DFDL WG Call Minutes

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project **DFDL 1.0**

Meeting Date 24-Sep-13 (Tues)
Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:0
Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11

Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 25-Sep-13

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 24 September 2013

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plandetails.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

2. New public comment : Restrictions on delimiters and escape characters unclear

http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/23

3. New public comment : What to output for time zone UTC for 'I' calendar symbol

http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/30

4. Execution order of multiple statement annotations of the same kind

Spec has the concept of a resolved set of statement annotations for an annotation point, and states that the execution order is not specified. This was a deliberate design decision for an earlier erratum. Mike is questioning whether this is too loose, especially for setVariable, see email(s).

5. Erratum 2.148. Not allowing WSP * on its own where ES is not allowed .

While it certainly does not make sense to allow WSP* on its own to be a delimiter when scanning, it can make sense in a fixed length scenario. For example, fixed length records optionally followed by a new line.

6. OGF have asked DFDL WG to consider presenting at NIST Data Science Symposium

Request from Alan Sill. Symposium has a focus on Big Data and is on November 18-19 at the NIST campus in Gaithersburg, MD, USA.

7. AOB

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees

Steve Hanson Tim Kimber Mike Beckerle Jonathan Cranford

Apologies

Suman Kalia

IPR Statement

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Nothing new to report.

2. New public comment 23: Restrictions on delimiters and escape characters unclear

http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/23. Discussed within the Daffodil team and reasoned that it is perfectly ok to have the same character, eg, '\' is a delimiter and is escaped by '\\' to make it a value. **Public comment 23 resolved**.

- 3. New public comment 30: What to output for time zone UTC for 'l' calendar symbol http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/30. Agreed to output +00:00 instead of Z. Public comment 26 resolved.
- 4. Execution order of multiple statement annotations of the same kind

Mike is concerned that with multiple newVariableInstance or multiple setVariable at the same annotation point, an author can create schemas that are non-deterministic. **New action 229**.

5. Erratum 2.148. Not allowing WSP * on its own where ES is not allowed .

Discussed whether it made sense to allow WSP* as a terminator in non-delimited scenarios. IBM DFDL has some test cases that exploit this, and at least one IBM end user has used WSP* in a list of values to model an optional terminator. WTX allows their equivalent <OWSP> entity to be used on its own, but say that their "stopping syntax" does not look for "nothing" so actual white space would need to be present - in other words its the same as if <WSP> had been used. New action 230.

6. OGF have asked DFDL WG to consider presenting at NIST Data Science Symposium Mike and Jonathan to see if they are available and report back next week.

Submissions have to be in by Fri Oct 4th. New action 231.

7. Publicizing Public Comment

Steve to update the DFDL Wikipedia page and the OGF DFDL root page. New action 232.

8. How to flag public comments as resolved

The public comment page show the title of the last reply on the thread for a comment. When a comment is resolved, please ensure the title starts with 'Resolved'.

Meeting closed

17:30 ŪK

Next regular call

Tues 1st Oct 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

Action Items and Other Meeting Documents				
Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified	

Next action: 233

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action
229	Should evaluation of multiple newVariableInstance and multiple setVariable be deterministic? (All) 24/9: Public comment 39 raised (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/39)
230	Should WSP* be allowed when ES is not allowed? (Steve) 24/9: Public comment 40 raised (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/40). Steve to make proposal.
231	Attend NIST Data Symposium (Mike, Jonathan) 24/9: Check availability to attend the symposium in Gaithersburg, MD, to present DFDL.
232	Update Wikipedia and OGF web pages to publicise Public Comment (Steve) 24/9: With Steve to update.

Current Actions:

No	Action
066	Investigate format for defining test cases (All) 25/11:IBM to see if it is possible to publish its test case format.

04/12: no update

...

17/02: IBM is willing in principle to publish the test case format and some of the test cases . May need some time to build a 'compliance suite'

24/03: No progress

03/03: Discussions have been taking place on the subset of tests that will be provided .

10/03: work is progressing

17/03: work is progressing

31/03: work is progressing

14/04: And XML test case format has been defined and is being tested.

21/04. Schema for TDML defined. Need to define how this and the test cases will be made public

05/05: Work still progressing

12/05: Work still progressing

02/06: Work still progressing on technical and legal considerations

...

