

# Next steps

- „Complete“ state of the art doc as web-page
- collaborate with other WGs, eg sched attributes
- collect use-cases
- clarify scope of WG
- create a definition of advance reservation the WG agrees on

# Notes (1)

Details on the mailing list were presented. People were strongly encouraged to sign up to graap-wg and sched-wg lists

The charter was presented, and no changes were suggested

The milestones were also presented without comment

SchedWD12.1 was presented. This was noted to be API-based, but we want to be protocol based. Some requirements for our work may come from this document, though.

Next, sched-graap-2.0, the „state of the art“ paper was discussed. It was agreed that the group needed to come up with a clear definition of what a reservation is, as different people have different ideas about this. A discussion will commence on the mailing list, using the definition in the survey document as a starting point.

It was also suggested that software licenses, etc. and network reservations could be added to the survey. The document needs to be completed, and there may be other batch systems to add, e.g.

## Notes (2)

Condor. People were asked to help with this - requests for information will be sent to the list. The document will be maintained as a web page, to let it be easily updated.

SNAP, which thinks of reservations as Service Level Agreements, was described and discussed.

There was some confusion over the scope of the WG. It seems that it may be necessary to make clarifications to our scope. In particular, we need to make it clear that we will NOT be working on a co-scheduler, although our protocol will enable co-scheduling...

As part of the scoping, we should also work out which groups we are going to have a relationship with, and state these explicitly. Again, this will be discussed on the list.

Use cases were requested, for use as requirements. An e-mail soliciting these will be sent to the list, suggesting some format for these.