TeamRoom Plus

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

ProjectDFDL 1.0Meeting Date20-Aug-13 (Tues)Meeting Time16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 21-Aug-13

OGF DFDL Working Group Call , 20 August 2013

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plan details.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

2. Disallow %WSP*; appearing on its own where %WSP*; is allowed but %ES; is not

Agree on the list of affected properties - textStandardZeroRep, initiator, terminator, separator. Any more?

3. Erratum 2.141. Tighten up the specification for calendarLanguage .

Raised during review of draft r14 of the spec. See email.

4. Erratum 2.139. Tighten up the specification for bit fields .

Raised during review of draft r14 of the spec. See email.

5. Does it make sense to allow prefixed length binary booleans ? Raised by Mike, see email.

6. Erratum 3.15. Ensure description of elementID is complete

Raised by Steve, see email discussion.

7. Update rules for floating property to match those for unordered sequence

The new rules for added for erratum 3.28 need applying to floating elements, where applicable. See email.

8. AOB.

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

IBM TeamRoo

Attendees Steve Hanson Mike Beckerle Jonathan Cranford

Apologies

Tim Kimber Suman Kalia

Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

New point release due this week.

2. Disallow %WSP*; appearing on its own where %WSP*; is allowed but %ES; is not Agreed that textStandardZeroRep, initiator, terminator, separator are affected. Erratum 2.42 updated for textStandardZeroRep. New erratum 2.148 for the others.

3. Erratum 2.141. Tighten up the specification for calendarLanguage .

Discussed whether to limit calendarLanguage to just what is needed to cover languages (2 or 3 letter language, optional 4 letter script, and optional 2 letter or 3 digit territory) or to retain its current flexibility. Agreed that flexibility was needed, and decided to base the design of the property on the existing design for the encoding property. That is, specify a minimum set of language locales that a DFDL implementation must support, and allow any http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr35/ compliant locale to be entered. **New action 218** raised to complete.

Agreed change to the regex to allow '_' as well as '-' as separator character. Erratum 2.44 updated.

4. Erratum 2.139. Tighten up the specification for bit fields .

Not discussed, needs reviewing first. New action 220 raised.

5. Does it make sense to allow prefixed length binary booleans ?

Yes it does. It's same rep as binary integers so why not treat the same. No change needed.

6. Erratum 3.15. Ensure description of elementID is complete

Discussed several things to resolve here. New action 219 created.

7. Update rules for floating property to match those for unordered sequence .

Not discussed.

8. Ensure that the special value 'nil' is handled by the DFDL expression language .

We could have a problem with the handling / returning of nil by expressions. In XSDL there is no special value 'nil' like there is in DFDL, instead the 'nilled' attribute is set to 'true'. The XPath spec states that if 'nilled' is 'true', the typed-value of the element is the empty sequence. This probably explains the statement in the DFDL spec section 23.3 "*If the expression returns an empty sequence it will be treated as returning nil"* which was (incorrectly?) removed by erratum 2.69. **New action 221** raised.

Meeting closed

Two calls were held

Next regular call

Tues 27th August 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items

will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

	Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified
	Subject	Document Type	Created	Mouilleu
ext ac	tion: 222			
	tion: 222 s raised at this meeting			
ction	s raised at this meeting			
ction No	s raised at this meeting	ne values (All)		
ction	s raised at this meeting Action Decide on revised rules for supported calendarLanguage		s and all others	
ction	 s raised at this meeting Action Decide on revised rules for supported calendarLanguage 20/8: Re-design property to act like encoding, with a minimum sector support act like encoding. 		s and all others	5
No 218	 s raised at this meeting Action Decide on revised rules for supported calendarLanguage 20/8: Re-design property to act like encoding, with a mi optional. 	nimum set of languages	s and all others	5
Action No	 s raised at this meeting Action Decide on revised rules for supported calendarLanguage 20/8: Re-design property to act like encoding, with a mi optional. Decide on revised rules for direct dispatch choice (All 	nimum set of languages		
No 218	 s raised at this meeting Action Decide on revised rules for supported calendarLanguage 20/8: Re-design property to act like encoding, with a mi optional. 	nimum set of languages		_

