# **DFDL WG Call Minutes**

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

#### Meeting about Meetings\OGF

ProjectDFDL 1.0Meeting Date12-May-15 (Tues)Meeting Time16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 20-May-15

OGF DFDL Working Group Call , 12 May 2015

#### Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key plan details.

#### 1. S2G Data Viewer demo

The European Space Agency will demo their S2G Data Viewer tool that uses an implementation of a subset of DFDL to parse binary satellite data.

#### Minutes

#### **Meeting Minutes**

**Reflect** on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed , and any tabled conversations . What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning .

#### Attendees

Steve Hanson Alex Wood Mike Beckerle Mark Frost Andy Edwards Michele Zundo Maurizio De Bartolomei Montserrat Piñol Rui Mestre

#### Apologies

Minutes

#### 1. S2G Data Viewer demo

The European Space Agency demo'd their S2G Data Viewer tool that uses an implementation of a subset of DFDL called DFDL4S to parse binary satellite data. S2G Data Viewer allows a user to read in a file of data in one of several different satellite formats. DFDL4S is used to parse the data and a parallel set of attributes (dmx) control how the logical data is visualised.

DFDL4S is written by Daemos from Portugal. S2G Data Viewer is a good example of a data debugger, allowing the data to be corrected when it fails to parse.

DFDL4S implements a small number of properties that enable the parsing of numeric binary data. No text values are supported. DFDL expressions are used in discriminators and occurs/length properties. Regular expressions are used in length properties. DFDL4S is not (yet) a conformant DFDL processor as there are several areas where it does not implement the DFDL 1.0 specification correctly. These have been fed back to ESA and Daemos. The WG also modified the example DFDL schemas for the satellite formats to make them DFDL compliant (as far as possible).

One specific difference was the DFDL expression subset used. DFDL4S uses an 'in' operator that allows a value to be checked within a range, but it is not XPath 2.0. New action 282 raised to see if this can be achieved with XPath 2.0, as it is a useful feature.

It was noted that dmx asserts could be implemented as DFDL asserts with recoverable exceptions, and that dmx types could be implemented as XML Schema types.

S2G Data Viewer is based on eclipse. It might be possible to use the IBM DFDL Editor plugins within the same, or another, eclipse instance, to allow DFDL schema authoring and testing.

Long-term aim is to create a conformant C++ implementation of DFDL 1.0 that can handle a wider range of formats. Noted that the EU SAFE project has been recommended to use DFDL.

The WG thanks ESA and Daemos for its interest in DFDL and for taking the time to demo. ESA will keep the DFDL WG informed of further conformance work on DFDL4S.

#### **References:**

(1) S2G Data Viewer http://eop-cfi.esa.int/index.php/79-applications/138-new-release-announcement-s2g-v2012 (2) DFDL4S http://eop-cfi.esa.int/index.php/applications/dfdl4s

#### **IPR Statement**

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

#### Meeting closed 17:00 ŪK

## Next regular call

Tues 26th May 2015 @ 16:00 UK

#### Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab .

#### Action Items and Other Meeting Documents

Subject	Document Type	Created	Modified
Cubject	Document Type	oreated	Widdined

Next action: 282

## Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action	
282	Does XPath have operators for checking a value is in a range ? (Mike)	
	12/5: Investigate whether equivalent to DFDL4S 'in' operator exists.	

## Current Actions :

No	Action
228	<b>Review set of tutorial lessons (All)</b> 17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.
	22/10: No progress
	31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL' powerpoint.
	 19/11: No further progress
	26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM.
	11/3: No further progress
	25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review.
	Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed
	15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the tutorial structure.
	29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back.

	6/5: Still with Mark and Steve 20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA? 3/6: Steve has also reviewed.
	17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to videos using the IBM Integration Studio.
	<ul> <li>31/3: No further progress</li> <li>14/4: Agreed that the need for better tutorials has become pressing for Daffodil users who aren't using IBM's tools and material. Discussed creating tutorials based on a tdml file with comments that is processed to produce html. Mike to investigate.</li> <li>28/4: Mike has sent an example tdml file which embeds instances of a new 'tutorial' element in various places. These elements contain html which can be extracted and formatted in a browser. Suggest future DFDL tutorials are created using this technology.</li> <li>12/5: Not discussed</li> </ul>
250	Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All) 5/2: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files, as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly part of action 066.
	<ul> <li></li> <li>18/2: No further progress</li> <li>11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format.</li> <li>25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a standardised tdml format.</li> <li>11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright</li> </ul>
	statement. 15/4: Draft document on Redmine
	6/5: No further progress 20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset.
	 17/6: No further progress 25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.
	 9/12: No further progress 6/1/15: Mike to resurrect this as Tresys would like to run their tdml suite against both Daffodil and IBM DFDL.
	 10/2: No further progress 24/2: Mike updating the Daffodil TDML test runner to handle unparser (ie, serializer) tests
	14/4: No further progress 28/4: Tresys have enhanced their tdml runner to allow unparser tests and round-trip tests (parser->unparser->parser) as well as the new tutorial tag (see action 228) 12/5: Not discussed
279	Improve defaulting description to explicitly cover local groups (All) 28/4: Only talks about elements, should mention local sequence and choice. 12/5: Not discussed
280	Choice branch rule minOccurs <> 0 ? (All) 28/4: Is this still needed given how occursCountKind and discriminators now work ? 12/5: Not discussed
281	Allow nil literal values other than ES for complex elements ? (All) 28/4: Formats seen that could use this, but does it introduce complexity that ES on its own avoids?

### **Closed actions**

No	Action

## Deferred actions

No	Action			
131	Transformation of DFDL properties to a canonical form (Joe)			
	08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.			
	When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.			
	15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in			
	the schema)			
	22/12: no update			
	2/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.			
	19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public 26/01: Working on problems			
	02/02: no progress			
	09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action			
	23/02: Low prioity			
	09/03: Low priority			
	30/03: Deferring for now			
200	Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)			
	29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.			
	5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.			
	12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.			
	2/12: No further progress			
	14/1: Deferring until needed			
241	Public comment : Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)			
	7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really			
	needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet.			
	Deferring this action.			
	23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1?			
242	Public comment : dfdl:valueLength and dfdl :contentLength descriptions (Mike)			
	19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as			
	per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the			
	grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values . Discussed what happens when			
	parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is			
	binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked.			
	26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.			
	11/3: Still with Mike			
	25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words			
	need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words			
	are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.			
	11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line			
	preparation and thought. Review for next call.			
	15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off			
	the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section of			
	12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this new			
	sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not			
i	1			

	potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if \$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate to select one node of an array. 29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised . 6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.
	23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions about the functions though
251	<b>Create official error codes (All)</b> 5/2/14: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec . This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.
	10/2/15: Un-deferring this action for consideration. The IBM error message numbers could be a good starting point for a list of error codes, although they are a mixture of generic and specific so some revision likely to be needed. Tresys to evaluate.
	 24/2: No progress 24/3: Mike has read through the IBM error messages and will write up findings 31/3: No further progress
	14/4: Mike has sent email. Concluded that this is a large exercise and effort better put in to tutorials. Deferring again.

#### Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status