# TeamRoom Plus

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

#### Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project	DFDL 1.0
Meeting Date	14-Aug-12 (Tues)
Meeting Time	15:00 - 16:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 16-Aug-12

### OGF DFDL Working Group Call , 14 August 2012

#### Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key details.

#### 1. Daffodil Open Source Project Status.

#### 2. AOB

#### Minutes

# **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees Steve Hanson (IBM) Mike Beckerle Suman Kalia (IBM)

Apologies Tim Kimber (IBM)

Minutes Only actions discussed.

Meeting closed 15:15 UK

Next call Tues 4th Sept 15:00 UK

## Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create

**IBM TeamRo** 

Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

# Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

View: ResultDocs

Next action: 183

# Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action

# **Current Actions:**

No	Action
123	<ul> <li>DFDL tutorial (Steve)</li> <li>13/10: Draft of first 3 chapters has been written and will be distributed to WG</li> <li>10/11: Posted to grid forge here (http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16106?nav=1), work continuing at IBM to define a standard example-based chapter framework and to author additional chapters. Contributors welcome!</li> <li>17/11: Steve, Stephanie and Alan had a meeting to discuss the best structure for the tutorial and decide which examples to use throughout. The meeting raised more questions. Further discussions will be held.</li> <li>24:11: The list of topics to be covered in the remaining lessons has been produced and a lesson template. Alan will write lesson 4</li> <li>01/12: Alan has started lesson 4 which covers fixed and variable fields and arrays.</li> <li>08/12: Alan has almost completed lesson 4. Will send out for review.</li> <li>15/12: First draft of lesson 4 is available for review. Alan to send to Bob and Joe.</li> <li>22/12: Alan has distributed drafts for tutorials on Basic Structure and Optional/Repeating elements. Please review</li> <li>12/01: Alan distributed a tutorial for choices and updated the others. Alan and Steve</li> </ul>

	reviewed them and updated versions will be sent soon. Should start on the 'representation' tutorials soon.
	19/01: The tutorials for basic structure, optional/arrays and choices have be updated. Please review. The tutorial for text elements should be available soon. 26/01: No comments received about 3 tuorials distributed last week. Alan is still working on
	Text representation. 02/02: Steve has sent comments on three tutorials. Alan to send updated versions by the end of the week. Alan has also distributed the first part of the tutorial on text representation and would like feedback.
	09/02: Steve had reviewed tutorials 3,4,5 and updated versions have been distributed. Joe reviewed lesson on text elements.
	Main points. Using 'represented as text' is confusing. Examples are too cluttered. Suggest simple targeted examples but still build up to final complete schema 23/02: New versions distributed and Steve has commented.
	02/03: Alan has published the final versions of tutorials 4,5,6 and is working on text respresentations. There was some discussion about the detail that needs to be covered. Should limit it to 'common usage' and refer to the spec for details of edge cases. 09/03: Alan distributed an update to the text tutorial. Please review.
	30/03: Steve has spent half a day tidying up lessons 1 to 6 and has uploaded them as pdfs to gridforge. They are now more coherent, and many inconsistencies and errors fixed. Ownership of draft lessons (text properties, binary properties, advanced features) has been passed to Steve. Also need to make a schema available for the examples. 13/04: Steve is working on the text properties tutorial. 04/05: No progress
	 15/06: This is on hold until Steve clears up spec issues and other workload. Steph has looked at the later lessons, and noted that they are more direct compared to the more wordy earlier lessons. 28/06: On hold.
	 29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress
	 10/01: No progress, offer from Mike to help. First step is to make any corrections due to errata. 17/01: No progress
	24/01: No update 31/01: Daffodil project team will be working their way through the existing tutorials and
	reviewing 14/02: Daffodil team to start reviewing tutorials hopefully this Friday. 21/02: Moved to this coming Friday
	28/02: No update 13/03: No progress
	21/03: No progress from Daffodil team. IBMers are starting to use the tutorial and will feedback any comments.
	28/03: No change 05/04: Steve will send Alan's two draft lessons on binary & text data to Mike to complete. 17/04: No progress 8/5: No update
	 14/8: No progress
140	Spec issue: Parsing: 'missing' v 'empty', role of initiators , default values (All) 01/06: See minutes.
	08/06: Still under discussion. Tim has sent Mike a selection of data formats to guide the discussion.
	15/06: Not discussed - an extra call has been scheduled to go through this. 28/06: A series of extra calls are being held between Mike, Steve, Tim and Steph. 05/07: Next extra call is Wed 6th July - Steve to send invite
	12/07: Two more calls held. Next call is Wed 13th July. 19/07: More calls held, next call is Fri 22nd July. 26/07: More calls held, good progress

