DFDL WG Call Minutes

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

ProjectDFDL 1.0Meeting Date09-Dec-14 (Tues)Meeting Time16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 09-Dec-14

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 9 Dec 2014

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plan details.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

2. Length of Base - 2 Binary Number Elements

Bug in the table in section 12.3.7.2.1. There is a row for xs:decimal where it says the minimum number of bits is 2. This should be 8 as lengthUnits 'bits' is not allowed for xs:decimal. The table should also have a footnote stating this restriction, as per 12.3.7.2 para 2.

3. Converting Base - 2 Binary Numbers

Several bugs in section 13.7.1.1 that covers this. See email from Steve for list.

4. DFDL binaryDecimalVirtualPoint property

See emails from Steve. Some mis-spelling of the property name in the spec plus what happens during unparsing when a decimal value is given to the unparser, and the BDVP is not sufficient to remove the decimal point from the value. Processing error?

5. Erratum 2.100

This erratum said that when unparsing, dfdl:lengthKind 'explicit' and dfdl:length is an expression means that the data is considered to be variable length. IBM DFDL has a pre-erratum behaviour that is being used by customers so the unqualified change in behaviour prescribed by erratum 2.100 might not be possible. More in an email from Steve.

6. AOB

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees Steve Hanson Mike Beckerle Andrew Edwards Alex Wood **Apologies** Tim Kimber Mark Frost Minutes 1. Daffodil Open Source Project Release 0.15 now publicly available. 2. Length of Base - 2 Binary Number Elements Agreed that the table in section 12.3.7.2.1 row for xs:decimal should be 8 as lengthUnits 'bits' is not allowed for xs:decimal. The table should also have a footnote stating this restriction. Erratum taken, tracked by http://redmine.ogf.org/issues/247 3. Converting Base - 2 Binary Numbers Several bugs in section 13.7.1.1 that covers this. Agreed that dfdl:bitOrder should be mentioned, dfdl:fillByte should not be, and dfdl:binaryDecimalVirtualPoint applies only to xs:decimal. Erratum taken, tracked by http://redmine.ogf.org/issues/248 4. DFDL binaryDecimalVirtualPoint property Mis-spellings of the property name in the various places in the spec will be corrected . Agreed that in the absence of binary number rounding properties, if the BDVP is not sufficient to remove the decimal point from the value when unparsing, then it is a processing error. Erratum taken, tracked by http://redmine.ogf.org/issues/249 5. Erratum 2.100 Because of the existing IBM DFDL unparser implementation and the binary integer/decimal round tripping use case where leading zeros are lost, it does seem desirable to support both the erratum 2.100 'variable length' behaviour and the IBM DFDL 'fixed length' behaviour. New action 276 raised to consider the options. **IPR Statement** "I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

Meeting closed 16:55 UK

Next regular call

Tues 6th January 2015 @ 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab .

Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified
-	••		

Next action: 277

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action
	Revisit erratum 2.100 (All)
	9/12: Motivated by the existing IBM DFDL unparser implementation and the binary
	integer/decimal round tripping use case where leading zeros are lost, the proposal from 2013
	was re-circulated by Steve for comment. Review for next call.

Current Actions :

No	Action
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All)
	17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.
	 22/10: No progress
	31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL'
	powerpoint.
	 19/11: No further progress
	26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM.
	 11/3: No further progress
	25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review.
	Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed
	15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the

	tutorial structure. 29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back. 6/5: Still with Mark and Steve 20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA? 3/6: Steve has also reviewed. 17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to
	videos using the IBM Integration Studio.
	 9/12: No further progress
250	Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All) 5/2: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files, as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly part of action 066.
	 18/2: No further progress
	11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format. 25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a standardised tdml format.
	11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright statement. 15/4: Draft document on Redmine
	 6/5: No further progress 20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset.
	 17/6: No further progress 25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.
	 9/12: No further progress
275	Publish DFDL experience document #3 (MIL-STD-2045) (Mike) 2/12: There are a couple of public comments that need to be incorporated into the document. 9/12: Mike to update the document ready for next stage of the OGF cycle. Any impact on the DFDL spec to be identified.

Closed actions

No	Action	

Deferred actions

No	Action
<u>No</u> 131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe) 08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site. 15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema) 22/12: no update
	12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge. 19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public 26/01: Working on problems

1	02/02: no progress		
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action		
	23/02: Low prioity		
	09/03: Low priority		
	30/03: Deferring for now		
200	Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)		
	29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.		
	5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.		
	12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.		
	 2/12: No further progress		
	14/1: Deferring until needed		
241	Public comment : Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)		
271	7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really		
	needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet.		
	Deferring this action.		
	23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1?		
242	Public comment : dfdI:valueLength and dfdI :contentLength descriptions (Mike)		
	19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as		
	per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the		
	grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values . Discussed what happens when		
	parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is		
	binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked.		
	26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.		
	 11/3: Still with Mike		
	25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words		
	need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words		
	are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.		
	11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line		
	preparation and thought. Review for next call.		
	15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off		
	the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section of		
	12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this new		
	sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not		
	potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if		
	\$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate		
	to select one node of an array. 29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a		
	rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much		
	simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised.		
	6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.		
	23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions		
	about the functions though		
251	Create official error codes (All)		
	5/2: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec.		
	This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.		

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status