# TeamRoom Plus

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

#### Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project	DFDL 1.0
Meeting Date	24-Jan-12 (Tues)
Meeting Time	16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 24-Jan-12

#### OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 24 January 2012

#### Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key details.

#### 1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

#### 2. Clarification about nilKind 'literalValue' and greedy parsing

Mike has written some words for this and would like to discuss further, see mail.

#### 3. Limitations on use of lengthKind 'endOfParent'

The action 140 discussions have revealed that the behaviour of endOfParent is not fully specified, see mail.

4.AOB

#### Minutes

#### **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

#### Attendees

Steve Hanson (IBM) (co-chair) Tim Kimber (IBM)

#### Apologies

Nike Beckerle Suman Kalia (IBM) Alonso Mumford (NSA)

#### Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project No update.

2. Clarification about nilKind 'literalValue' and greedy parsing

**IBM TeamRo** 

#### Discuss next week when Mike is available

#### 3. Limitations on use of lengthKind 'endOfParent'

Discuss next week when Mike is available

#### Meeting closed 16:15 UK

10.15 UK

## Next call

Tues 31st Jan 2012 @ 16:00 UK

#### Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

#### Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

View: ResultDocs

### Next action: 164

## Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action

## **Current Actions:**

No		Action		
	U			

123	DFDL tutorial (Steve) 13/10: Draft of first 3 chapters has been written and will be distributed to WG 10/11: Posted to grid forge here (http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16106?nav=1), work continuing at IBM to define a standard example-based chapter framework and to author additional chapters. Contributors welcome! 17/11: Steve, Stephanie and Alan had a meeting to discuss the best structure for the tutorial and decide which examples to use throughout. The meeting raised more questions. Further
	discussions will be held. 24:11: The list of topics to be covered in the remaining lessons has been produced and a
	lesson template. Alan will write lesson 4 01/12: Alan has started lesson 4 which covers fixed and variable fields and arrays. 08/12: Alan has almost completed lesson 4. Will send out for review.
	15/12: First draft of lesson 4 is available for review. Alan to send to Bob and Joe. 22/12: Alan has distributed drafts for tutorials on Basic Structure and Optional/Repeating elements. Please review
	12/01: Alan distributed a tutorial for choices and updated the others. Alan and Steve reviewed them and updated versions will be sent soon. Should start on the 'representation' tutorials soon.
	19/01: The tutorials for basic structure, optional/arrays and choices have be updated. Please review. The tutorial for text elements should be available soon.
	26/01: No comments received about 3 tuorials distributed last week. Alan is still working on Text representation. 02/02: Steve has sent comments on three tutorials. Alan to send updated versions by the
	end of the week. Alan has also distributed the first part of the tutorial on text representation and would like feedback.
	09/02: Steve had reviewed tutorials 3,4,5 and updated versions have been distributed. Joe reviewed lesson on text elements.
	Main points. Using 'represented as text' is confusing. Examples are too cluttered. Suggest simple targeted examples but still build up to final complete schema 23/02: New versions distributed and Steve has commented.
	02/03: Alan has published the final versions of tutorials 4,5,6 and is working on text respresentations. There was some discussion about the detail that needs to be covered. Should limit it to 'common usage' and refer to the spec for details of edge cases. 09/03: Alan distributed an update to the text tutorial. Please review.
	30/03: Steve has spent half a day tidying up lessons 1 to 6 and has uploaded them as pdfs to gridforge. They are now more coherent, and many inconsistencies and errors fixed. Ownership of draft lessons (text properties, binary properties, advanced features) has been passed to Steve. Also need to make a schema available for the examples. 13/04: Steve is working on the text properties tutorial.
	04/05: No progress 18/05: No progress 01/06: No progress
	08/06: No progress 15/06: This is on hold until Steve clears up spec issues and other workload. Steph has looked at the later lessons, and noted that they are more direct compared to the more wordy earlier lessons. 28/06: On hold.
	 29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress
	 10/01: No progress, offer from Mike to help. First step is to make any corrections due to errata. 17/01: No progress 24/01: No update
133	Make a set of default formats available (Suman) 19/01: Suman expects some default formats to be ready by Feb 9th. Will need approval to
	publish 26/01: Stephanie sent the defaults used by test cases to Suman
	02/02: no update 09/02: no update

