# **DFDL WG Call Minutes**

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

#### Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project DFDL 1.0 Meeting Date 06-Jan-15 (Tues) Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 06-Jan-15

OGF DFDL Working Group Call , 6 Jan 2015

#### Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plan details.

#### 1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

#### 2. When is an expression for dfdl :separator evaluated when within an array ?

See email from Mike which gives an example.

#### 3. Missing update from erratum 4.1

The public comment erratum 4.1 allowed lengthUnits 'bits' to be used with packed calendars. While this has been correctly reflected in section 12.3.7.2, the description of the lengthUnits property in section 12.3 was not updated.

#### 4. Problem with display of the html version of the spec on OGF pages

Works ok with IE and IBM's Firefox ESR but not with latest Chrome and Firefox standard ?? https://www.ogf.org/ogf/doku.php/standards/dfdl/specification\_web

#### 5. AOB

#### Minutes

#### **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed , and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees Steve Hanson Mike Beckerle Andy Edwards Alex Wood

Apologies

Tim Kimber

Minutes

#### 1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Will be starting on unparser soon.

#### 2. When is an expression for dfdl :separator evaluated when within an array ?

Steve thinks that section 16.3 is intended to cover this behaviour but the section needs updating to make it clear that properties on any contained components of a complex array element are re-evaluated for each occurrence. With this update, it should be clear what the expression's context is. Mike to run this past Daffodil team. New **action 277**.

#### 3. Missing update from erratum 4.1

The public comment erratum 4.1 allowed lengthUnits 'bits' to be used with packed calendars. While this has been correctly reflected in section 12.3.7.2, the description of the lengthUnits property in section 12.3 omitted to add this. **Erratum taken , tracked by https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/253**.

#### 4. Problem with display of the html version of the spec on OGF pages

Fixed by Andre Merzky at OGF. Now works ok. Mike to follow up on how search engine friendly the web page is.

#### **IPR Statement**

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy ."

Meeting closed 16:40 UK

#### Next regular call

Tues 13th January 2015 @ 16:00 UK

#### Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below. Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

#### Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified
Subject	Document Type	Clealed	Moullieu

Next action: 278

# Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action		
277	When is an expression for dfdl :separator evaluated when within an array ? (Tresys)		
	6/1/15: Mike to run updated section 16.3 past the Daffodil team.		

# Current Actions :

ig DFDL'
Gariss .
orate into the
eport back.

DL how-to
as a precursor 6.
mat. dardised tdml
IBM copyright
espaces are
oth Daffodil
e document. pact on the
d 'explicit' g zeros are next call. ty (eg) hen mentation of be deprecated new policy ifter internal

# **Closed actions**

No	Action

### Deferred actions

No	Action			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)			
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.			
When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.				
	<ul> <li>15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors the schema)</li> <li>22/12: no update</li> <li>12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.</li> <li>19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public</li> <li>26/01: Working on problems</li> </ul>			
	02/02: no progress			
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action			
	23/02: Low prioity			
	09/03: Low priority			
	30/03: Deferring for now			
200	Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)			
200	29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.			
	5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.			
	12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.			
	2/12: No further progress			
	14/1: Deferring until needed			
241	1 Public comment : Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)			
7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear				
	needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet.			
	Deferring this action.			
	23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1?			
242				
242				
	19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as			
	per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the			
	grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values . Discussed what happens when			
	parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is			
	binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked.			
	26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.			
	11/3: Still with Mike			
	25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words			
	need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words			
	are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.			
	11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line			
	preparation and thought. Review for next call.			
	15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off			
	the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section			
	of 12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this			
	new sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not			
	potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if			
	\$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate			
	to select one node of an array.			
	29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a			
	rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much			
	simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised.			
	simpler. Determing for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is infallsed.			
I	1			

	6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.			
	23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions			
	about the functions though			
251	251 Create official error codes (All)			
	5/2: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec.			
	This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.			

# Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status