20/8: Major changes to presentation rather than content, but a full review is needed even so. Ensure 'nil' is handled by DFDL expressions (All) 20/8: Possible problem with 'nil' and expressions. Needs investigation. 221

Current Actions:

No	Action
066	Investigate format for defining test cases (AII) 25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format. 04/12: no update

17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases . May need some time to build a 'compliance suite' 24/03: No progress 03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided . 10/03: work is progressing 17/03: work is progressing 31/03: work is progressing 14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested. 21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made public 05/05: Work still progressing 12/05: Work still progressing 02/06: Work still progressing on technical and legal considerations 25/08: Will chase to allow Daffodil access to test cases . The WG should define how implementation confirm that they 'conform to DFDL v1' 01/09: IBM still progressing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as it can, ahead of a full compliance suite. 08/09: IBM still progressing 15/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks 22/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks 29/09:Test cases are being prepared. 06/10: Some test cases should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show the test case information at OGF 30. 13/10: Still progressing 10/11: Legal issues cleared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally ones that fit the 'extended conformance' of NCSA Daffodil 17/11: Work is progressing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to the WG in 2 weeks. 24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally. 01/12: Test cases should be available shortly 08/12: The test cases are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising before publication Stephanie gave a brief overview of the format of the test cases. 15/12: Ruth joined the call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated and a draft read.me produced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send them to Joe for feedback. 22/12: Test cases were sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the Daffodil parser 12/01: All current tests use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe suggested that there should be test that defined the same function using different definition forms. Also suggested that default formats should be provided by the WG. This had always been the intention. Action 133 raised to track. 19/01: There is currently no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM to discuss how/if it can make a 'minimal compliance test suite' available. 26/01: Action kicked off within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and organisation of test cases but no preferences were expressed 02/02: IBM will not have the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future. Steve suggested that we produce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them. 09/02: Steve had previously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review. 23/02: Please review Steve's list of areas to be tested 02/03: Alan had reviewed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is no need for separate tests for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment will be added that these should be exercised during property testing. 09/03: Alan updated the test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the OGF template.

	30/03. Ownership of test document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and will cover all aspects of compliance suite. 13/04: IBM will not have time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably best to make this action deferred for now.
	 10/07/2012: Discussed schemes to create interchangeable tests. Ideally need a DFDL defined error code per failure, in conjunction with specific inserts.
	 26/3/2013: Resurrecting deferred action. We have got to the point where it makes sense to converge the IBM DFDL and Daffodil variations of .tdml file. Steve to seek permission from IBM to make the list of IBM DFDL error messages available to DFDL WG.
	24/5: No further progress. 28/5: Nike summarised the status of Daffodil's tdml runner. Since IBM shared the tdml format, Daffodil has added a) bit file support with in-line comments; b) embedded schema; c) failure checking by multiple string matching. IBM has added a) some flags that map to parser API 'features' such as optional checks; b) code to handle illegal XML characters. 1200 parser test cases written for Daffodil, about 60 of the original IBM shared tests now pass in Daffodil. Steve will email OGF and ask if there is an approved process for demonstrating that multiple implementations generate the same set of test results. To progress with a shared tdml format, IBM will need to get legal approval to view the Daffodil source test cases, Steve to kick this off. Mark noted that IBM's tdml format has evolved in order to make the infoset comparison easier, Mark will see whether the shared tests use the latest version. 4/6: Steve has emailed OGF for guidance, reply received. Experience documents needed to verify conformance, but there is not a requirement to have executable tests. However, a set of executable tests is what we need ideally. Discussed error messages and identifiers for different errors and what the granularity should be. Steve has asked for permission to send the IBM DFDL error messages to the DFDL WG, they should be used as a starting point. Need to agree what constitutes the minimum content of an error message.
	20/8: No further progress
123	DFDL tutorial (Steve) 13/10: Draft of first 3 chapters has been written and will be distributed to WG 10/11: Posted to grid forge here (http://forge.gridforum.org/sf/go/doc16106?nav=1), work continuing at IBM to define a standard example-based chapter framework and to author additional chapters. Contributors welcome! 17/11: Steve, Stephanie and Alan had a meeting to discuss the best structure for the tutorial and decide which examples to use throughout. The meeting raised more questions. Further discussions will be held. 24:11: The list of topics to be covered in the remaining lessons has been produced and a lesson template. Alan will write lesson 4 01/12: Alan has started lesson 4 which covers fixed and variable fields and arrays. 08/12: Alan has almost completed lesson 4. Will send out for review. 15/12: First draft of lesson 4 is available for review. Alan to send to Bob and Joe. 22/12: Alan has distributed drafts for tutorials on Basic Structure and Optional/Repeating elements. Please review 12/01: Alan distributed a tutorial for choices and updated the others. Alan and Steve reviewed them and updated versions will be sent soon. Should start on the 'representation' tutorials soon. 19/01: The tutorials for basic structure, optional/arrays and choices have be updated. Please review. The tutorial for text elements should be available soon. 26/01: No comments received about 3 tuorials distributed last week. Alan is still working on Text representation. 02/02: Steve has sent comments on three tutorials. Alan to send updated versions by the end