	<ul> <li>23/02: no update</li> <li>02/03: Same as 132</li> <li>09/03: No update. Same license issue apply though.</li> <li>13/04: No update.</li> <li>04/05: IBM will make one default format available. Suman is working through the IBM process to permit publication.</li> <li>18/05: Awaiting response from IBM legal.</li> <li>01/06:</li> <li>08/06: Awaiting response from IBM legal. IBM also want to prove that the default format the properties sensibly defined to plans to include in internal testing.</li> <li>15/06: No change.</li> <li></li> <li>19/07: 19/07: Suman will talk to IBM legal and make it clear that the license must allow users to actively use the format xsd(s).</li> </ul>				
	26/07: No update 16/08: Same issue as action 132 23/08: No update 30/08: As action 132 20/09: Steve has mailed IBM Hursley legal 27/09: Steve to meet with IBM Hursley legal 4/10: No further progress 18/10: Steve has met with legal, can publish the xsds after tidy up. Will create OGF info document.				
	<ul> <li>1/11: No further progress.</li> <li></li> <li>29/11: Steve has a version of the default format available, waiting for one last IBM legal procedure.</li> </ul>				
	6/12: Waiting for one last IBM legal procedure. 13/12: Still waiting for one last IBM legal procedure. OK to review iwhat we have so far though, so Steve sent out an xsd containing a single defineFormat and a single defineEscapeScheme.				
	The spec in section 19 makes it clear that any such xsds are essentially examples, so this xsd will be treated as such. It will be in a 'DFDL example' namespace and we will publish as OGF information document on GridForge. Reviewed the properties and changed occursCountKind to 'parsed'. (Noted that 'implicit' is				
	better but that is subject of an errata not yet folded back into the spec). Stayed with lengthKind 'delimited' with a comma separator so that the xsd would actually model a real single record CSV stream.				
	Steve to improve the example usage section in the prolog to include namespaces and example data, and run some real CSV tests. 10/01: IBM legal process complete. Steve has updated the xsd as above and used it to model CSV data. Steve to formalise as an OGF document.				
	17/01: Steve has created an OGF document and mailed it to the WG, but noted that it has both IBM and OGF copyright. Steve to get advice on how to proceed from OGF editors. 24/01: Mail sent to OGF, no reply yet				
140	Spec issue : Parsing: 'missing' v 'empty', role of initiators , default values (All) 01/06: See minutes.				
	08/06: Still under discussion. Tim has sent Mike a selection of data formats to guide the				
	discussion. 15/06: Not discussed - an extra call has been scheduled to go through this.				
	28/06: A series of extra calls are being held between Mike, Steve, Tim and Steph.				
	05/07: Next extra call is Wed 6th July - Steve to send invite 12/07: Two more calls held. Next call is Wed 13th July.				
	19/07: More calls held, next call is Fri 22nd July.				
	26/07: More calls held, good progress 16/08: Steve will set up next call for when Tim has returned from holiday				
	23/08: Two more calls scheduled for this week, remaining issues: separator suppression, empty strings, sparse arrays (see action 136)				
	30/08: Call held earlier today. Still remaining - separator suppression (matrix); sparse				
	arrays; empty strings; empty value delimiter policy. Steve to summarise where we have got so far before remaining items are tackled.				

	20/09: Steve has summarised where we are with action 140, which Tim and Mike have
	reviewed. 2 hours call planned for Thursday.
	27/09: Calls held, progressing the separator suppression behaviour
	4/10: More calls held, progressing separator suppression, sparse arrays (see action 136) and emptyValueDelimiterPolicy behaviour
	18/10: All issues now in a single document, call held earlier today. Next call Thursday.
	1/11: Extra calls still ongoing
	8/11: Extra calls still going, when action 140 document complete will send to Steph for
	review
	15/11: Mike is verifying the action 140 conclusions by writing an algorithm in SCALA which
	can be ultimately be used in Daffodil
	22/11: Call to be held next week 29/11: Next call 30/11
	6/12: Next call 7/12
	13/12: Had call earlier today, making good progress. Next call first week of Jan.
	10/01: Next call to be scheduled for Wed or Fri
	17/01: No call last week, call tomorrow
	24/01: Two calls held, next call Wed, looking at separator suppression
15	Provide a 'dispatch' way of discriminating a choice for better performance of the
	envelope/payload use case (Steve, Mike, Suman)
	12/7: See minutes. Need to choose a proposal and flesh out. 19/07: Waiting for proposals
	26/07: Waiting for proposals
	16/08: Waiting for proposals. Suman added to action.
	1/11: Steve to send a proposal
	24/01: Waiting for Steve to find time to do this
6	Model NRL's bit-oriented format where complex repeating element carries a 'last'
	indicator (Steve, Mike)
	19/07: NRL to send xsd and example of format.
	26/07: Steve/Tim put forward a proposal that used a discriminator that looked at the
	previous item's repeatBit in the array and failed if it was 0. This looks like it will work. Mike to add the correct DFDL annotations to take into account both repeatBit and presentBit in a
	single discriminator, and auto-set them using outputValueCalc.
	A more usable solution to the 'last indicator' problem is desirable though. Noted that this has
	a 'repeatuntil' semantic.
	16/08: Adam and Ryan to evaluate Steve/Tim proposal as it is potentially fragile relying as it
	does on backtracking.
	23/08: Adam and Ryan had meeting with Boyd Fletcher and others, still evaluating to see
	whether change to spec is needed to handle this better. 30/08: No update
	20/09: Looking like a spec change would be beneficial. Adam will provide details within the
	next month.
	27/09: Waiting to hear from NRL. Mike to find out how they are progressing.
	4/10: Mike will contact NRL to see how things are progressing.
	18/10: No update - Steve & Mike to chase
	1/11: No update
	8/11: Update on NRL via Mike - staffing the team to carry forward the DFDL work 15/11: No update
	22/11: No update
	29/11: NSA still expressing an interest in Daffodil
	6/12: No update
	13/12: NSA held a meeting with Steve & Mike to discuss their contributing to Daffodil
	through 2012
	through 2012

