IBM TeamRo

TeamRoom Plus

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project DFDL 1.0

Meeting Date 17-Jan-12 (Tues)
Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 17-Jan-12

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 17 January 2012

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key details.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

2. Updated version of spec with errata folded in

Agree a timeline for this.

3. Calendar pattern I and T symbols .

Section 13.11.1. of the DFDL 1.0 spec defines the formatting symbols that can be used for calendar patterns. In addition to the supported ICU symbols, it also permits some extra symbols (I and T) that are used as a shorthand for ISO8601 format date/times. An analysis shows that this definition of I and T is both incomplete and overly complex. See e-mail for proposed revision.

4.AOB

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees

Steve Hanson (IBM) (co-chair) Mike Beckerle (co-chair) Jonathan Cranford (Mitre Corp) Suman Kalia (IBM)

Apologies

Steph Fetzer (IBM) Tim Kimber (IBM)

Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Additional calls and action items raised, nothing specific to report.

2. Updated version of spec with errata folded in

Steve has updated the errata document on GridForge to V7, includes all resolved errata up to and including last week's call.

Need to fold this in to the spec to make the information more consumable and reduce the chance of errors being made by the Daffodil team.

Steve will update the 1.0 spec with his marked-up changes (a mixture of editorial and errata) and send on to Mike, who will merge in editorial changes in his local copy. **Action 161** created to track this work.

3. Calendar pattern I and T symbols.

The current description of how these ISO 8601 symbols work is not correct. Steve has circulated a proposal which fully specifies the behaviour, and also simplifies things. Review next week, **action 162** raised to track.

4. Clarify when empty string is allowed for DFDL String Literal properties

Agreed that section 6.3.1 needs to be updated to contain a statement that empty string is not allowed as a string literal value, unless explicitly stated otherwise in the description of a property, in which case any semantic must be a special behaviour of the property and not the literal empty string (for which we have entity %ES;). Errata taken.

5. Clarify type of error when expression returns a type that does not match

Section 23 defines the expression language and in 23.3 there are words that disallow the return of types that do not match the context, and disallow the return of sequences with length > 1. These words are not fully clear on whether these errors are processing errors or schema definition errors.

Action 163 taken to revise.

Meeting closed

17:05 UK

Next call

Tues 24th Jan 2012 @ 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

Action Items and Other Meeting Documents
--

View: ResultDocs

Next action: 164

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action			
	Fold errata into DFDL spec (Mike/Steve)			
	17/01: Start with Steve updating spec to include his marked up changes			
162	Errata: Calendar pattern I and T symbols not correctly specified (Steve)			
	17/01: Steve has circulated a proposal, please review			
163	Errata: Clarify the kind of error when expression returns something that does not match the			
	context (Mike)			
	17/01: Mike to reword the last paragraph of section 23.3			

Current Actions:

No	Action
DFDL tutorial (Steve) 13/10: Draft of first 3 chapters has been written and will be distributed to WG 10/11: Posted to grid forge here (http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16106?nav=1), v continuing at IBM to define a standard example-based chapter framework and to auti additional chapters. Contributors welcome! 17/11: Steve, Stephanie and Alan had a meeting to discuss the best structure for the and decide which examples to use throughout. The meeting raised more questions. It discussions will be held. 24:11: The list of topics to be covered in the remaining lessons has been produced a lesson template. Alan will write lesson 4 01/12: Alan has started lesson 4 which covers fixed and variable fields and arrays. 08/12: Alan has almost completed lesson 4. Will send out for review. 15/12: First draft of lesson 4 is available for review. Alan to send to Bob and Joe. 22/12: Alan has distributed drafts for tutorials on Basic Structure and Optional/Repeatelements. Please review 12/01: Alan distributed a tutorial for choices and updated the others. Alan and Steve	

reviewed them and updated versions will be sent soon. Should start on the 'representation' tutorials soon.

19/01: The tutorials for basic structure, optional/arrays and choices have be updated.

Please review. The tutorial for text elements should be available soon.

26/01: No comments received about 3 tuorials distributed last week. Alan is still working on Text representation.

02/02: Steve has sent comments on three tutorials. Alan to send updated versions by the end of the week. Alan has also distributed the first part of the tutorial on text representation and would like feedback.

09/02: Steve had reviewed tutorials 3,4,5 and updated versions have been distributed. Joe reviewed lesson on text elements.

Main points. Using 'represented as text' is confusing. Examples are too cluttered. Suggest simple targeted examples but still build up to final complete schema

23/02: New versions distributed and Steve has commented.

02/03: Alan has published the final versions of tutorials 4,5,6 and is working on text respresentations. There was some discussion about the detail that needs to be covered.

Should limit it to 'common usage' and refer to the spec for details of edge cases.

09/03: Alan distributed an update to the text tutorial. Please review.