of the week. Alan has also distributed the first part of the tutorial on text representation and would like feedback. 09/02: Steve had reviewed tutorials 3.4.5 and updated versions have been distributed. Joe reviewed lesson on text elements. Main points. Using 'represented as text' is confusing. Examples are too cluttered. Suggest simple targeted examples but still build up to final complete schema 23/02: New versions distributed and Steve has commented. 02/03: Alan has published the final versions of tutorials 4,5,6 and is working on text respresentations. There was some discussion about the detail that needs to be covered. Should limit it to 'common usage' and refer to the spec for details of edge cases. 09/03: Alan distributed an update to the text tutorial. Please review. 30/03: Steve has spent half a day tidying up lessons 1 to 6 and has uploaded them as pdfs to gridforge. They are now more coherent, and many inconsistencies and errors fixed. Ownership of draft lessons (text properties, binary properties, advanced features) has been passed to Steve. Also need to make a schema available for the examples. 13/04: Steve is working on the text properties tutorial. 04/05: No progress 15/06: This is on hold until Steve clears up spec issues and other workload. Steph has looked at the later lessons, and noted that they are more direct compared to the more wordy earlier lessons. 28/06: On hold. 29/11: Tim offered to take a look at the next outstanding tutorials. Steve / Tim to discuss 6/12: No progress 10/01: No progress, offer from Mike to help. First step is to make any corrections due to errata. 17/01: No progress 24/01: No update 31/01: Daffodil project team will be working their way through the existing tutorials and reviewina 14/02: Daffodil team to start reviewing tutorials hopefully this Friday. 21/02: Moved to this coming Friday 28/02: No update 13/03: No progress 21/03: No progress from Daffodil team. IBMers are starting to use the tutorial and will feedback any comments. 28/03: No change 05/04: Steve will send Alan's two draft lessons on binary & text data to Mike to complete. 17/04: No progress 8/5: No update 4/9: No progress: 11/9: IBM DFDL infocenter will start to reference these directly before the end of the year, so they need updating soon. 18/9: Noted that several requests have been received asking for chapters 7 to 17 as implied by chapter 1. At minimum chapter 1 needs updating to make it clear what exists today. 28/9: Steve has updated and re-issued chapters 1 to 3. 12/2: No further progress 19/2: Noted that tutorials need updating to reflect updated spec when it is issued. 26/2: MITRE are using DFDL heavily now and suggesting ideas for tutorials . 9/7: No further progress 16/7: Noted that at some point the lack of material will start to inhibit take up of DFDL. Steve has been asked to do some video sessions for IBM developerWorks.