	allowed on output but tolerated on input?
	 24/01: With Steve to investigate further
161	Fold errata into DFDL spec (Mike/Steve) 17/01: Start with Steve updating spec to include his marked up changes 24/01: No progress

## **Closed actions**

No	Action					
162	Errata: Calendar pattern I and T symbols not correctly specified (Steve)					
	17/01: Steve has circulated a proposal, please review					
	24/01: Closed. Reviewed Steve's proposal via e-mail, all ok. Errata taken					
163	Errata: Clarify the kind of error when expression returns something that does not match					
	the context (Mike)					
	17/01: Mike to reword the last paragraph of section 23.3					
	24/01: Closed. Reviewed Mike's proposed words via e-mail, all ok. Errata taken.					

# **Deferred actions**

No	Action			
129	Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve)			
	Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contributors and interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US National			
	Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too.			
	17/11: no progress			
	08/12: Still no response from IBM press office			
	15/12: no progress			
	09/03: No progress			
	30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete			
	about any implementation.			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)			
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.			
	15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors			
	in the schema)			
	22/12: no update			
	12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge. 19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public			
	26/01: Working on problems			
	02/02: no progress			
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action			
	23/02: Low prioity 09/03: Low priority			
	30/03: Deferring for now			
066	Investigate format for defining test cases (All)			
	25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format.			
	04/12: no update			
	 17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases.			
	May need some time to build a 'compliance suite'			
	24/03: No progress			
	03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided.			
	10/03: work is progressing			
	17/03: work is progressing 31/03: work is progressing			
	14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested.			
	21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made			
I	1			

public
05/05: Work still progressing
12/05: Work still progressing
02/06: Work still progressing on technical and legal considerations
25/08: Will chase to allow Daffodil access to test cases. The WG should define how
implementation confirm that they 'conform to DFDL v1'
01/09: IBM still progressing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as it can, ahead of a full compliance suite.
08/09: IBM still progressing
15/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
22/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
29/09:Test cases are being prepared.
06/10: Some test cases should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show
the test case information at OGF 30.
13/10: Still progressing
10/11: Legal issues cleared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally
ones that fit the 'extended conformance' of NCSA Daffodil
17/11: Work is progressing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to the $WC$ in 2 works
the WG in 2 weeks. 24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally.
24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally. 01/12: Test cases should be available shortly
08/12: The test cases are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising before
publication
Stephanie gave a brief overview of the format of the test cases.
15/12: Ruth joined the call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated
and a draft read.me produced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send them
to Joe for feedback.
22/12: Test cases were sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the
Daffodil parser
12/01: All current tests use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe
suggested that there should be test that defined the same function using different definition forms. Also suggested that default formate should be provided by the WG. This had always
forms. Also suggested that default formats should be provided by the WG. This had always been the intention. Action 133 raised to track.
19/01: There is currently no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM to
discuss how/if it can make a 'minimal compliance test suite' available.
26/01: Action kicked off within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and
organisation of test cases but no preferences were expressed
02/02: IBM will not have the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future. Steve
suggested that we produce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them.
09/02: Steve had previously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review.
23/02: Please review Steve's list of areas to be tested
02/03: Alan had reviewed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is no
need for separate tests for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment will
be added that these should be exercised during property testing.
09/03: Alan updated the test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the
OGF template.
30/03. Ownership of test document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and will cover all aspects of compliance suite.
13/04: IBM will not have time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably best to
make this action deferred for now.

# Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
043	Track errata list for 1.0 of the spec.	Steve	N/A	Draft 0007 on
	http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16280?nav=1			grid forge.
044	Incorporate errata list into DFDL spec.	Steve/Mike	TBD	

© Copyright IBM Corp. 1998, 2007 All Rights Reserved