	<ul> <li>16/08: Steve will set up next call for when Tim has returned from holiday</li> <li>23/08: Two more calls scheduled for this week, remaining issues: separator suppression, empty strings, sparse arrays (see action 136)</li> <li>30/08: Call held earlier today. Still remaining - separator suppression (matrix); sparse arrays; empty strings; empty value delimiter policy. Steve to summarise where we have got so far before remaining items are tackled.</li> <li>20/09: Steve has summarised where we are with action 140, which Tim and Mike have reviewed. 2 hours call planned for Thursday.</li> <li>27/09: Calls held, progressing the separator suppression behaviour</li> <li>4/10: More calls held, progressing separator suppression, sparse arrays (see action 136) and emptyValueDelimiterPolicy behaviour</li> <li>18/10: All issues now in a single document, call held earlier today. Next call Thursday.</li> <li>1/11: Extra calls still going, when action 140 document complete will send to Steph for review</li> <li>15/11: Mike is verifying the action 140 conclusions by writing an algorithm in SCALA which can be ultimately be used in Daffodil</li> <li>22/11: Call to be held next week</li> <li>29/11: Next call 30/11</li> </ul>
	6/12: Next call 7/12 13/12: Had call earlier today, making good progress. Next call first week of Jan. 10/01: Next call to be scheduled for Wed or Fri 17/01: No call last week, call tomorrow
	24/01: Two calls held, next call Wed, looking at separator suppression 31/01: Separator suppression discussions ongoing, proposal to rename policy and enums. Call later this week.
	<ul> <li>14/02: Now looking at separator suppression and unparsing. Next call on Friday</li> <li>21/02: Separator suppression on parsing/unparsing matrix agreed.</li> <li>28/02: Two more calls this week</li> <li>13/03: Call tomorrow</li> <li>21/03: Two more calls held</li> </ul>
	28/03: Hopefully all issues now addressed. Steve to start folding in action 140 document comments into the body of the document. 05/04: Still with Steve. Noted that action 140 will not be in the next spec rev, likely the one
	after. 17/04: No further progress
	8/5: Steve has started rewriting the action 140 document 23/5: Steve continuing the work on the action 140 document. Empty/missing/defaults and Arrays have been rewritten. Separators not started. Please review.
	12/6: Steve will resend the latest action 140 document for review. Note use of 'missing representation' to describe zero length input data with same semantic as missing altogether. 19/6: Latest action 140 resent. Separate call on Thursday this week.
	<ul> <li>26/6: Call held, revisions need to be made before action 140 can be circulated more widely.</li> <li>Next call will be Tues 3rd July.</li> <li>3/7: Steve not found time to update action 140 doc, call postponed to 10th July</li> </ul>
	10/7: Call held, document still being refined. Spin off <b>action 179</b> to sort out use of term 'representation' in spec and grammar. 17/7: No progress on core action 140.
	25/7: No progress - Steve will aim to finish refinement before Aug 16 31/7: No progress 7/8: No progress
101	14/8: Steve has started to create v16 of the action 140 document and will mail it to Mike & Tim this week
161	Fold errata into DFDL spec (Mike/Steve) 17/01: Start with Steve updating spec to include his marked up changes
	<ul> <li>14/02: Steve has published errata document v8 which includes all resolved errata up until today, but has not yet started to fold into spec.</li> <li>21/02: No progress but Steve will start this week</li> <li>28/02: Steve has started on this. Editorial corrections made. Going through spec and flagging (using Word comments) where errata affect the spec (up to 2.16 so far).</li> </ul>

