DFDL WG Call Minutes

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active.

Meeting about Meetings\OGF

Project DFDL 1.0

Meeting Date 23-Jun-15 (Tues)
Meeting Time 16:00 - 17:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 23-Jun-15

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 23 June 2015

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and describe key planterials.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Status update.

2. Typos in spec

- a) Section 16.4: "Uncertaintyfor"
- b) Section 14.2: Errors in paragraph starting ""However, the WSP* entity cannot appear on its own... "

3. AOB

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees

Steve Hanson Mike Becerle

Apologies

Alex Wood

Andrew Edwards

Minutes

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Daffodil 1.1 released which now includes a limited-function unparser.

2. Typos in spec

Both added to tracker https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/233

3. Next revision of the DFDL 1.0 spec

Aiming to start the process in September. Note that this is not a new OGF document, it is an errata update of GFD.207.

4. ICU fallback mappings

The dfdl:encodingErrorPolicy property talks about substitution characters but not fallback mappings. These were certainly discussed at the time. IBM has requirements from customers to support fallback mappings. New **action 283** raised to assess.

IPR Statement

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

Meeting closed

17:00 ŬK

Next regular call

Tues 21st July 2015 @ 16:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below . Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab .

Action Items and Other Meeting Documents Subject Document Type Created Modified

Next action: 284

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action					
283 Investigate incorporation of ICU fallback mappings into dfdl :encodingErrorPolicy (S						
	23/6: IBM has requirements from customers to support fallback mappings/					

Current Actions:

No	Action				
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All) 17/9: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.				
	22/10: No progress 31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL' powerpoint.				
	19/11: No further progress 26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM.				
	11/3: No further progress 25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review. Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed				
	15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the tutorial structure. 29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back.				
	6/5: Still with Mark and Steve 20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA? 3/6: Steve has also reviewed.				
	17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to videos using the IBM Integration Studio.				
	31/3: No further progress 14/4: Agreed that the need for better tutorials has become pressing for Daffodil users who aren't using IBM's tools and material. Discussed creating tutorials based on a tdml file with comments that is processed to produce html. Mike to investigate. 28/4: Mike has sent an example tdml file which embeds instances of a new 'tutorial' element in various places. These elements contain html which can be extracted and formatted in a browser. Suggest future DFDL tutorials are created using this technology. 12/5: Not discussed				
250	23/6: No further progress Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All)				
	5/2: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files, as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly part of action 066 18/2: No further progress 11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format. 25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a standardised tdml format. 11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright				
	statement. 15/4: Draft document on Redmine				

	6/5: No further progress 20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset				
	17/6: No further progress 25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.				
	9/12: No further progress 6/1/15: Mike to resurrect this as Tresys would like to run their tdml suite against both Daffodil and IBM DFDL.				
	10/2: No further progress 24/2: Mike updating the Daffodil TDML test runner to handle unparser (ie, serializer) tests				
	14/4: No further progress 28/4: Tresys have enhanced their tdml runner to allow unparser tests and round-trip tests (parser->unparser->parser) as well as the new tutorial tag (see action 228) 12/5: Not discussed 23/6: No further progress				
279	Improve defaulting description to explicitly cover local groups (All)				
	28/4: Only talks about elements, should mention local sequence and choice. 12/5: Not discussed				
	23/6: Section 15.1.3 needs to say what happens when a choice branch does not contain any elements; such a choice branch is selected (but see action 280 below as minOccurs '0' might change this). Section 9.4 also needs updating to say what happens when local groups are found within a complex type.				
280					
	28/4: Is this still needed given how occursCountKind and discriminators now work?				
	12/5: Not discussed 23/6: Steve sent an email where he attempted to find the justifications for the restriction (extra point of uncertainty, known-to-exist rules). Steve will do some more digging to see at what point this restriction was introduced, and look at XML scenarios				
281	Allow nil literal values other than ES for complex elements ? (All) 28/4: Formats seen that could use this, but does it introduce complexity that ES on its own avoids?				
	12/5: Not discussed 23/6: Mike to create a fully worked proposal to see whether this is a simple extension, or whether it drags in too many other properties. To consider variable length and fixed length cases.				
282	Does XPath have operators for checking a value is in a range ? (Mike)				
	12/5: Investigate whether equivalent to DFDL4S 'in' operator exists. 23/6: Mike has found an XPath 'intersect' operator. Handles the enumeration case well, but not as convenient for ranges as DFDL4S's 'in' operator.				

Closed actions

No	Action			

Deferred actions

	No	Action				
ſ	131	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		08/12: Joe has produced a XSLT to transform a DFDL schema to a canonical element form.				

When tested it should be made available on the WG gidforge site.

15/12: Alan tested against test dfdl schema which worked correctly (after fixing some errors in the schema)

22/12: no update

12/01: Joe has some defects to fix before making available on gridforge.

19/01: There is a difficult problem to solve before Joe make the style sheet public

26/01: Working on problems

02/02: no progress

09/02: As it wasn't a simple as exoected this will be treated as a low priority action

23/02: Low prioity 09/03: Low priority

30/03: Deferring for now

200 Establish recommended practices for pushing changes to GitHub (Mike)

29/1: Mike will talk to Tresys who have used Git a lot.

5/2: Mike to talk to Tresys this week, Tim has sent some links.

12/2: Information sent by Mike, Steve to review.

...

2/12: No further progress

14/1: Deferring until needed

241 Public comment: Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)

7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which has not happened yet. Deferring this action.

...

23/9: Candidate to be moved out to 1.1?

242 Public comment: dfdl:valueLength and dfdl:contentLength descriptions (Mike)

19/11: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the grammar regions. However the grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values. Discussed what happens when parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked.

26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.

11/3: Still with Mike

25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that the words need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.

11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line preparation and thought. Review for next call.

15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section of 12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this new sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if \$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate to select one node of an array.

29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised. 6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.

23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions about the functions though...

251 Create official error codes (All)

5/2/14: Create official error codes for all possible errors implied by the DFDL spec . This is a big piece of work, so this action is deferred for now. Was formerly part of action 066.

...
10/2/15: Un-deferring this action for consideration. The IBM error message numbers could be a good starting point for a list of error codes, although they are a mixture of generic and specific so some revision likely to be needed. Tresys to evaluate.

24/2: No progress

24/3: Mike has read through the IBM error messages and will write up findings

31/3: No further progress

14/4: Mike has sent email. Concluded that this is a large exercise and effort better put in to tutorials. Deferring again.

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status