Possibility of MITRE obtaining an intern to create some more of the tutorials.
 23/7: No updates 13/8: Will need to be updated to match forthcoming spec draft. At same time decide on what lessons are next in priority. 20/8: No further progress
Clarify how a DFDL string literal is matched against the data stream (Tim)
23/5: Non-trivial algorithm, worth stating it in the spec.
 25/7: No progress. 31/7: Tim has been making notes but nothing written up formally. Will include treatment of %WSP*;
 16/7: No further progress 23/7: Tim has started work on this. Scope is the matching of a single DFDL String Literal.
13/8: No further progress 20/8: Tim has circulated an initial draft. Review for next week, comments in advance via email please.
Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike) 29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot. 5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links. 12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.
20/8: No further progress
Create errata v 14 and incorporate into DFDL spec (Steve, Mike) 23/7: Steve has created a draft including all errata up until 9th July, rest to be added. 13/8: Steve has completed errata v014. Mike has a draft r14 of the spec that includes the errata. Steve has reviewed and commented, some of the comments required discussion, and this is documented in the email thread. A separate call will be held to complete the review, and after that Mike will update draft r14 of the spec. Noted that there are still other OPEN comments in the spec which need resolving. 20/8: Separate call held to complete review. A couple of issues outstanding which will be handled as separate agenda items. Mike has mailed out draft r14.2 of the spec and updated the errata document to v014.2. Mike has listed the remaining items to complete. Keep this action open until all work complete and ready for distribution.
Clarify the relationship between validation errors and points of uncertainty (Mike) 13/8: Tresys to provide some improved words. 20/8: No progress
New term for to replace 'scannable' (Mike) 13/8: Decide on a new phrase for the regex scanning requirement, such as 'scannable-as-text' or 'matchable'. 20/8: No progress

Closed actions

No	Action		
204	Establish strict versus lax behaviour for ICU calendar patterns (Steve)		
	5/2: ICU ticket raised, response awaited		
	12/2: Response received. The only leniency involved is when lax is specified, in which values can be outside the usual range and cause the calendar to be normalized, eg, 2013-01-35 would give 2013-02-04.		
	19/2: Re-opened. More info from ICU. There is more leniency than published when lax, and there is also some leniency when strict. ICU will update the ticket with more details within 2 weeks. 26/2: No update from ICU yet		

5/3: ICU working on this now 12/3: Seems like it is a bigger job than ICU anticipated! They are still working on it. Steve has noted that IBM DFDL accepts any number of fractional seconds for 'SSS', not sure whether this is ICU leniency or mis-interpretation of the spec. 19/3: No reply so far
26/3: Reply received which describes the scope of leniency and what lenient behaviour is included in strict mode. Some of the information requires clarification so Steve has asked some further questions in the ticket. 5/4: No further response yet.
23/4: Further reply received from ICU. Steve will assemble into a coherent form and mail to the WG. IBM have proposed to ICU that they implement a 'super strict' mode where there is no leniency at all. DFDL could then expose this as a new enum 'exact' (say) when it appears in an ICU release. Same for text numbers.
 18/6: Still with Steve 9/7: Steve has a draft written but testing has uncovered some ICU4J bugs (EEEEE and eee+) and some behaviour that does not match the ticket. Steve has asked for clarification via the ticket.
16/7: No update 23/7: Clarification ongoing 13/8: Steve to write up.
20/8: Closed. Steve has circulated this for review. Included in Mike's draft r14.2 of the spec and errata v014.2

Deferred actions

No	Action				
129 Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve) Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contribu interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US N Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too. 17/11: no progress					
 08/12: Still no response from IBM press office 15/12: no progress					
	 09/03: No progress 30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete about any implementation.				
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe) 08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site. 15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema) 22/12: no update 12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge. 19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public 26/01: Working on problems 02/02: no progress 09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action 23/02: Low prioity 09/03: Low priority 30/03: Deferring for now				

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
043	Track errata list for 1.0 of the spec.	Steve	N/A	Draft 013 on
				Redmine.
044	Incorporate errata list into DFDL spec.	Steve/Mike	N/A	Draft merged
				document.

© Copyright IBM Corp. 1998, 2007 All Rights Reserved