	If the errata is simple then he is fixing the spec at the same time. The outcome of this first			
	pass will be a spec in which a reader can see which sections are affected by errata.			
	Then the second pass is to fold in the rest of the errata.			
	13/03: Steve has completed first pass and sent spec to Mike. Mike has made his editorial			
	changes and is applying errata. Steve to check formatting not scuppered by Mike's editor.			
	21/03: Converted spec to docx format as this works better with Mike's editor, though Mike			
	will switch to using Word for consistency. Mike folding in errata this week.			
	Errata doc is due an update but that is on hold until Mike has finished, as each errata will be			
	tagged as folded in or not.			
	28/03: Steve has received updated spec from Mike. A few errata posed issues, Steve to			
	resolve and respond to Mike. Mike to update spec and send back to Steve to review.			
	05/04: Steve is reviewing Mike's updated spec and adding comments for issues. Should be			
	complete next week. Need to decide on numbering of spec rev, Steve to seek guidance			
	from OGF board. Still need to resolve errata 2.54 on strict v lax parsing.			
	17/04: Steve has reviewed Mike's updated spec. Based on existing schemes for spec and			
	errata, and taking into account OGF rules, the naming convention will be:			
	- "DFDL spec 1.0.3 - GFD-P-R.174" (document gwdrp-dfdl-v1.0.3.doc)			
	- "Errata for DFDL spec 1.0.3" (document ogf-dfdl-v1.0-Errata-xxx.doc)			
	- "DFDL spec 1.0.4 - Draft (document gwdrp-dfdl-v1.0.4-Draft-xxx.doc)			
	Note that xxx is the version number on GridForge.			
	8/5: Steve has received updated spec from Mike and needs to review it. Steve has created			
	draft errata v009.			
	23/5: Steve to review Mike's spec. Steve to circulate error v009. Ideally errata 009 and			
	action 140 should be included in next published spec, Mike to decide whether an			
	intermediate spec at errata v008 level or errata v009 level is needed for Daffodil.			
	12/6: Steve seeing formatting loss in Word 2003. Steve will install Word 2010 and see if			
	that is the problem. Steve will resend errata v009 for review. Next step is to get action 140			
	incorporated into errata v010.			
	19/6: Steve has applied for license at IBM, but will also check from home PC.			
	26/6: Steve now has Word 2010 installed. Steve has published errata v009 and v010 on			
	GridForge. Latest internal spec draft is at errata v008. Mike to consider updating the spec to			
	include errata v009 and v010 before action 140 incorporated. Naming convention for spec			
	drafts is not as stated above, but is now gwdrp-dfdl-v1.0.3-rxxx.yy where xxx is Errata			
	document version and <b>yy</b> is local draft.			
	3/7: Mike folding errata 10 document into spec, close to completion			
	10/7: Mike has sent updated spec for review.			
	17/7: Steve has not yet reviewed the updated spec			
	25/7: Steve has not yet reviewed the updated spec - aim to do so before Aug 16			
	31/7: No progress			
	7/8: Steve has reviewed most of the spec, and will complete by end of the week. Spec			
	updated.			
	14/8: Meeting held to review remaining open comments in latest spec, Mike will update and mail out			
170	Provide words for errata 2.54 on ICU lax/strict parsing of text number patterns (Richard -			
170	IBM)			
	17/04: Need to define the basic (lax) parsing behaviour then define strict behaviour.			
	8/5: No progress.			
	23/5: Richard has started to create a set of tests to explore the behaviour when parsing			
	25/7: Continuing.			
	31/7: Continuing, Richard expects to have something next week. Steve has asked ICU for			
	similar statement of behaviour for lax/strict calendar parsing.			
	14/8: On hold while Richard on holiday.			
172	Clarify how a DFDL string literal is matched against the data stream (Tim)			
	23/5: Non-trivial algorithm, worth stating it in the spec.			
	25/7: No progress.			
	31/7: Tim has been making notes but nothing written up formally. Will include treatment of			
	%WSP*;			

