# **DFDL WG Call Minutes**

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

## Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project DFDL 1.0

Meeting Date 13-Jan-15 (Tues)
Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 13-Jan-15

### OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 13 Jan 2015

# Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key planterials.

### 1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

# 2. Only xs:dateTime can have binaryCalendarRep 'binarySeconds' or 'binaryMilliseconds'

Correction to tables 18 and 20 needed as they imply that these reps can be used by xs:date and xs:time.

#### 3. When maxOccurs is used but exceeded during unparsing

When maxOccurs is exceeded during unparsing for occursCountKind 'fixed' and 'implicit' (and by implication scalar elements), the spec says it is a processing error. I think it is better to say that the unparser moves on when maxOccurs is reached. This makes the behaviour analogous to parsing, when it does not try to parse beyond maxOccurs and moves on. The current unparser wording is based on the assumption that any next occurrence of the element in the infoset must be an error, but this is not true - the next occurrence could be an occurrence of a same named element later in the schema.

#### 4. AOB

# Minutes

#### **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

### **Attendees**

Steve Hanson Alex Wood Mike Beckerle Tim Kimber

#### **Apologies**

Andy Edwards

#### **Minutes**

# 1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Daffodil v1.0 expected at end of month.

**2.** Only xs:dateTime can have binaryCalendarRep 'binarySeconds' or 'binaryMilliseconds' Agreed that correction to tables 18 and 20 needed as they imply that these reps can be used by xs:date and xs:time. Erratum raised, tracked by https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/254.

## 3. When maxOccurs is used but exceeded during unparsing

Discussed whether it is better to say that the unparser moves on when maxOccurs is reached. This makes the behaviour analogous to parsing, when it does not try to parse beyond maxOccurs and moves on. Looked at examples from Steve's email. Mike to review for next call.

New action 278.

# 4. DFDL experience document #4

Steve has created this and added to Redmine. It consolidates all the issue trackers raised against GFD.207 into a single document for convenience.

#### **IPR Statement**

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

# Meeting closed

16:35 UK

### Next regular call

Tues 20th January 2015 @ 16:00 UK

#### Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee 's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab .

Action Items and Other Meeting Document	Action I	tems an	d Other	Meeting	Documents
-----------------------------------------	----------	---------	---------	---------	-----------

	Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified
Next action: 279				
NOAL GOLIOTI. ZIJ				

No

278

Action

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action				
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All) 17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.				
	22/10: No progress 31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL' powerpoint.				
	19/11: No further progress 26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM.				
	11/3: No further progress 25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review.				
	Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed				
	15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the tutorial structure.				
	29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back				

When maxOccurs is used but exceeded during unparsing (Mike) 13/1: Mike to review Steve's email for next call.

6/5: Still with Mark and Steve

20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA?

3/6: Steve has also reviewed.

17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to videos using the IBM Integration Studio.

. . .

# 13/1: No further progress

# 250 Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All)

5/2: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files, as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly part of action 066.

...

18/2: No further progress

11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format.

25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a standardised tdml format.

11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright statement.

15/4: Draft document on Redmine

6/5: No further progress

20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset.

17/6: No further progress

25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.

9/12: No further progress

6/1/15: Mike to resurrect this as Tresys would like to run their tdml suite against both Daffodil and IBM DFDL.

13/1: No further progress

# 275 Publish DFDL experience document #3 (MIL-STD-2045) (Mike)

2/12: There are a couple of public comments that need to be incorporated into the document. 9/12: Mike to update the document ready for next stage of the OGF cycle. Any impact on the DFDL spec to be identified.

...

### 13/1: No progress

## 276 Revisit erratum 2.100 (All)

9/12: Motivated by the existing IBM DFDL unparser implementation for lengthKind 'explicit' (expression) and the binary integer/decimal round tripping use case where leading zeros are lost, the proposal from 2013 was re-circulated by Steve for comment. Review for next call. 6/1/15: Discussed adding a new lengthKind 'expression' plus a new enum property (eg) outputLengthExpressionPolicy to control whether the expression is used or not when unparsing. One of the policy enums would behave exactly like IBM DFDL's implementation of lengthKind 'explicit' with an expression (ie, pre-erratum 2.100), which could then be deprecated (ie, IBM DFDL would continue to support it). This was seen as preferable to just a new policy property for 'explicit' as that affects existing schemas. IBM to make the decision after internal discussion.

13/1: IBM is proposing to leave the existing behaviour as it was prior to erratum 2.100, so describe 'explicit' as 'fixed length' in the spec and handle the 'variable length' scenario using outputValueCalc with dfdl:valueLength(). Possible extension to dfdl:valueLength() needed to handle the 'minimum length' case where padding is applied? Mike to review Steve's email.

# When is an expression for dfdl: separator evaluated when within an array? (Tresys)

6/1/15: Mike to run updated section 16.3 past the Daffodil team.

# **Closed actions**

No	Action

# **Deferred actions**

<u>Deferr</u>	red actions				
No	Action				
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe) 08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form. When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site. 15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema) 22/12: no update 12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge. 19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public 26/01: Working on problems 02/02: no progress 09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action 23/02: Low priority 09/03: Low priority 30/03: Deferring for now				
200	Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike) 29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot. 5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links. 12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review				
	2/12: No further progress 14/1: Deferring until needed				
241	Public comment: Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All) 7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet. Deferring this action.				
	23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1?				
242	Public comment: dfdl:valueLength and dfdl:contentLength descriptions (Mike) 19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values. Discussed what happens when parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked. 26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.				
	11/3: Still with Mike 25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly. 11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line preparation and thought. Review for next call. 15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section of 12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this				

potentially represer \$path argument ret to select one node 29/4: See various e rewrite of some of simpler. Deferring 6/5: Mike is working 23/9: Rewrite shou		new sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if \$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate to select one node of an array.  29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised.  6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.  23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions about the functions though
	251	Create official error codes (All)
		5/2: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec.  This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.

# Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status