25/08: Will chase to allow Daffodil access to test cases . The WG should define how implementation confirm that they 'conform to DFDL v1'

01/09: IBM still progressing the legal aspect. Intends to publish 100 or so tests as soon as it can, ahead of a full compliance suite.

08/09: IBM still progressing

15/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks

22/09: IBM still progressing, expect tests to be available within a few weeks

29/09:Test cases are being prepared.

06/10: Some test cases should be available next week. Steve would like to be able to show the test case information at OGF 30.

13/10: Still progressing

10/11: Legal issues cleared, IBM in process of collecting 100 example test cases, ideally ones that fit the 'extended conformance' of NCSA Daffodil

17/11: Work is progressing on verifying the test cases. It should be possible to distribute to the WG in 2 weeks.

24/11: About half the test cases have been completed and are being reviewed internally.

01/12: Test cases should be available shortly

08/12: The test cases are in internal IBM review. Probably need a bit of reorganising before publication

Stephanie gave a brief overview of the format of the test cases.

15/12: Ruth joined the call to provide the latest status. The test cases have been updated and a draft read.me produced. Although not ready for public distribution Ruth will send them to Joe for feedback.

22/12: Test cases were sent to Joe for initial testing which found some problems in the Daffodil parser

12/01: All current tests use a default format whih Daffodil doesn't currently support. Joe suggested that there should be test that defined the same function using different definition forms. Also suggested that default formats should be provided by the WG. This had always been the intention. Action 133 raised to track.

19/01: There is currently no resource available in IBM to make more tests available. IBM to discuss how/if it can make a 'minimal compliance test suite' available.

26/01: Action kicked off within IBM. There was a brief discussion abot naming and organisation of test cases but no preferences were expressed

02/02: IBM will not have the resources to develop a full test suite in the near future . Steve suggested that we produce a list of required test cases so that anyone could supply them .

09/02: Steve had previously sent a list of areas to be tested. Please review.

23/02: Please review Steve's list of areas to be tested

02/03: Alan had reviewed Steve's list and we went through his comments. Agreed there is no need for separate tests for the infoset or for dfdl: property lists, unions etc but comment will be added that these should be exercised during property testing.

09/03: Alan updated the test document. Need more introduction and perhaps adopting the OGF template.

30/03. Ownership of test document passed to Steve. This action is merged with 112 and will cover all aspects of compliance suite.

13/04: IBM will not have time to create a compliance suite in the near future. Probably best to make this action deferred for now.

...

10/07/2012: Discussed schemes to create interchangeable tests. Ideally need a DFDL defined error code per failure, in conjunction with specific inserts.

...

26/3/2013: Resurrecting deferred action.

We have got to the point where it makes sense to converge the IBM DFDL and Daffodil variations of .tdml file.

Steve to seek permission from IBM to make the list of IBM DFDL error messages available to DFDL WG.

...

24/5: No further progress.

28/5: Mike summarised the status of Daffodil's tdml runner. Since IBM shared the tdml format, Daffodil has added a) bit file support with in-line comments; b) embedded schema; c) failure checking by multiple string matching. IBM has added a) some flags that map to parser API 'features' such as optional checks; b) code to handle illegal XML characters. 1200 parser test cases written for Daffodil, about 60 of the original IBM shared tests now pass in Daffodil. Steve will email OGF and ask if there is an approved process for demonstrating that multiple implementations generate the same set of test results. To progress with a shared tdml format, IBM will need to get legal approval to view the Daffodil source test cases, Steve to kick this off. Mark noted that IBM's tdml format has evolved in order to make the infoset comparison easier, Mark will see whether the shared tests use the latest version.

4/6: Steve has emailed OGF for guidance, reply received. Experience documents needed to verify conformance, but there is not a requirement to have executable tests. However, a set of executable tests is what we need ideally.

Discussed error messages and identifiers for different errors and what the granularity should be. Steve has asked for permission to send the IBM DFDL error messages to the DFDL WG, they should be used as a starting point. Need to agree what constitutes the minimum content of an error message.

...

24/9: No further progress

200 Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)

29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.

5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.

12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.

...