	14/8: No further progress.		
178	OGF migration from SourceForge to Redmine (Steve)		
	10/7: Opened to track any work arising		
	17/7: No action so far.		
	25/7: No action so far.		
	31/7: Steve has registered at the Redmine site. There is a skeletal section for DFDL WG.		
	Admin for mailing list has been automatically migrated. Nothing for documents, trackers,		
	tasks, source code. Steve has emailed the site admin for migration instructions. Need to		
	decide what artifacts we want to migrate - certainly documents and source code.		
	7/8: No reply received, Steve will chase.		
	14/8: Still no reply received - probably on holiday		

# Closed actions

No	Action

# Deferred actions

No	Action			
129	Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve) Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contributors and interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US National Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too. 17/11: no progress			
	 08/12: Still no response from IBM press office 15/12: no progress 			
	09/03: No progress 30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete about any implementation.			
131	<ul> <li>08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.</li> <li>15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema)</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.</li> <li>19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public</li> <li>26/01: Working on problems</li> <li>02/02: no progress</li> <li>09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action</li> <li>23/02: Low priority</li> <li>09/03: Low priority</li> </ul>			
066	<ul> <li>30/03: Deferring for now</li> <li>Investigate format for defining test cases (All)</li> <li>25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format.</li> <li>04/12: no update</li> <li></li> <li>17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases.</li> <li>May need some time to build a 'compliance suite'</li> <li>24/03: No progress</li> <li>03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided.</li> <li>10/03: work is progressing</li> <li>17/03: work is progressing</li> <li>31/03: work is progressing</li> <li>14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested.</li> <li>21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made public</li> </ul>			

05/05: Work still progr 12/05: Work still progr 02/06: Work still progr	
	llow Daffodil access to test cases. The WG should define how
	m that they 'conform to DFDL v1'
can, ahead of a full co	ssing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as
08/09: IBM still progre	
	ssing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
	ssing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks
29/09:Test cases are	
	es should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show
the test case informat	
13/10: Still progressin	
	eared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally
	ded conformance' of NCSA Daffodil
	ssing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to
the WG in 2 weeks.	
	test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally.
	uld be available shortly
	are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising befor
publication	
	f overview of the format of the test cases.
	e call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated
to Joe for feedback.	roduced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send the
	e sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the
Daffodil parser	e sent to sole for initial testing which found some problems in the
	s use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe
	should be test that defined the same function using different definition
	I that default formats should be provided by the $\check{WG}$ . This had alway
been the intention. Ac	tion 133 raised to track.
	tly no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM t
	nake a 'minimal compliance test suite' available.
	ff within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and
	ses but no preferences were expressed
	ve the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future. Steve
	duce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them.
	iously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review. Steve's list of areas to be tested
	wed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is
	is for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment wi
	hould be exercised during property testing.
	he test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the
OGF template.	the second s
	est document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and w
cover all aspects of co	ompliance suite.
13/04: IBM will not ha	ve time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably bes
make this action defen	
 10/07/2012: Discusses	d aphamaa ta araata intarahangaahla taata Idaalku saad - DEDI
	d schemes to create interchangeable tests. Ideally need a DFDL railure, in conjunction with specific inserts.

# Work items:

Γ	No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
Γ		Track errata list for 1.0 of the spec.	Steve	N/A	Draft 010 on
		http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16280?nav=1			grid forge.
	044	Incorporate errata list into DFDL spec.	Steve/Mike	N/A	Draft merged

© Copyright IBM Corp. 1998, 2007 All Rights Reserved