DFDL WG Call Minutes

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project DFDL 1.0 Meeting Date 31-Mar-15 (Tues) Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 31-Mar-15

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 31 Mar 2015

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plan details.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project Status update.

2. AOB

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees Steve Hanson Mike Beckerle

Apologies Alex Wood

Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Not discussed.

2. DFDL Experience Document #4

This is the document tracking errata against GFD.207 and is a consolidation of the various issue trackers. Steve has uploaded version 0.4 to Redmine which includes all issues to date. Note that the errata are numbered **5.x** so that they have a distinct number from the errata in DFDL Experience Document #1.

3. Formats that include metadata

Mike is encountering some formats where the structure/names/lengths of the data is contained within the data itself. DFDL 1.0 can handle certain things like lengths, delimiters, encoding being dynamically defined, but when names and types are involved it becomes impossible because names and types in DFDL schemas are fixed. CSV data with a header row that provides the column names is a simple example of this. IBM's approach would typically be to built tools that pre-read the metadata for a particular format and then generate the DFDL schema.

4. Packed decimal data

One way to represent BCD, 390 packed and 4690 packed data internally is as character strings with a 4-bit encoding, so capable of representing the characters 0-9,A-F. Also noted the pitfalls of using a Java BigDecimal as an intermediate container for packed decimal calendars (loses leading zeros for example).

5. Future DFDL WG calls

Agreed to make calls bi-weekly as there are only a handful of open items. Steve will cancel every other call.

IPR Statement

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy ."

Meeting closed 16:20 UK

Next regular call

Tues 14th April 2015 @ 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below. Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified	
		0.00.00		

Next action: 279

Actions raised at this meeting

No Action

Current Actions :

No	Action
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All) 17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old. 22/10: No progress 31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL' powerpoint.
	19/11: No further progress 26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM. 11/3: No further progress
	 25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review. Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed 15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the
	tutorial structure. 29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back. 6/5: Still with Mark and Steve

	20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA? 3/6: Steve has also reviewed.
	17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to videos using the IBM Integration Studio.
	31/3: No further progress
250	Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All) 5/2: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files, as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly part of action 066.
	 18/2: No further progress 11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format. 25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a standardised tdml format.
	 11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright statement. 15/4: Draft document on Redmine
	6/5: No further progress 20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset.
	17/6: No further progress 25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.
	 9/12: No further progress 6/1/15: Mike to resurrect this as Tresys would like to run their tdml suite against both Daffodil and IBM DFDL.
	 10/2: No further progress 24/2: Mike updating the Daffodil TDML test runner to handle unparser (ie, serializer) tests
	31/3: No further progress
251	Create official error codes (All) 5/2/14: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec . This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.
	 10/2/15: Un-deferring this action for consideration. The IBM error message numbers could be a good starting point for a list of error codes, although they are a mixture of generic and specific so some revision likely to be needed. Tresys to evaluate.
	 24/2: No progress 24/3: Mike has read through the IBM error messages and will write up findings 31/3: No further progress

Closed actions

No	Action

٦

Deferred actions

No	Action
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.
	When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site. 15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in
	the schema)
	22/12: no update
	12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.
	19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public
	26/01: Working on problems
	02/02: no progress
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action
	23/02: Low prioity
	09/03: Low priority
	30/03: Deferring for now
200	Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)
	29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.
	5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.
	12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.
	2/12: No further progress
	14/1: Deferring until needed
241	Public comment : Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)
271	7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really
	needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet.
	Deferring this action.
	23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1 ?
242	Public comment : dfdl:valueLength and dfdl :contentLength descriptions (Mike)
	19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as
	per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the
	grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values . Discussed what happens when
	parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is
	binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked.
	26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.
	11/3: Still with Mike
	25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words
	need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words
	are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.
	11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line
	preparation and thought. Review for next call.
	15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off
	the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section
	of 12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this
	new sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not
	potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if
	\$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate
	to select one node of an array.
	29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a
	rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much
	simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised.
	6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.
	 23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions
	about the functions though
	LONDON ON OTRANIS URDENI

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status	