that there should be test that defined the same function using different definition
forms. Also suggested that default formats should be provided by the WG. This had always
been the intention. Action 133 raised to track.
19/01: There is currently no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM to
discuss how/if it can make a 'minimal compliance test suite' available.
26/01: Action kicked off within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and
organisation of test cases but no preferences were expressed 02/02: IBM will not have the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future. Steve
suggested that we produce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them.
09/02: Steve had previously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review.
23/02: Please review Steve's list of areas to be tested
02/03: Alan had reviewed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is no
need for separate tests for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment will
be added that these should be exercised during property testing.
09/03: Alan updated the test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the
OGF template.
30/03. Ownership of test document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and will cover all aspects of compliance suite.
13/04: IBM will not have time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably best to
make this action deferred for now.

# Work items:

	No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
Γ	043	Track errata list for 1.0 of the spec.	Steve		Draft 0006 on
L		http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16280?nav=1			grid forge.
	044	Incorporate errata list into DFDL spec.	Steve	TBD	