24/9: No further progress

220 Review reworked information on bit fields (Steve)

20/8: Major changes to presentation rather than content, but a full review is needed even so. 28/8: Mike and Steve collaborating on getting this into the spec and reviewed. Spotted an issue in passing with the grammar, the RightPadOrFill region could actually contain pad characters and fill bytes, for the text & lengthUnits 'bytes' combination. **Erratum taken**.

- 3/9: Draft r14.5 contains updates. Went through the remaining open comments. Most can be closed now, a few remain and will be handled in public comment, specifically
- adding lengthUnits 'bits' for packed calendars;
- documenting the endOfParent case where RightFill can be encountered.

10/9: No progress

17/9: Public comment 26 raised for packed calendars issue (

http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/26)

Public comment 27 raised for endOfParent issue (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/27) 24/9: Agreed to allow packed calendars with lengthUnits 'bits'. **Public comment 26 resolved**.

	Mike to add a reply to public comment 27.
221	Ensure 'nil' is handled by DFDL expressions (Mike)
	20/8: Possible problem with 'nil' and expressions. Needs investigation.
	28/8: No further progress. Unlikely to make the published draft.
	3/9: No progress - deferred until public comment
	10/9: No progress
	17/9: Public comment 28 raised (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/28)
	24/9: Requires some detailed investigation
222	Improve some of the wording used in separator suppression description (All)
	28/8: Mike has some concerns with the accuracy of the wording, see email thread.
	3/9: No progress - deferred until public comment
	10/9: No progress
	17/9: Public comment to be raised
	24/9: With Mike to raise
223	How best to document ICU time zone symbol fallbacks (Steve)
	3/9: Steve to contact ICU.
	Resolve during public comment.
	10/9: Steve raised an ICU ticket. DFDL spec needs to make a statement about time zones that
	refers to Unicode LDML spec, in a similar way we refer externally to encodings or calendar
	languages.
	17/9: Public comment to be raised
	24/9: Public comment 41 raised (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/41). Steve to propose
	resolution.
224	Add section for implementation defined limits (Jonathan)
	3/9: Several places in the spec cite this, should be grouped. Currently partially listed in section
	2.6.
	Also note distinction between 'implementation defined' and 'implementation dependent'. Check
	spec for correct usage.
	Resolve during public comment.
	10/9: No progress
	17/9: Jonathan sent a reference to the W3C XProc standard where the distinction is made
	clear. Jonathan will go through the spec and gather everything that is implementation
	defined/dependent. Public comment to be raised
	24/9: With Jonathan to raise.
225	Consistent terminology for describing text data (Mike)
	3/9: Ensure spec uses 'textual' and other agreed terms in a consistent way.
	Resolve during public comment.
	10/9: No progress
	17/9: Public comment to be raised
	24/9: With Mike to raise
226	Clarify that %ES; is intended to be used on its own (Tim)
	10/9: Remaining issue from action 172. How best to reflect this is the syntax table for DFDL
	string literal.
	Resolve during public comment.
	17/9: Public comment to be raised
	24/9: With Tim to raise and resolve
227	Clarify words for erratum 2.100 in sections 12.3.7 and dfdl:textPadKind (Steve)
ZZ I	17/9: Steve to propose updated words.
	Public comment 25 raised (http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/25).
200	24/9: With Steve who will review all of 12.3.7.
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All)
	17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.
	24/9: No progress

Closed actions

No	Action

Deferred actions

No	Action			
129	Press release to publicise DFDL (Steve) Steve is pulling together a press release at IBM. Want to include as many contributors and interested parties as possible.NCSA are keen to be included. Also likely that US National Archive will want to be included. Mike has indicated OCO are too. 17/11: no progress			
	08/12: Still no response from IBM press office 15/12: no progress			
	09/03: No progress			
	30/03: Making this action deferred until IBM is in a position to say something more concrete			
	about any implementation.			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)			
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.			
	When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.			
	15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in			
	the schema)			
	22/12: no update			
	12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.			
	19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public			
	26/01: Working on problems			
	02/02: no progress 09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action			
	23/02: Low priority			
	09/03: Low priority			
	30/03: Deferring for now			
	1			

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status
045	Resolve public comments	All	2013-10-22	Pending