30/03: Steve has spent half a day tidying up lessons 1 to 6 and has uploaded them as pdfs to gridforge. They are now more coherent, and many inconsistencies and errors fixed.

Ownership of draft lessons (text properties, binary properties, advanced features) has been passed to Steve. Also need to make a schema available for the examples.

13/04: Steve is working on the text properties tutorial.

04/05: No progress

18/05: No progress

01/06: No progress

08/06: No progress

15/06: This is on hold until Steve clears up spec issues and other workload. Steph has looked at the later lessons, and noted that they are more direct compared to the more wordy earlier lessons.

28/06: On hold.

...

29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress

..

10/01: No progress, offer from Mike to help. First step is to make any corrections due to errata.

17/01: No progress

133 Make a set of default formats available (Suman)

19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to publish

26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman

02/02: no update

09/02: no update

23/02: no update

02/03: Same as 132

09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.

13/04: No update.

04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.

18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.

01/06:

08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format has the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.

15/06: No change.

19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s).

26/07: No update

16/08: Same issue as action 132

23/08: No update

30/08: As action 132

20/09: Steve has mailed IBM Hursley legal

27/09: Steve to meet with IBM Hursley legal

4/10: No further progress

18/10: Steve has met with legal, can publish the xsds after tidy up. Will create OGF info document.

1/11: No further progress.

...

29/11: Steve has a version of the default format available, waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.

6/12: Waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.

13/12: Still waiting for one last IBM legal procedure. OK to review iwhat we have so far though, so Steve sent out an xsd containing a single defineFormat and a single defineEscapeScheme.

The spec in section 19 makes it clear that any such xsds are essentially examples, so this xsd will be treated as such. It will be in a 'DFDL example' namespace and we will publish as OGF information document on GridForge.

Reviewed the properties and changed occursCountKind to 'parsed'. (Noted that 'implicit' is better but that is subject of an errata not yet folded back into the spec).

Stayed with lengthKind 'delimited' with a comma separator so that the xsd would actually model a real single record CSV stream.

Steve to improve the example usage section in the prolog to include namespaces and example data, and run some real CSV tests.

10/01: IBM legal process complete. Steve has updated the xsd as above and used it to model CSV data. Steve to formalise as an OGF document.

17/01: Steve has created an OGF document and mailed it to the WG, but noted that it has both IBM and OGF copyright. Steve to get advice on how to proceed from OGF editors.

140 Spec issue: Parsing: 'missing' v 'empty', role of initiators, default values (All)

01/06: See minutes.

08/06: Still under discussion. Tim has sent Mike a selection of data formats to guide the discussion.

15/06: Not discussed - an extra call has been scheduled to go through this.

28/06: A series of extra calls are being held between Mike, Steve, Tim and Steph.

05/07: Next extra call is Wed 6th July - Steve to send invite

12/07: Two more calls held. Next call is Wed 13th July.

19/07: More calls held, next call is Fri 22nd July.

26/07: More calls held, good progress

16/08: Steve will set up next call for when Tim has returned from holiday

23/08: Two more calls scheduled for this week, remaining issues: separator suppression, empty strings, sparse arrays (see action 136)

30/08: Call held earlier today. Still remaining - separator suppression (matrix); sparse arrays; empty strings; empty value delimiter policy. Steve to summarise where we have got so far before remaining items are tackled.

20/09: Steve has summarised where we are with action 140, which Tim and Mike have reviewed. 2 hours call planned for Thursday.

27/09: Calls held, progressing the separator suppression behaviour

4/10: More calls held, progressing separator suppression, sparse arrays (see action 136) and emptyValueDelimiterPolicy behaviour

18/10: All issues now in a single document, call held earlier today. Next call Thursday.

1/11: Extra calls still ongoing

8/11: Extra calls still going, when action 140 document complete will send to Steph for review

15/11: Mike is verifying the action 140 conclusions by writing an algorithm in SCALA which can be ultimately be used in Daffodil

22/11: Call to be held next week

29/11: Next call 30/11

6/12: Next call 7/12

13/12: Had call earlier today, making good progress. Next call first week of Jan.

10/01: Next call to be scheduled for Wed or Fri

17/01: No call last week, call tomorrow

145 Provide a 'dispatch' way of discriminating a choice for better performance of the

envelope/payload use case (Steve, Mike, Suman) 12/7: See minutes. Need to choose a proposal and flesh out. 19/07: Waiting for proposals 26/07: Waiting for proposals 16/08: Waiting for proposals. Suman added to action. 1/11: Steve to send a proposal 17/01: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this 146 Model NRL's bit-oriented format where complex repeating element carries a indicator (Steve, Mike) 19/07: NRL to send xsd and example of format. 26/07: Steve/Tim put forward a proposal that used a discriminator that looked at the previous item's repeatBit in the array and failed if it was 0. This looks like it will work. Mike to add the correct DFDL annotations to take into account both repeatBit and presentBit in a single discriminator, and auto-set them using output Value Calc. A more usable solution to the 'last indicator' problem is desirable though. Noted that this has a 'repeat..until' semantic. 16/08: Adam and Ryan to evaluate Steve/Tim proposal as it is potentially fragile relying as it does on backtracking. 23/08: Adam and Ryan had meeting with Boyd Fletcher and others, still evaluating to see whether change to spec is needed to handle this better. 30/08: No update 20/09: Looking like a spec change would be beneficial. Adam will provide details within the next month. 27/09: Waiting to hear from NRL. Mike to find out how they are progressing. 4/10: Mike will contact NRL to see how things are progressing. 18/10: No update - Steve & Mike to chase 1/11: No update 8/11: Update on NRL via Mike - staffing the team to carry forward the DFDL work 15/11: No update 22/11: No update 29/11: NSA still expressing an interest in Daffodil 6/12: No update 13/12: NSA held a meeting with Steve & Mike to discuss their contributing to Daffodil through 2012 10/01: Will be added as an action item for the Daffodil Open Source Project 17/01:On hold 159 Property documentFinalTerminatorCanBeMissing not flexible enough ? (Steve) 10/01: Do we need to upgrade this property to handle formats where the terminator is not allowed on output but tolerated on input? 17/01: With Steve to investigate further.

Closed actions

L	No	Action						
	153	Decide which TZ scheme to adopt to extend dfdl: calendarTimeZone (Steve) 18/10: Need to keep as close to ICU as possible as an aid to implementers. 1/11: No progress						
		13/12: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this 10/01: Steve looking at this now, will have a proposal for next week 17/01: Closed. Steve has mailed a proposal. Reviewed on call. Changed it so that when calendarTimeZone is UTC form, calendarObserveDST is ignored (not error). Errata taken.						
	160	Errata: ISO 10646 on its own not sufficient to represent all characters ? (Mike) 10/01: Analysis has shown this to be the case. Mike will supply words for the errata. 17/01: Closed. Mike has mailed words. Errata taken.						

Deferred actions

No	Action			
Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve) Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contribute interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US Na Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too. 17/11: no progress				
08/12: Still no response from IBM press office 15/12: no progress				
	09/03: No progress 30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete about any implementation.			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe) 08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site. 15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema) 22/12: no update 12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge. 19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public 26/01: Working on problems 02/02: no progress 09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action 23/02: Low priority 09/03: Low priority 30/03: Deferring for now			
066	Investigate format for defining test cases (All)			
	25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format. 04/12: no update 17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases. May need some time to build a 'compliance suite' 24/03: No progress 03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided. 10/03: work is progressing 17/03: work is progressing 14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested. 21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made public 05/05: Work still progressing 12/05: Work still progressing 02/06: Work still progressing on technical and legal considerations 25/08: Will chase to allow Daffodil access to test cases. The WG should define how implementation confirm that they 'conform to DFDL v1' 01/09: IBM still progressing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as it can, ahead of a full compliance suite. 08/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks 22/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks 29/09: Test cases are being prepared. 06/10: Some test cases should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show the test case information at OGF 30. 13/10: Still progressing 10/11: Legal issues cleared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally ones that fit the 'extended conformance' of NCSA Daffodil 17/11: Work is progressing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to the WG in 2 weeks.			

24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally.

01/12: Test cases should be available shortly

08/12: The test cases are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising before publication

Stephanie gave a brief overview of the format of the test cases.

15/12: Ruth joined the call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated and a draft read.me produced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send them to Joe for feedback.

22/12: Test cases were sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the Daffodil parser

12/01: All current tests use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe suggested that there should be test that defined the same function using different definition forms. Also suggested that default formats should be provided by the WG. This had always been the intention. Action 133 raised to track.

19/01: There is currently no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM to discuss how/if it can make a 'minimal compliance test suite' available.

26/01: Action kicked off within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and organisation of test cases but no preferences were expressed

02/02: IBM will not have the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future. Steve suggested that we produce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them.

09/02: Steve had previously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review.

23/02: Please review Steve's list of areas to be tested

02/03: Alan had reviewed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is no need for separate tests for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment will be added that these should be exercised during property testing.

09/03: Alan updated the test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the OGF template.

30/03. Ownership of test document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and will cover all aspects of compliance suite.

13/04: IBM will not have time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably best to make this action deferred for now.

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
043	Track errata list for 1.0 of the spec.	Steve	N/A	Draft 0006 on
	http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16280?nav=1			grid forge.
044	Incorporate errata list into DFDL spec.	Steve	TBD	